

Hello and thanks for your submitting your data entry! I am reviewing files and will be asking for your help to fix things that seem wrong. I apologize as I will probably send multiple entries on this as I find issues; please bear with me. And thanks again!

I am currently checking that ‘our definition’ of phenology is entered correctly and your name showed up in an entry that looked wrong (you’ll see that your entry indicates that our definition was tested, but other rows suggest this could not be correct)....

```
> seemswrong[,c("paper_id", "who_entered", "gsxgrowth", "gsl",
+              "gs_start_metric", "gs_end_metric", "gsxgrowthhours")]
      paper_id who_entered gsxgrowth      gsl
1:      Gao2022      Fredi    yes temperature or snow metric
2:      Gao2022      Fredi    no temperature or snow metric
3: Sebastian-Azcona2020      rdm not mentioned      not measured
4:      Zhu2021      Fredi    yes      not measured
5:      Zhu2021      Fredi    yes      not measured
6:      Brand2022      Alana    no      date
      gs_start_metric gs_end_metric gsxgrowthhours
1:      SOS      none      no
2:      SOS      none      no
3:      none      none      no
4:      none      none      no
5:      none      none      no
6:      September      April      no
```

I wonder if you did not mean ‘no’ and instead meant one of the other ‘no’ or ‘not’ options. Here’s a reminder of the metadata on this entry and possible entries:

ourdefinition_evidence_gslxgrowth: Did they find evidence that growth (whatever metric they used) increased with longer growing seasons. Their GSL metric must be: start to end of wood or vegetative growth (can include satellite data) or periods suitable for growth related to wood or vegetative growth (this latter case mainly for drought systems etc.). Suitable days CANNOT be the number of days they observed growth.

- yes
- no
- negative relationship
- no data for this
- not tested but have data
- not sure

Friendly tip! You may also want to check your entries for ourdefinition_evidence_gslxgrowth and make sure your ‘no’ entries there meant ‘they tested and did not find a relationship.