

The missing mash-up that explains tree biodiversity, masting and
why there are so many squirrels some years and not others:
The Janzen Masting Connell Hypothesis

Janneke, Jonathan, Lizzie

May 9, 2024

Contents

| | | |
|----------|------------------------------------|----------|
| 1 | Outline plus homeless notes | 1 |
| 2 | Figures | 3 |
| 3 | Quick reference notes | 3 |

1 Outline plus homeless notes

Janzen-Connell (conspecific negative density dependence CNDD) – spatial density dependence that leads to coexistence; and masting (insert definition)

1. Outline the dichotomy between these fields (parallel literatures):
 - (a) Similar processes: both focused on density dependence (in trees)
 - (b) Both focused on ways to escape pathogens (via space, JC ... and via time masting).
 - (c) Different dimensions: JC focused on space, masting focused on times.
 - (d) Different outcomes assumed
 - i. JC is focused on negative DD and masting is focused on positive DD (but the masting is probably cycling over time between positive and negative).
 - (e) Transition: JC studies have no time, only space, and EOS Masting have looked over time. So both fields of study have ignored one important axes (either of space or time), which means it is difficult to understand the entire landscape
2. What would happen if we brought them together?
 - (a) Insert 3D time-space-pressure figure and predictions.
 - (b) Highlight the Spatial and temporal scale of pathogens/consumers. (Add to host specificity... people need to include this in empirical tests.) When masting works depends on this AND the host specificity. Predict spatial scale of synchrony and depending

on the generation time/mobility of consumers. Do JC and/or masting make implicit assumptions of mobility and generation time of consumers that each make?

3. Things that might get resolved

- (a) Hey, you guys explaining masting, could help explain community diversity. And you guys explaining community diversity could explain masting.
- (b) Masting people – are REALLY interested in why trees mast (and how it matters to consumers) and maybe this could all connect to rarity/commonness and coexistence.
- (c) Plant soil feedbacks ... somehow
- (d) Latitudinal gradients?
 - i. JC helps explain latitudinal gradient, but evidence is pretty weak; if masting is more prevalent in northern latitudes, then you might resolve this lack of evidence by ...
 - ii. if masting species are more prevalent outside the tropics then they escape CNDD and this explains latitudinal gradient in diversity (but – you may think, ‘hey there’s no evidence of less CNDD in temperate’ and we say – people have not looked at space and time properly)

4. Outstanding questions ...

- (a) What is supposed to happen with JC over time? (JC should consider temporal aspect more)
- (b) Is masting as a way to escape JC? JC means whatever is common gets attacked, so if you mast you can avoid this and stay common. Most tropical forest communities are dominated by a couple species, so we predict they mast?
- (c) What are the evolutionary implications of JC: Masting is a strategy to escape pathogens, JC you’re stuck with pathogens and escape in space – the strategy then should be to evolve dispersal (back to animal dispersal)...

Notes from 8 May 2024 meeting at KWEER without a home

Stuff related to what each field needs to do and/or what we want people to do after reading this paper

1. pull masting to community perspective ... needs phylogeny and pulls towards pest/pathogen host specificity
2. Both JC and masting should care more about host specificity of pathogens (with phylogeny of hosts)
3. JC people should think if masting explains anything.
4. Stop measuring saplings only; look at germinants.
5. So JC should consider temporal aspect more (from above)
6. Develop modeling tools that have space and time.

Semi-crazy ideas ...

1. If there is phylogenetic signal in pest/pathogen host range then it would select for masting synchrony in those species; so phylogenetic signal currently observed in masting would be RECENTLY evolved (convergent evolution, not common ancestor) ... assumes species overlap in geographic ranges and co-occurrence in a community.
2. Related to why masting might be more prevalent in temperate zone (is it?)
 - (a) It's easier to develop cues for masting in seasonal habitats? Evolutionary inertia of seasonal cues makes it easier to evolve masting cues?
 - (b) Seasonality slows down build of soil pathogens after masting? Is it easier to burn out pathogens in the tropics when the pathogens may be less likely to have dormancy stages. So could seasonal (within-year) seed production in the tropics be masting (burns out pathogens) effectively? And thus people don't fully recognize masting in tropics? MERGE this with, is it easier to evolve masting if you're seasonal already.

Masting (an economy of scale) – predicts positive density dependence over time

1. If you are doing it asynchronously then you need host specificity.
2. If you do it synchronously you can have generalist consumers.
3. In between you can maybe less synchronous if hosts are phylogenetically distant. See Parker et al. 2015: 'Phylogenetic structure and host abundance drive disease pressure in communities' which cites Janzen-Connell.

... both verbal models. They only focus on trees, because you need a longer generation time (seed to reproductive maturity) to be longer than the predators can cycle. (They JC people think it works beyond trees – e.g., grasslands – and work on trees only because it's cool to explain all the trees.)

2 Figures

1. Look at citations from each foundational paper in field (Janzen and Connell's separate papers, then Janzen's masting paper) and see how much they cite across the two literatures.
2. 3D time-space-pressure: axes are: time since masting, space from mother tree and predator (pathogen) pressure. JC works across space to say that pressure is HIGH close to mother tree, masting says pressure is LOW in mast years ... but what about in between? Could also use this figure to highlight that JC focuses only on space and masting focuses only on time.
3. Two separate figures: one for JC people which is seeds per m² versus survival (spatial) and for the masting it's seeds per m² versus survival and temporal

3 Quick reference notes

1. 'Feedback with soil biota contributes to plant rarity and invasiveness in communities' by Klironomos.

2. Hulsman 2024: Latitudinal patterns in stabilizing density dependence of forest communities
3. Zhang phylo paper 2022: Phylogenetic dependence of plant–soil feedback promotes rare species in a subtropical forest
4. Parker 2015: Phylogenetic structure and host abundance drive disease pressure in communities
5. Papers that seem to mention both masting and JC:
 - (a) Nathan 2004: A simple mechanistic model of seed dispersal, predation and plant establishment: Janzen-Connell and beyond – modeling paper
 - (b) Martini 2021 Variation in biotic interactions mediates the effects of masting and rainfall fluctuations on seedling demography in a subtropical rainforest – explicitly looks for temporal masting effects of JC
 - (c) Bogdziewicz 2018: Effectiveness of predator satiation in masting oaks is negatively affected by conspecific density says “The JC effect and PSH have been so far studied separately (but see Xiao et al. 2016).” ... Xiao Z, Mi X, Holyoak M, Xie W, Cao K, Yang X, Huang X, Krebs CJ (2016) Seed–predator satiation and Janzen-Connell effects vary with spatial scales for seed-feeding insects. *Ann Bot* 119:109–116