

QRM Coursework Feedback

333333

Mark: 43

Trait	Poor	Satisfactory	Very good/Excellent
Presentation	Presentation generally poor. Little apparent thought put into design and layout of the report. Inadequate appearance of graphs and tables	Presentation adequate. Evidence that consideration has been given to design and layout of the report. Adequate appearance of graphs and tables	Very well presented. Evidence that significant consideration has been given to design and layout of the report. Appearance of graphs and tables very good/excellent
Comprehension of key statistical principles	Demonstrates limited comprehension of the key statistical principles relevant to this coursework. Fair understanding of the definitions of terms but little understanding demonstrated of their importance or relevance in describing risk.	Demonstrates satisfactory comprehension of the key statistical principles relevant to this coursework. Good understanding of the definitions of terms and fair understanding demonstrated of their importance or relevance in describing risk.	Demonstrates excellent comprehension of the key statistical principles relevant to this coursework. Very good understanding of the definitions of terms and of their importance or relevance in describing risk.
Comprehension of risk management principles	Poor understanding of risk management principles as they relate to this coursework.	Good understanding of risk management principles as they relate to this coursework.	Excellent understanding of risk management principles as they relate to this coursework.
Comprehension of computational methods	Some serious errors in the computational methods employed. Evidence that the relevant computational techniques have not been well understood.	Computational methods by and large correct. Evidence that the relevant computational techniques have been adequately understood.	Computational methods employed virtually error-free. Evidence that the relevant computational techniques have been very well understood.
Clarity of expression	Expression of arguments, explanations and ideas not always very clear, and sometimes difficult to follow.	Adequate expression of arguments, explanations and ideas, though on occasion there is some difficulty encountered by the reader in following them.	Arguments and explanation very clear and easy to follow.
Quality of analysis	Quality of analysis poor - relevant linkages not identified nor connections made.	Quality of analysis fair, though scope to make deeper connections.	Excellent analysis - a clear link made between the case at hand and relevant concepts.

Comment:

comment here NA

comment here NA

comment here NA