Particle filter, Motivation Discrete filter: Discretize the continuous state space; High memory complexity; Fixed resolution (does not adapt to the belief). Particle filters are a way to efficiently represent non-Gaussian distribution. Basic principle: Set of state hypotheses ("particles") Survival-of-the-fittest. Particle Filter: Sensor Information, 图 1. Mapping Problem Statement Formally, mapping involves, given the sensor data, $d=\{x_1,x_2,x_2,\cdots,x_n,z_n\}$ to calculate the most likely map $m_*=arg$ max $P(m \mid d)$. Occupancy GridMap , Occupancy of individual cells (m[xy]) is independent, 图 2. Updating Occupancy Map, Idea: Update each individual cell using a binary Bayes filter $Bel(m_i^{[xy]}) = \eta \ p(z_i \mid m_i^{[xy]}) \int p(m_i^{[xy]} \mid m_{i-1}^{[xy]}) dm_{i-1}^{[xy]})$. Additional assumption: Map is static $Bel(m_i^{[xy]}) = \eta \ p(z_i \mid m_i^{[xy]}) Bel(m_{i-1}^{[xy]})$. Occupancy Probability 图 3. Reflection Maps $\{ \Xi \Xi \}$. Measurement Model 图 11. Summary Occupancy grid maps are a popular approach to represent the environment of a mobile robot given known poses. In this approach each cell is considered independently from all others. It stores the posterior probability that the corresponding area in the environment is occupied. Occupancy grid maps can be learned efficiently using a probabilistic approach. Reflection maps are an alternative representation. They store in each cell the probability that a beam is reflected by this cell. We provided a sensor model for computing the likelihood of measurements and showed that the counting procedure underlying reflection maps yield the optimal map. EKF, Localization Problem Statement, Given: Map of the environment, Sequence of sensor measurements. Wanted: Estimate of the robot's position. Problem classes: Position tracking, Global localization, Kidnapped robot problem (recovery). Landmark-based Localization 图 4. Landmark Extraction 图 5. Measurement Prediction 图 6. Data Association (Matching) 图 7. Update 图 8. EKF Summary O(k^2.376 + n^2). Planning, Dynamic Window App

Steps of the Algorithm, 1. Update (static) grid map based on sensory input. 2. Use A * to find a trajectory in the <x,y>-space using the updated grid map. 3. Determine a restricted 5d-configuration space based on step 2. 4. Find a trajectory by planning in the restricted <x,y,θ,v,ω>-space. SLAM, 图 10. SLAM Loop Closure • By revisiting already mapped areas, uncertainties in robot and landmark estimates can be reduced • This can be exploited to "optimally" explore an environment for the sake of better (e.g. more accurate) maps • Exploration: the problem of where to acquire new information (e.g. depth-first vs. breadth first). SLAM Summary• Convergence proof for linear case! • Can diverge if nonlinearities are large (and the reality is nonlinear...) • However, has been applied

successfully in large-scale environments • Approximations reduce the computational complexity 1: Algorithm EKF_localization_known_correspondences($\mu_{t-1}, \Sigma_{t-1}, u_t, z_t, c_t, m$): 1° motion model 3° correction $\begin{cases} \sum_{t+1}^{-1} = \sum_{t+1}^{-1} + \sum_{t+1}^{-1} \\ \sum_{t+1}^{-1} \mu_{t+1} = \sum_{t+1}^{-1} \overline{\mu}_{t+1} + \sum_{t+1}^{-1} \widehat{\mu}_{t+1}^{-1} \end{cases}$ P(#X++ | x+, U+) $\frac{v_t}{\cos \theta} + \frac{v_t}{\cos \theta} \cos(\theta + \omega_t \Delta t)$ $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}_{tH} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x \\ \Delta y \end{bmatrix} + \omega$ $-\frac{v_t}{\omega_t}\sin\theta + \frac{v_t}{\omega_t}\sin(\theta + \omega_t\Delta t)$ Xtn = AX+utw 1 Xttl N(FtH, EtH) \mathbb{Q} Localization P(x|3, m, u)5 th+1 = jut + U $\alpha_3 v_t^2 + \alpha_4 \omega_t^2$ P cm / 7, x) @ mapping 1 2+ = A 2 + AT + Zu + R $-\frac{v_t}{\omega_t}\sin\theta + \frac{v_t}{\omega_t}\sin(\theta + \omega_t\Delta t)$ PCm, x / Z, u) 7 36LAM $\frac{v_t}{\omega_t}\cos\theta - \frac{v_t}{\omega_t}\cos(\theta + \omega_t\Delta t)$ = P(x | 7, m, u)p(m/2,x). P(Ztn | Xtn, m) $\begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ d_3 \\ d_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}_{t+1} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ y_0 \end{bmatrix}$ $\bar{\Sigma}_t = G_t \ \Sigma_{t-1} \ G_t^T + V_t \ M_t \ V_t^T$ for all observed features $z_t^i = (r_t^i \ \phi_t^i \ s_t^i)^T$ do ZtH=CXtH+GM+V 10: $\hat{X_{th}} = (C^TQ^TC)^TC^TQ^T(Z_{th} \in G^M)$ $k_{1} = k_{2} = k_{3} = k_{4} = a$ $0 \quad P(\ge |x, m) = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 \pi \sigma}})^{4} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}}} \bar{A}$ 12: $\bar{A} = [(\hat{a} - d_{1})^{2} + (\hat{d}_{2} - d_{2})^{2} + (\hat{d}_{3} - d_{3})^{2} + (\hat{d}_{4} - d_{4})^{2}]$ $= [(\hat{d}_{1} - x + x_{0})^{2} + (\hat{d}_{2} - y + y_{0})^{2} + (\hat{d}_{3} - a + x_{0} + x_{0})^{2}]$ $+ (\hat{d}_{4} - a + y_{0} - y_{0})^{2}]$ $2 \quad P(x | m, z) = (\frac{1}{2\pi \sigma})^{4} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}}} \bar{B}$ 14: 15: $q = (m_{j,x} - \bar{\mu}_{t,x})^2 + (m_{j,y} - \bar{\mu}_{t,y})^2$ $OP(\hat{x}_{tH}|Z_{tH}, m) \sim N(\hat{x}_{tH}, \hat{\Sigma}_{tH})$ (Min = (CQ C) CTQ (2-GM) $= (c^{\mathsf{T}}Q^{\mathsf{T}}C)^{\mathsf{T}}C^{\mathsf{T}}Q^{\mathsf{T}}C(C^{\mathsf{T}}Q^{\mathsf{T}}C)^{\mathsf{T}}$ $S_t^i = H_t^i \, \bar{\Sigma}_t \, [H_t^i]^T + Q_t$ = (CTQTC) + H'ZmH'T a) $\vec{B} = [(\hat{x} - x)^2 + (\hat{y} - y)^2]$ $\vec{y} = (\hat{x} - x)^2 + (\hat{y} - y)^2$ $\vec{y} = (\hat{x} - x)^2 + (\hat{y} - y)^2$ 15: $K_t^i = \bar{\Sigma}_t [H_t^i]^T [S_t^i]^{-1}$ H'=(CTQTC) TCTQTG $\bar{\mu}_t = \bar{\mu}_t + K_t^i (z_t^i - \hat{z}_t^i)$ 17: $\bar{\Sigma}_t = (I - K_t^i H_t^i) \bar{\Sigma}_t$ 18: endfor $\begin{cases} m_{t+1} = (G^{T}Q^{T}G)G^{T}Q^{T}(Z_{t+1} - GM_{t+1}) \\ \sum_{m} = (G^{T}Q^{T}G)^{-1} + L'\hat{\Sigma}_{t+1}L'^{T} \end{cases}$ $\mu_t = \bar{\mu}_t$ b) y=Ax+(r)←~N(0,Q). $\chi = (A^TA)^T A^T Y$ $p_{z_t} = \prod_i \det \left(2\pi S_t^i \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left(z_t^i - \hat{z}_t^i \right)^T [S_t^i]^{-1} (z_t^i - \hat{z}_t^i) \right\}$ $\chi = (A^TQ^TA)^TA^TQ^Ty (Q: \mathring{R}_{\bar{b}})$ L' = (G'Q'G) - GTQ'C return μ_t, Σ_t, p_{z_t} Xton ~ Gr(Mton, Eton) Kalman Fitter ţ \parallel (1) prediction the 2N+3 dimensional space G_t^x $AM_Prediction(\mu_t)$ θ Ath = (coco)coco ztri WAR Ztri Xtri) = Q Extended_Kalman_filter($\mu_{t-1}, \Sigma_{t-1}, u_t$ $t = g(u_t, \mu_{t-1})$ $t = G_t \sum_{t-1} G_t^T$ \$\hat{\lambda_{\text{tri}}} = VAR \ \hat{\lambda_{\text{tri}}} Z_{\text{tri}} = C \\hat{\text{de}} \cdot \\hat{\text{C}} \\hat 0 ... 0 $\begin{array}{l} \lambda_t H_t^T (H_t \, \bar{\Sigma}_t \, H_t^T) \\ \vdots + K_t (z_t - h(\bar{\mu}_t)) \\ I - K_t \, H_t) \, \bar{\Sigma}_t \\ \mu_t, \, \Sigma_t \end{array}$ $\int_{t}^{\infty} \cos(\mu_{t-1}) \cos(\mu_{t-1}) dt$ $-\omega_t \Delta t$ $-\omega_t \Delta t$ \sum_t П П

Importance Sampling Algorithm particle_filter(S_{t-1}, u_{t-1} z_t): 图 1 $K_t = \bar{\Sigma}$ $\mu_t = \bar{\mu}_t$ $\Sigma_t = (l)$ return , 2. $S_i = \emptyset$, $\eta = 0$ $Bel(x) \leftarrow \alpha p(z \mid x) Bel^{-}(x)$ 3. For i = 1...nGenerate new samples = (I - $\prod p(z_k|x) \quad p(x)$ $\alpha p(z \mid x) Bel^{-}(x)$ Sample index j(i) from the discrete distribution given by w_{i-1} $\alpha p(z|x)$ μ_t Target distribution $f: p(x \mid z_1, z_2, ..., z_n) =$ $Bel^{-}(x)$ $C_t^T(C_t \ \bar{\Sigma}_t \ C_t^T)$ $p(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n)$ Sample x_t^i from $p(x_t | x_{t-1}, u_{t-1})$ using $x_{t-1}^{j(i)}$ and u_{t-1} $K_t(z_t - K_t C_t)$ $w^i = p(z \mid x^i)$ Compute importance weight 图 2 Sampling distribution g: $p(x | z_i) = \frac{p(z_i | x)p(x)}{z_i}$ Update normalization factor $\eta = \eta + w_i^i$ $Bel(m_t) = P(m_t | x_1, z_2, ..., x_{t-1}, z_t)$ $S = S \cup \{\langle x^i, w^i \rangle\}$ $= \prod Bel(m_{t}^{[xy]})$ $p(z_l)\prod p(z_k|x)$ 9. **For** i = 1...nImportance weights w: $f = \frac{p(x \mid z_1, z_2, ..., z_n)}{p(x \mid z_1, z_2, ..., z_n)}$ $w_i^i = w_i^i / \eta$ Normalize weights $p(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n)$ Example **Updating Occupancy Map** 图 3 Out of 1000 beams only 60% are reflected from a cell and 40% intercept it without ending in it. Update the map cells using the inverse sensor mod Accordingly, the reflection probability will be 0.6. $\boxed{Bel(m_{t}^{[xy]}) = 1 - \left(1 + \frac{P(m_{t}^{[xy]} \mid z_{t}, u_{t-1})}{1 - P(m_{t}^{[xy]} \mid z_{t}, u_{t-1})} \cdot \frac{1 - P(m_{t}^{[xy]})}{P(m_{t}^{[xy]})} \cdot \frac{Bel(m_{t-1}^{[xy]})}{1 - Bel(m_{t-1}^{[xy]})} \cdot \frac{Bel(m_{t-1}^{[xy]})}{1 - Bel(m_{t-1}^{[xy]})}\right)}$ Suppose $p(occ \mid z) = 0.55$ when a beam ends in a cell and $p(occ \mid z) = 0.45$ when a cell is intercepted by a beam that does not end in it. $P(m_{d,\theta}(x(k)) \mid y(k), x(k)) = P(m_{d,\theta}(x(k)))$ Or use the log-odds representation Accordingly, after n measurements we will have $d < y(k) - d_1$ $-s(y(k), \theta)$ $-s(y(k), \theta) + \frac{s(y(k), \theta)}{dt} (d - y(k) + d_1) d < y(k) + d_1$ $(0.55)^{n+0.6}$ $(0.45)^{n+0.4}$ $\overline{\overline{B}(m_t^{[xy]})} = \log odds(m_t^{[xy]} | z_t, u_{t-1})$ $\overline{B}(m_t^{(xy)}) := \log odds(m_t^{(xy)})$ $d < y(k) + d_2$ * $(\frac{1}{0.55})$ $s(y(k), \theta)$ $s(y(k), \theta) - \frac{s(y(k), \theta)}{d_3 - d_2} (d - y(k) - d_2)$ $d < y(k) + d_3$ $-\log odds(m_i^{[xy]})$ Whereas the reflection map yields a value of 0.6, the occupancy grid value converges to 1. $odds(x) := \left(\frac{P(x)}{1 - P(x)}\right)$ otherwise. $+ \overline{B}(m_{i-1}^{[xy]})$ State Prediction (Odometry) Landmark-based Localization $$\begin{split} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_k &= f(\mathbf{x}_{k-1}, \mathbf{u}_k) \\ \hat{C}_k &= F_x \, C_k \, F_x^T + F_u \, U_k \, F_u^T \\ \text{Control } \mathbf{u}_{^{\mathrm{L}}} \colon \text{wheel displacements } \mathbf{s}_{^{\mathrm{L}}}, \, \mathbf{s}_{^{\mathrm{L}}} \end{split}$$ 图 5 图 6 $\mathbf{u}_k = (s_l \ s_r)^T$ $U_k = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_l^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_r^2 \end{bmatrix}$ Given the predicted state (robot pose), Hessian line model Error model: linear growth $\sigma_l = k_l |s_l|$ $x \cos(\alpha) + y \sin(\alpha) - r = 0$ predicts the location $\hat{\mathbf{z}}_k$ and location uncertainty $H\,\hat{C}_k\,H^T\,$ of expected $= k_r |s_r|$ Nonlinear process model f observations in sensor coordinates $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \frac{s_l + s_r}{s_r - s_l} \left(-\sin \theta_{k-1} + \sin(\theta_{k-1} + \frac{s_r - s_l}{b}) \right) \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{s_l + s_r}{s_r - s_l} \left(-\cos \theta_{k-1} - \cos(\theta_{k-1} + \frac{s_r - s_l}{b}) \right) \\ \frac{s_r - s_l}{b} \end{bmatrix} R_k = \begin{bmatrix} \\ \\ \end{bmatrix}$ x_{k-1} $\hat{\mathbf{z}}_k = h(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k, \mathbf{m})$ $p(m_i \mid z_{1:t}, x_{1:t}) \quad \overset{\text{Bayes rule}}{=} \quad \underline{p(z_t \mid m_i, z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t}) \; p(m_i \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})}$ Recursive rule Associates predicted measurements $\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{i}^{i}$ $p(m_i \mid z_{1:t}, x_{1:t})$ with observations \mathbf{z}_k^{\jmath} $p(z_t \mid m_i, x_t) \ p(m_i \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1})$ $1 - p(m_i \mid z_{1:t}, x_{1:t})$ · Kalman gain = $\mathbf{z}_k^j - \hat{\mathbf{z}}_k^i$ $p(m_i \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1}) = 1 - p(m_i)$ $p(m_i \mid z_t, x_t)$ $p(m_i \mid z_t, x_t) \; p(z_t \mid x_t) \; p(m_i \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1})$ $K_k = \hat{C}_k H^T S_k^{-1}$ $1 - p(m_i \mid z_t, x_t) \underbrace{1 - p(m_i \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1})}_{}$ $= R_k^j + H^i \, \hat{C}_k \, H^{i \, T}$ $p(m_i \mid x_t) \ p(z_t \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})$ $p(m_i \mid z_t, x_t) \ p(z_t \mid x_t) \ p(m_i \mid z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t-1})$ recursive term • State update (robot pose) $p(m_i) p(z_t | z_{1:t-1}, x_{1:t})$ Often written as $\mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{\hat{x}}_k + K_k \, \nu_k$ and innovation Do exactly the same for the opposite event: $Bel(m_t^i) =$ State covariance update covariance $\left[1 + \frac{1 - p(m_t^i|z_t, u_{t-1})}{p(m_t^i|z_t, u_{t-1})} \; \frac{p(m_t^i)}{1 - p(m_t^i)} \; \frac{1 - Bel(m_{t-1}^i)}{Bel(m_{t-1}^i)}\right]$ $C_{k} = (I - K_{k} \, H) \, \hat{C}_{k} \qquad {}_{p(\neg m_{i} \, | \, z_{1:t}, \, x_{1:t})} \quad \overset{\text{the same}}{=} \quad \frac{p(\neg m_{i} \, | \, z_{t}, \, x_{t}) \, p(z_{t} \, | \, x_{t}) \, p(\neg m_{i} \, | \, z_{1:t-1}, \, x_{1:t-1})}{p(\neg m_{i} \, | \, z_{1:t-1}, \, x_{1:t})}$ The SLAM Problem **Dynamic Window Approach** A*: Minimize the Estimated Path Costs Speeds are admissible if q(n) = actual cost from the initial state to n. The robot's controls $V_a = \{(v, \omega) \mid v \leq \sqrt{2 \text{dist}(v, \omega) a_{trans}} \land$ h(n) = estimated cost from n to the next goal. $\mathbf{U}_{0:k} = {\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{u}_k\}}$ • f(n) = g(n) + h(n), the estimated cost of the cheapest solution through n. $\omega \leq \sqrt{2 \operatorname{dist}(v, \omega) a_{rot}}$ 图 9 Relative observations $Z_{0:k} = \{z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_k\}$ $V_d = \{(v, \omega) \mid v \in [v - a_{trans}t, v + a_{trans}t] \land$ • Let h*(n) be the actual cost of the optimal path from n Goal Nearness to the next goal. $\omega \in [\omega - a_{rot}t, \omega + a_{rot}t]$ Navigation Function: [Brock & Khatib, 99] Wanted: • h is admissible if the following holds for all n: Map of features V_s = all possible speeds of the robot. $NF = \alpha \cdot vel + \beta \cdot nf + \gamma \cdot \Delta nf + \delta \cdot goal$ h(n) ≤ h*(n) V_a = obstacle free area $\mathbf{m} = \{\mathbf{m}_1, \mathbf{m}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{m}_n\}$ V_d = speeds reachable within a certain time frame based on possible accelerations. We require that for A*, h is admissible (the straight-line distance is admissible in the Euclidean Space). Path of the robot Considers cost to reach the goal. Follows grid based path computed by A* $Space = V_s \cap V_a \cap V_d$ velocity. $X_{0:b} = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_b\}$ Graphical Model: Models **SLAM** (1) Bayes Rule $p(x|z) = \frac{p(z|x)p(x)}{}$ короt-landmark cross-covariance prediction: Global-to-local Measurement Prediction (skipping time index • Full SLAM: 图 10 (2) Bayes Fitter $p(x_{0...}, m | z_{1...}, u_{1...})$ $p(x_{ter}|z_{ter}) = \frac{p(z_{ter}|x_{ter})}{p(x_{ter}|x_t)p(x_t)}dx_t$ Online SLAM: (3) Bayes Fitter with Map & Odometry. $p(x_{i}, m \mid z_{1:i}, u_{1:i}) = \int \int ... \int p(x_{1:i}, m \mid z_{1:i}, u_{1:i}) dx_{1} dx_{2}...dx_{i-1}$ P (xen | 3 to 1, m, u) = P(20) | xen, m) | p(xon | xe, u) p(xe) dx $\mathbf{x}_{k} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_{k-1}, \mathbf{u}_{k})$ Integrations (marginalization) typically done Motion model (a) prediction pexen(u)= [pexen(x+,u)pex+)dx+ $\mathbf{z}_{\nu} = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}_{\nu}, \mathbf{m})$ observation $p(\hat{x}_{tri}|\hat{x}_{tri,m}) \longleftarrow p(\hat{x}_{tri,m})$ mates most recent pose and map! Observation model E(x)correction p(x++)/s++,u,m)=p(x++)u) Data with neasurements (x,y)-point landmarks PI Ren Sourm) & Si & Si R_{k} EKF SLAM: State Representation E(Au+b)observation II AE(u) + b**First-Order Propagation** y 2 x 3 $A\mu_u + b$ 3x1 pose vector 3x3 cov. matrix $-\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{k}^{i} + H^{i}$ **Z**₁ $\Sigma_x = E((x - E(x))(x - E(x))^T)$ Ž. SLAM $= E((Au + b - AE(u) - b)(Au + b - AE(u) - b)^{T})$ Landmarks are simply added to the state. Growing state vector and covariance matrix! $= E((A(u - E(u)))(A(u - E(u)))^T)$ State augmented Integrating New Landmarks The usual Kalman $= E((A(u - E(u)))((u - E(u))^T A^T))$ $C_{M_{n+1}R} = G_R C_R$ $= AE((u - E(u))(u - E(u))^T)A^T$ $\prod_{k=0}^{z_{t,n}-1} (1-m_{f(x_t,n,k)}) \qquad \qquad \text{if } \varsigma_{t,n}=1$ $m_{f(x_t,n,z_{t,n})} \prod_{k=0}^{z_{t,n}-1} (1-m_{f(x_t,n,k)}) \qquad \text{if } \varsigma_{t,n}=0 \ \ \text{2. beam } n \text{ of scan } t: \\ \text{3. maximum range } n \text{ of scan } t: \\ \text{3. maximum range } n \text{ of scan } t: \\ \text{3. maximum range } n \text{ of scan } t: \\ \text{3. maximum range } n \text{ of scan } t: \\ \text{3. maximum range } n \text{ of scan } t: \\ \text{3. maximum range } n \text{ of scan } t: \\ \text{3. maximum range } n \text{ of scan } t: \\ \text{3. maximum range } n \text{ of scan } t: \\ \text{3. maximum range } n \text{ of scan } t: \\ \text{3. maximum range } n \text{ of scan } t: \\ \text{3. maximum range } n \text{ of scan } t: \\ \text{3. maximum range } n \text{ of scan } t: \\ \text{3. maximum range } n \text{ of scan } t: \\ \text{3. maximum range } n \text{ of scan } t: \\ \text{4. maximum range } n$ $p(z_{t,n} \mid x_t, m) = \Big\{$ $C_{Y} = F_{X} C_{X} F_{X}^{T}$ $Z_{I,n}$ $\varsigma_{t,n}=1$