

RH: Phenological tracking

Phenological tracking in communities in stationary & non-stationary environments

Lizzie^{1,2,3} & Megan⁴

¹ *Arnold Arboretum of Harvard University, 1300 Centre Street, Boston, Massachusetts, 02131, USA*

² *Organismic & Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, 26 Oxford Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 02138, USA*

³ *Forest & Conservation Sciences, Faculty of Forestry, University of British Columbia, 2424 Main Mall, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4*

⁴ *Hawaii Institute of Marine Biology*

Corresponding author: XX, see ^{1,2} above ; E-mail:.

Abstract

Keywords: phenology, climate change....

OUTLINE

So, there's a pretty basic structure to what we want to walk through:

1. In *stationary environments* ...
 - (a) Describe R^* and how species with lower R^* always wins (intra-annual dynamics generally) ... need other axis of competition for coexistence
 - (b) Moving onto interannual variation: in temporally variable environments species with τ_i closer to average τ_P should always win
 - (c) For variation in τ_i to exist, need other axis of coexistence (such as R^*)
 - (d) But what if τ_i is not a fixed species attribute? Introduce tracking...
 - (e) Then species with higher α should win
 - (f) Unless you have another axis of coexistence (such as R^* or τ_i)
2. In *nonstationary environments* ... (need some help with phrasing)
 - (a) Earlier τ_i is favored more (R^* versus τ_i runs: previously these coexisted via a higher R^* and less ideal τ_i)
 - (b) Tracking is favored more ... or effective τ_i is really favored more (τ_i vs. α runs)
 - (c) Tracking is favored more (α versus R^*)
3. But this all assumes that nonstationarity happens on only one dimension of the environment; just like species niches, the environment is multidimensional and nonstationarity in it may be multidimensional also.
 - (a) Show what happens when R_0 get smaller as τ_P gets earlier
4. And, we conclude.

PHENOLOGY & CLIMATE CHANGE PAPER

... below is from `VarEnv_notes`

Possible titles: ‘Phenological tracking: It’s more complicated than you think’ (we hope) or ‘Phenological tracking: Is it naive?’

1. Opening
 - (a) Communities shifting due to climate change (species increasing and decreasing)
 - (b) Phenology has been implicated in driving this
 - (c) The theory goes that as seasons get earlier, earlier species win out over later species (don’t get into tracking yet)
 - (d) Yet no one to date has ever examined whether this hypothesis is supported through community coexistence theory and models
 - (e) So here we provide the first test using a model that explicitly considers how within and between year dynamics can drive coexistence
2. Under this model climate change critically alters the environment in a couple ways
 - (a) Climate change...
 - i. τ_P gets earlier (i.e., start of season gets earlier)
 - ii. $R_0 \downarrow$ (e.g., in systems started by a pulse of water from snowpack)
 - iii. $\text{var}(R_0) \uparrow$
 - iv. $\epsilon \uparrow$ (i.e., it gets hotter and resources like water evaporate quicker)
 - (b) Of these, changes in τ_P are arguably the most observed and should be most important to impacts on coexistence via phenology thus we focus on how shifts in τ_P impact coexistence.
 - (c) We first examine the role of phenology in a stationary environment ... then to X, Y, Z.
3. Under a stationary environment what trade-off is required with tracking to allow coexistence?
 - (a) Two species (i, j) case
 - i. Vary τ_P by drawing from a stationary distribution and let R^* and α also vary by being drawn from each of their own (non-joint) distributions, run a bunch of models of 2 species communities and extract co-existing ones.
 - ii. Plot $\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_j}$ (or, perhaps better: realized proximity to τ_P) by $\frac{R_i^*}{R_j^*}$ for coexisting pairs of species (PhenTrackFig. 1, not currently shown here, see paper notes) – we expect a cloud of space where coexistence is possible.
 - (b) Multi-species case

- i. (Similar to above) Vary τ_P by drawing from a stationary distribution and let R^* and α also vary by being drawn from each of their own (non-joint) distributions for a $n > 2$ set of species, and pull out coexisting species from each run.
 - ii. Plot α (or realized proximity to τ_P) against R^* for each community of coexisting species (PhenTrackFig. 2, not currently shown here, see paper notes), measure the correlation and the noise around it.
 - iii. Examine the distribution of correlations (and maybe noise) for all communities (PhenTrackFig. 3, not currently shown here, see paper notes).
4. Under a non-stationary environment of earlier τ_P how: (1) does this trade-off change and (2) do communities change?¹
 - (a) Two species case: take the coexisting 2-species communities from part I and add nonstationarity in τ_P and ...
 - i. see how long it takes to lose one species.
 - ii. see which ones persist longest and mark on PhenTrackFig. 1 (e.g., re-do PhenTrackFig. 1 with bubble plots or such for how long the two species persist together).
 - (b) Multi-species case: take the coexisting multi-species communities from part I and add nonstationarity in τ_P and ...
 - i. stop at X timepoint and re-do PhenTrackFig. 2 and 3 to see how they have shifted (e.g., you may lose the middle species — those that are not the best competitors nor the best trackers ...).
 - ii. extract timepoints when 10% and/or 50% of species are lost.
 - iii. extract when each species is lost in a community and order the species loss of PhenTrackFig. 2.
5. Are there environmental conditions under which tracking won't work as a strategy? (This is the section where we return to R_0 and ϵ , which we just mentioned earlier.
 - (a) Thinking about environmental correlations (e.g., spring gets earlier and drier or such), are there some where tracking will not be favored?
 - (b) Answer: Yes, probably whenever you shift the environment in another way (in addition to earlier τ_P) that does not impact the competitive dominant but does negatively impact the competitive inferior/tracker (See also Figure 1 below).
 - (c) So, for example if τ_P gets earlier *and* R_0 gets smaller then the trackers may decline.

¹Megan may have better notes on this section

FIGURES

1. Real-world data showing stat/non-stationarity in environment (ideally τ_P)
2. Real-world data showing tracking (and less tracking)
3. τ_P vs. R^* trade-off and histogram of persisting τ_i under stat/nonstat τ_P environment
4. alpha vs. τ_i trade-off and histogram of persisting alpha under stat/nonstat τ_P environment
5. alpha vs. R^* trade-off and histogram of persisting alpha under stat/nonstat τ_P environment
6. time-series of one run showing years where τ_i of one species is close to τ_P and other years where τ_i of other species is close to τ_P (and show this shift under nonstat)
7. non-stationarity in R_0 and τ_P