

# Climate Hazards

## Log of meetings & decisions

Lizzie, Isabelle Chuine, Ben Cook, Victor van der Meersch

April 13, 2023

### Meeting notes

**7 avril, with Isabelle**

*This meeting covered:*

- WHC (water holding capacity)
- Coding simulations in PHENOFIT
- Problems with fitness values

**WHC.** Try 50 and 100%. See ‘Lien entre dommages dus a la crise et reserve utile en eau des sols.doc’ on Lizzie’s computer for some background that helped Isabelle select these.

**Coding simulations in PHENOFIT.** Use different longitudes as way to code different simulations. (Latitude is used in the model for photoperiod, but longitude is just used as location marker so should be fine.) Isabelle also thought same lat/lon with different data in different rows would work, but using longitude seems easier.

**Problems with fitness values.** I ran PHENOFIT on the historical data using my gradient of sites from Italy, through Germany and onward to Sweden, but I got pretty low fitness values for FAGSYL and QUEROB. Isabelle said this happens. They usually convert to 0/1 so you don’t notice this. She also said they have seen that the fitness is higher closer to where the data used to parameterize the model comes from (see ‘Phenofit4AnneDuputie.pdf’ on Lizzie’s computer).

So, Isabelle said it might have to do with where the data that the parameterized with from (France) versus where our points are (more Central Europe). We discussed changing to other parameters for the leaf phenology (from a K. Kramer book), but then Isabelle realized that we don’t have similar parameters to use for flowering.

So I changed to sites that track more through France.

**15 mars 2023**

See ChatsBenNotes.txt for thoughts on how to do the climate.

**17 mars 2023**

MW Isabelle:

- Two ideas for climate
- How to adjust for other climate variables
- How to ask for climate data from Victor
- Which months around budburst

**9 mars 2023**

1. Sites

- (a) Previously discussed: Southern beech forest as site (Massan and sites in Pyrenees) may not be ideal as lengthening of growing season is not happening in southern range (because drought is shortening the length of the growing season; senescence now in August sometimes). That's the REAL world though, the current PhenoFit shows it lengthening (Isabelle has a postdoc starting in May who may try to work on the drought aspect to fix this)
- (b) Right now the model (Phenofit 4; Delpierre) does not include drought effect on senescence ... just temperature and daylength affect senescence
- (c) But do we need specific sites? Only if we want to compare to what is happening.... But we do need for some climate data.
- (d) But be sure to pick a site that is FLAT (because using climate re-analysis)

2. Adding heat damage: Need good experimental data ... 60C at surface for adult leaves, lower for young leaves (June 2019 saw leaf damage, even on Holm Oak) – so no, don't add.

3. Species

- (a) There are parameterized models for 20 species or so, could use one of these. Or, an artificial species – make up parameters.
- (b) Work with a broadleaf and an evergreen needleleaf.
- (c) Quercus robur and patraea are basically the same species.
- (d) Actually do these three (based on comparisons and having well parameterized models for them) Do querob/quepet (pick one), fagsyl, and pinsyl. Look at the overlay distributions for somewhere flat and not too far south. Look at the new European atlas (European atlas of forest trees) .... <https://forest.jrc.ec.europa.eu/en/european-atlas/> .. see also SI of Duputie...

4. Phenofit5 is still being parameterizing and tested. Used already for beach and Oak.

5. What we'll measure?

- (a) Phenofit 4 has annual fitness: sum(survival + reproductive success) ... reproductive success is based on ripe fruit by end of season before leaf senescence. Survival has a crude carbon metric. – so fitness is constrained by spring frost and length of season.
- (b) Survival is the product of 3 survival parts (temp, drought, carbon: most of survival is drought).

- (c) MaturationIndex is a metric of if the season was long enough .... so this is a way to measure season length
- (d) FruitIndex and LeafIndex only go below 1 due to frost ... so these are ways to measure frost loss.
- (e) LeafDormancyBreakDate relates to leaf-unfolding and to frost risk.
- (f) We can hold phenology constant (at least in PHENOFIT 4)...YES! Can do this – when initializing you can do this, 'activate the date files' – give it specific dates (see methods Duputie et al. 2015 on plasticity GCB). Not sure if it works for Phenofit 5 (see Gauzere Evolution Letters 2020 .... new standing variability in phenology may make this hard).

#### 6. Some notes on climate. ...

- (a) ERA5land model at 10km (includes scenarios and future)
- (b) WHC is hard to calculate – so many want to try across three values (low/medium/high).

### Before 9 mars 2023

Notes from getting going ...

- What site and species do we want to use?
  - Ideally one with an existing model we do not have to spend a long time verifying?
  - Both historical climate and future climate ... so we can compare
  - But also one where some events (frost, heat damage) may have shifted at the same time that growing season length or seasonal warmth has had an impact.
- High temperatures?
- What do we want to measure?
  - Phenological shifts related to end/start of season and particular damage events
  - Tissue or ideally reproduction lost due to each event type
  - Reproduction changes due to longer/warmer season
  - What else?
- What *in silico* experiments do we want to run?
  - Historical climate
  - CMIP future climate scenario (maybe) – characterize future climate: what is mean shift, what is variance shift?
  - Historical climate + 2C?
  - Historical climate with increased variability in spring (and summer?)
  - Historical climate + 2C? with increased variability in spring (and summer?)
  - We want to test how phenological shifts x extremes matter, so I wonder if there is a scenario where we can try to hold phenology more constant and layer on climate variability? Not sure what that will show us ...