Subject: RE: Meta requests!

From: Kelley Slimon < kfslimon@alumni.ubc.ca>

Date: 9/5/19, 3:56 PM

To: "Wolkovich, Elizabeth" <e.wolkovich@ubc.ca>

Hi Lizzy,

'no data' means that the paper did not collect any empirical data at all, while no phenological or trait data would mean they'd have data on other things but were lacking that type of data we needed. I used 'model', 'theory/model - no data' 'theoretical model' interchangeably - we could change these all to 'no data' to make it uniform.

I have been including papers that do not statistically look for relationships between traits and tracking but mention it anyways. I have been excluding them if they measure tracking and a trait but do not explore the idea that they could be related at all. I can definitely go back and check for these papers that I might have missed, though I think my plan would be to finish the list I still have to do now first and then go back to look after that is done. Does this sound like a good plan?

Sincerely, Kelley Slimon

From: Wolkovich, Elizabeth

Sent: Thursday, September 05, 2019 5:11 PM

To: Kelley Slimon

Subject: Re: Meta requests!

Hi Kelley,

Great! Thanks -- I will toss up the other papers in case it saves you a few minutes. And I will work on the ? studies.

I have been starting to analyse the data you're created! Attached is my code and CSV versions of the files you've produced (which you need to run the code). This week or next it would be great if you could get the R file running on your computer. I think Mika, Darwin or Deirdre could help you with this if you have issues (and you could ask them to try to walk through what I am doing in the files also if you want). I am unfortunately away much of the next two weeks but am excited to review it further with you when I am back.

I do have some questions. In the accept/reject data file:

- (1) What does 'no data' mean (compared to phenological or not trait data)?
- (2) Any differences between 'model' versus 'theory/model no data' or 'theoretical model'?

And a request! We should capture ALL studies which *had tracking and trait data across multiple species* but did NOT statistically look for a relationship. I realize that may mean going back to some papers but I think it is worth it. Could you add this to the accept/reject file you have perhaps (I assume it would go in column why2)? Please reply to let me know if this sounds feasible and if you have any questions about it.

All the best, Lizzie

On 9/5/19 1:28 PM, Kelley Slimon wrote:

Hi Lizzy,

I will share the folder today! The papers with a '?' in the done column of the accept/reject spreadsheet could use a second look to see if we could use them or not. I do not think I included any lines of data for traits that the authors did not look to link tracking to, but I can double check. I will add didnottry if I find any or if I add any data like that in the future. Hope all is well!

Sincerely, Kelley Slimon

From: Wolkovich, Elizabeth

Sent: Tuesday, September 03, 2019 4:36 PM

To: Kelley Slimon Subject: Meta requests!

Dear Kelley,

Could you possible share the Tracking & Traits meta folder with wolkovich@g.harvard.edu? I downloaded most (but not all, and I may have made some mistakes in what I downloaded so please check my work!) of the upcoming papers and wanted to add them to the folder.

Also, please let me know what papers you would like me to give a second

review to.

And! In the column link_trackingandtrait_yesno ... should we maybe have three categories here? Yes/no/didnottry? What I am wondering is if `no' includes both 'tested and they were not linked' and 'did not test' -- if so, it would be good to differentiate those (or do other columns effectively differentiate between these two possibilities?).

All the best, Lizzie

--

Elizabeth M Wolkovich Forest and Conservation Sciences University of British Columbia 3041 - 2424 Main Mall Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4 Office: 604-827-5246 www.temporalecology.org

Elizabeth M Wolkovich
Forest and Conservation Sciences
University of British Columbia
3041 - 2424 Main Mall
Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4
Office: 604-827-5246
www.temporalecology.org