Grephon: What we learned from the papers

Grephon group

May 4, 2023

Contents

3	Next steps	5
2	Questions I think we need to answer before entering more data	4
L	Quick results	2

1 Quick results

Most folks submitted their tables trying to digest papers on growing season length relates to growth – thank you! We ended up with 35 rows of data across 21 papers. You can check out the merged file

I did a quick review and then clean on some entries. Here's some info from that....

Most studies are temperate or boreal forests as best I can tell. Lots of *Pinus, Abies, Betula, Fraxinus, Quercus, Fagus.*

Growth metrics were dominated by tree rings (annual cores):

	NDVI/LAI	annual core
1	2	10
biomass/height/R:S	dendrometer diameter	intra-annual core
5	2	6
other	photosynthesis	
4	5	

May 2023 Grephon table

Study types were dominated by tree rings (intra and inter-annual) but then more diverse:

continental scale obs phenology with model

ecosystem carbon budget model

greenhouse

greenhouse or chamber

intra-annual cores (xylogenesis)

provenance

1

satellite

shade and climate manipulation experiments

shade manipulation experiments

tree ring

15

May 2023 Grephon table

In 6 papers and 10 rows of data, authors thought they found a relationship, but this varied with growth metric (you'll also see we're rather unsure about those intra-annual core studies):

	no	${\tt not}$	${\tt mentioned}$	unsure	yes
	0		0	0	0
NDVI/LAI	0		2	0	0
annual core	3		1	0	4
biomass/height/R:S	1		0	0	3
dendrometer diameter	2		0	0	0
intra-annual core	2		0	4	0
other	2		0	0	2
photosynthesis	0		1	0	1

And we're not so sure more than one row of data includes a growth x growing season relationship:

		no	unsure	yes
no	0	3	5	0
not mentioned	0	0	0	0
unsure		4	0	0
yes	0	0	9	1

2 Questions I think we need to answer before entering more data...

- 1. Was this data entry doable? It was easy enough for me to clean quickly, but I did not hear how it went for others doing entry?
- 2. I and Ailene want some of our papers reviewed by someone else, do we want to just have everything checked twice?
- 3. Adjustments to data entry ...
 - (a) What do we mean by 'did authors think they found evidence?' ... I still struggled with this. Do we mean in whatever way they defined it? Do we want or have a column for GSL x growth (our version ... and what is our version? We could have a couple, see list below)?
 - (b) Are we separating out leaf from wood phenology studies enough?
 - (c) How to enter xylogenesis studies?
 - (d) I like the study level question, but I think it needs refining. Ailene added "Strideck et al 2022 study created tree ring chronologies (by merging tree rings across individuals within sites- a common practices in tree ring research) so I selected 'Across sites' for study_level. Might be worth a discussion as there may be other tree ring studies that use a similar approach." See below also ...

May 2023 Grephon table

```
across individuals

10
across sites

1
across sites/populations

9
across sites/populations across years within individuals

1
across species

1
across years within individuals

7
across years within individuals\302\240

2
within individuals

1
within individuals for < 1 year (April to October 2018)

1
within years within individuals

1
```

- 1. Can we write out the statements we want to make or line widths in a figure we want to define from this so we can make sure we're happy with the table?
- 2. What is our dream metric of GSL x growth?
 - (a) GSL must be start to end for me NOT days growth >0 or such ...
 - (b) Does photosynthesis count as growth? What about the other random entries such as NDVI?

3 Next steps

- 1. Finalize the table again
- 2. Decide on how to assign additional reviews (re-reviewing) and assign!
- 3. Decide on aims to decide which papers we WOULD add
- 4. Do it ...