Elizabeth Baker

Rethinking Assessment: How Neurodivergent Brains Show Knowledge Differently

Traditional testing has never captured the full story, especially for neurodivergent learners. Kids with ADHD, autism, dyslexia, and other cognitive profiles often think in ways that are vivid, visual, intuitive, and beautifully non-linear. But when a system only rewards timed writing, memorization, and rigid formatting, so much of their brilliance remains unrecognized.

Why Traditional Testing Misses the Mark

Standardized assessments depend on a narrow slice of human cognition: quick writing, linear reasoning, strict formatting, and calm under time pressure. These rigid design choices privilege certain brains and disadvantage others. Hambleton and Pitoniak (2006), writing in The *Cambridge Handbook of the Learning Sciences*, demonstrate how these tests often overlook the diverse ways students demonstrate knowledge.

Picture the autistic student who instantly spots patterns in noisy data but struggles to translate that insight into long-form writing. Or the ADHD child who generates wildly imaginative ideas but is hindered by slow processing speed. Or the dyslexic student whose conceptual depth far exceeds what their timed writing reveals. The problem isn't their thinking; it's the measurement tool.

Howard Gardner's Multiple Intelligences Theory widens the lens even more. Gardner (2011) argues that intelligence is plural, not singular. Rather, it is spatial, musical, logical, interpersonal, bodily-kinesthetic, and so on. Many neurodivergent learners shine in these "off-test" domains: spatial reasoning, pattern recognition, and design thinking. Yet most standardized exams measure barely one slice of this spectrum.

Why Authentic Assessment Works Better

Authentic assessments (tasks grounded in real world meaning) give students room to use their thinking rather than just recall it. Research from ASCD and Edutopia consistently shows that these assessment formats highten engagement and offer a clearer picture of what students understand.

Authentic assessment can look like:

- design or artistic projects
- models, coding tasks, or experiments
- video or oral explanations

These approaches reveal how students reason, connect ideas, communicate meaning, and transfer understanding; these are abilities that multiple-choice prompts fail to accurately assess.

The Power of Portfolios

If there's one tool that genuinely supports neurodivergent learners, it's the portfolio. Instead of forcing mastery into one stressful moment, portfolios collect work over time: sketches, drafts, prototypes, videos, reflections, problem-solving attempts. They show learning in motion.

Abrami & Barrett (2005) demonstrate that portfolios strengthen student confidence, deepen reflection, and give educators a much more complete view of what students can do. Schools using portfolio assessment often see stronger engagement, higher graduation rates, and richer demonstrations of 21st-century skills. For neurodivergent students, whose strengths might

appear in bursts or patterns, portfolios capture the nuance that standardized tests routinely overlook.

A Fairer Future

Rethinking assessment isn't just a neurodiversity issue; it's a fairness issue. When schools embrace authentic tasks, multiple-intelligence-aligned activities, and portfolio systems, they build classrooms where more students belong. Neurodivergent learners don't need to be "fixed." They need opportunities to showcase their natural talents and passions, in the formats that fit the way their minds work.

References

Abrami, P. C., & Barrett, H. (2005). Directions for research and development on electronic portfolios. Canadian Journal of Learning and Technology, 31(3). https://www.cjlt.ca/index.php/cjlt/article/view/26487

ASCD. (2018). The Case for Authentic Assessment. https://www.ascd.org/el/articles/the-case-for-authentic-learning

Edutopia. (2015). Comprehensive Assessment Research Review. George Lucas Educational Foundation. https://www.edutopia.org/comprehensive-assessment-research

Gardner, H. (2011). Frames of Mind: The Theory of Multiple Intelligences (3rd ed.). Basic Books.

Hambleton, R. K., & Pitoniak, M. J. (2006). Testing and assessment. In R. K. Sawyer (Ed.), The Cambridge Handbook of the Learning Sciences (pp. 72–92). Cambridge University Press.

National Center for Learning Disabilities. (2020). The State of Learning Disabilities. https://www.ncld.org/research/state-of-learning-disabilities/

U.S. Department of Education. (2017). A Guide to Authentic Assessment Practices. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED573378.pdf