

Subject: RE: Heat tolerance design
From: "Parker, Amber" <Amber.Parker@lincoln.ac.nz>
Date: 9/6/16, 5:40 PM
To: Elizabeth Wolkovich <lizzie@oeb.harvard.edu>

Hi Lizzie,

3 x a week will be perfect. I think that at the higher (but not extreme heat temperatures) some varieties may take 10-14 days so with this level of sampling we will get the necessary 5 -6 points to fit the gompertz function.

Amber

-----Original Message-----

From: Elizabeth Wolkovich [<mailto:lizzie@oeb.harvard.edu>]
Sent: Tuesday, 6 September 2016 10:58 a.m.
To: Parker, Amber <Amber.Parker@lincoln.ac.nz>
Subject: Re: Heat tolerance design

On 9/5/16 5:12 PM, Parker, Amber wrote:

AP: The only point is to monitor sufficiently to ensure you get enough points for a reasonable curve fit. You suggested 2-3 days, I would aim for every second day. An alternate function to consider is the Richardson's general logistic which include the logistic and gompertz function. However, given that we know there is a lag after 50% for most phenological observations it can be easily captured by the gompertz.

Hi Amber,

Thanks for the quick feedback! Okay on the data collection. We're aiming for 3 X week if we can manage -- as I just have an intern on the project we won't measure over weekends but my hope is that we'll be able to measure Monday/Wed/Friday ... depending on how long it takes and how much good help we get to help the intern.

All the best,

Lizzie

--

Elizabeth M Wolkovich
Arnold Arboretum
1300 Centre Street
Boston, MA 02131
Ph: (617) 384-5494 or 496-3890
www.temporalecology.org

P Please consider the environment before you print this email.

"The contents of this e-mail (including any attachments) may be confidential and/or subject to copyright. Any unauthorised use, distribution, or copying of the contents is expressly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please advise the sender by return e-mail or telephone and then delete this e-mail together with all attachments from your system."