

A Deep Dive into the Maturing Blockchain Stablecoin Ecosystem: Decision-Critical Analysis

Regulatory Divergence and Its Impact on Global Market Structure

The global stablecoin ecosystem in 2025 is fundamentally shaped by a stark divergence in regulatory philosophies between the United States and the European Union. This regulatory fork has created two distinct operational realities, forcing issuers to navigate a complex web of compliance obligations that directly impact their market strategy, issuance models, and global reach. The U.S. approach, codified in the Guiding and Establishing National Innovation for US Stablecoins (GENIUS) Act, prioritizes federal oversight, dollar dominance, and broad market participation^{14 16}. In contrast, the EU's Markets in Crypto-Assets (MiCA) regulation establishes a harmonized but more restrictive framework focused on consumer protection and jurisdictional control^{1 16}. This divergence is not merely a bureaucratic nuance; it represents a foundational choice between open, innovation-driven finance and tightly controlled, border-focused stability, creating significant friction and fragmentation across the global digital asset landscape.

The GENIUS Act, signed into law in July 2025, establishes a comprehensive federal regime designed to bring stablecoins under formal supervision while fostering innovation within the U.S.^{4 5}. Its most impactful provisions mandate that all payment stablecoins be fully backed 1:1 by a specific list of high-quality liquid assets, including cash, insured deposits at depository institutions, short-dated U.S. Treasuries (up to 93 days), and government money market funds^{4 66 91}. This explicit requirement creates a powerful incentive for issuers to hold U.S. Treasury securities, positioning them as a new, significant source of demand for sovereign debt and reinforcing the dollar's global role^{60 64}. The act also introduces a tiered licensing system, allowing federally chartered non-bank issuers, state-chartered issuers who meet a "substantially similar" standard to the federal framework, and subsidiaries of FDIC-insured banks to operate^{69 71}. This structure is intentionally flexible, aiming to prevent regulatory arbitrage and encourage participation from a wide range of entities, from fintech startups to established banks⁶⁶. Furthermore, the GENIUS Act explicitly prohibits stablecoin issuers from paying interest or yield to holders, defining them strictly as payment instruments rather than investment vehicles^{5 71}. This provision creates a direct conflict with innovative yield-bearing models like Ethena's USDe, effectively banning them in the U.S. market and pushing such activity into less-regulated jurisdictions^{50 92}.

In sharp contrast, the EU's MiCA regulation, which became fully applicable in late 2024, adopts a more restrictive and protective stance^{1 2}. MiCA imposes stringent restrictions on who can issue stablecoins, requiring them to be established entities within the EU and obtain authorization from a national competent authority (NCA)^{16 66}. This territorial establishment model effectively erects a jurisdictional barrier, preventing non-EU issuers like Tether from accessing the EU retail market

directly without partnering with a licensed local entity¹⁶. For e-money tokens (EMTs), which encompass most fiat-pegged stablecoins, MiCA mandates that at least 30% of the reserve funds must be held in separate accounts within credit institutions, with the remainder invested only in low-risk, highly liquid assets denominated in the same currency¹⁶. Unlike the GENIUS Act's focus on final asset composition, MiCA places a strong emphasis on ex ante transparency, requiring all public offerings to be accompanied by a detailed white paper subject to supervisory review before any marketing occurs¹⁶. While this approach provides a higher degree of consumer protection, its restrictive nature has resulted in slower market adoption compared to the U.S.; despite regulatory clarity, the largest euro-denominated stablecoin in the EU had only €200 million in circulation¹². The U.S. model's flexibility, particularly its allowance for non-bank entrants, appears to be accelerating market entry and innovation, whereas MiCA's stricter gatekeeping has created a more cautious, albeit safer, environment⁶⁶.

This regulatory divergence has profound implications for the global market structure, leading to fragmentation and increased compliance complexity. Stablecoin issuers must now contend with a patchwork of rules, often resulting in region-specific token versions or the formation of partnerships with local licensees to maintain market access⁸³. A prime example of this is Tether's dual-token strategy: it continues to operate its flagship USDT globally under its existing offshore structure while launching a new, U.S.-compliant version called USAT to cater specifically to the American institutional market under the GENIUS Act^{100 101}. This bifurcation highlights the immense pressure on issuers to comply with multiple, sometimes conflicting, legal regimes. The lack of alignment between the U.S. and EU frameworks was highlighted in a Financial Stability Board (FSB) report, which noted significant gaps in the implementation of global standards and warned of the risks of regulatory arbitrage and inconsistent oversight in the inherently global crypto market⁶⁸. This regulatory friction complicates the concept of a unified, borderless stablecoin economy and raises the bar for businesses looking to operate internationally, demanding substantial investment in legal and compliance infrastructure to navigate the disparate requirements of each jurisdiction.

Feature	U.S. GENIUS Act Framework	EU MiCA Regulation
Jurisdictional Model	Territorial establishment required for foreign issuers to serve U.S. customers ^{5 15} .	Territorial establishment required; non-EU issuers face significant barriers to market access ^{16 66} .
Issuer Eligibility	Broadly defined: federally chartered non-banks, state-qualified issuers ("substantially similar"), and bank subsidiaries ^{69 71} .	Restricted to entities established within the EU and authorized by a National Competent Authority (NCA) ¹⁶ .
Reserve Requirements	1:1 backing in a specific list of high-quality liquid assets, including U.S. Treasuries and insured deposits ^{4 66} .	Robust requirements including own funds, reserve segregation, and liquidity management ^{1 16} .
Transparency		

Feature	U.S. GENIUS Act Framework	EU MiCA Regulation
	Monthly public disclosures of reserve composition with CEO/CFO attestations ⁴⁷¹ .	Comprehensive white papers for public offerings, subject to supervisory review ¹⁶ .
Yield Prohibition	Explicit ban on paying interest or yield to stablecoin holders ⁵⁷¹ .	Prohibits offering yield, consistent with a payment instrument focus ^{12 92} .
Market Access	Authorized providers gain passporting rights across the EU ¹ .	Passporting rights available to authorized providers across the EU single market ¹ .

The Economic Imperative: Business Models, Revenue Streams, and Systemic Risk

The economic foundation of the stablecoin ecosystem has undergone a significant transformation, evolving from a simple mechanism for price stability into a complex and increasingly sophisticated financial infrastructure. In 2025, the business models of major issuers reveal a clear dichotomy between passive yield generation from reserves and active platform-as-a-service revenue streams, while simultaneously introducing new forms of systemic risk that intersect with the traditional financial system. The enactment of the GENIUS Act has further crystallized this shift, penalizing opaque models and rewarding transparency, thereby reshaping the competitive landscape and investor expectations.

For years, the primary revenue driver for centralized stablecoin issuers like Tether and Circle was the "float" generated from investing their vast reserves in interest-bearing assets, primarily U.S. Treasury bills and commercial paper ^{44 46}. This model made them significant players in the U.S. Treasury market, collectively ranking as one of the top buyers of Treasuries and holding hundreds of billions in assets ^{45 61}. Tether reported staggering profits of \$5.7 billion in the first half of 2025, a figure enabled by a combination of yield on its reserves and gains from higher-risk assets like Bitcoin and gold, alongside extremely low operating costs due to minimal compliance and marketing expenditures ^{48 100}. In contrast, Circle's model is built on transparency and regulatory compliance; its nearly 100% of revenue comes from interest on its reserves, which are held exclusively in cash and short-term U.S. Treasuries ^{45 46}. However, this model is more vulnerable to margin erosion, as a portion of its earnings is shared with distribution partners like Coinbase, and its profitability is directly tied to Federal Reserve interest rate fluctuations ⁴⁵. The GENIUS Act's strict rules favor this compliant, transparent model, mandating that reserves be held in permissible assets and requiring monthly audits, which would make Tether's diversified and less-transparent portfolio difficult to sustain under the new framework ^{66 101}.

Recognizing the limitations of relying solely on passive reserve yield, leading issuers are aggressively diversifying into active, platform-based revenue models. This includes monetizing their developer tools through APIs, providing enterprise-grade custody and treasury management services, and

charging fees for programmatic minting and redemption ^{44 49}. The IPO of Circle on the NYSE in June 2025, which saw its valuation surge eightfold, was a landmark event signaling the market's recognition of this hybrid model, where the company is viewed as a financial infrastructure provider rather than just a stablecoin issuer ^{45 97}. Similarly, Stripe's acquisition of a stablecoin infrastructure firm for \$1.1 billion underscores the growing importance of building the underlying rails for mainstream adoption ^{23 60}. These platform services create network effects, build moats around their respective ecosystems, and offer more stable, predictable revenue streams compared to volatile interest rates, representing the next evolutionary step for the industry.

However, this rapid maturation brings with it significant systemic risks. Despite being labeled "fully backed," stablecoins remain susceptible to runs if confidence in their reserve backing erodes. The temporary de-pegging of USDC to below \$0.90 in March 2023, triggered by concerns over its exposure to Silicon Valley Bank, serves as a stark reminder of this fragility ^{66 103}. Unlike banks, stablecoin issuers lack access to central bank liquidity facilities like the discount window, making them vulnerable to fire sales of their underlying assets during a crisis, which could trigger broader instability in the Treasury and repo markets ^{62 91}. There are also grave concerns about the potential for widespread stablecoin adoption to cause massive disintermediation of the traditional banking system. One U.S. Treasury report estimated that stablecoin flows could trigger up to \$6.6 trillion in deposit outflows from banks, potentially disrupting credit creation and altering the money multiplier effect ^{62 93}. By shifting demand towards short-duration U.S. Treasuries, stablecoins are already exerting downward pressure on short-term yields and subtly reshaping how monetary policy is transmitted through the economy, a dynamic that regulators are still grappling with ^{61 62}. The GENIUS Act attempts to mitigate some of these risks through stringent reserve rules, but the deepening integration of the crypto and traditional financial systems means that a crisis in one sector could easily spill over into the other, highlighting the need for continued vigilance and adaptive regulatory oversight ⁷⁰.

Architectural Realities: Multi-Chain Integration, Interoperability Challenges, and Security Vulnerabilities

The modern stablecoin ecosystem is an inherently multi-chain reality, a necessary evolution driven by the need for scalability, lower transaction costs, and improved user experience. While this architectural shift has unlocked unprecedented utility, enabling stablecoins to function as viable tools for everyday payments and high-frequency trading, it has also introduced a severe and persistent security crisis centered on cross-chain interoperability. The fundamental tension between achieving seamless, fast transfers across disparate blockchains and maintaining robust security has led to catastrophic losses, forcing the industry to confront the "Interoperability Trilemma"—the difficulty of simultaneously optimizing for speed, cost, and trust-minimization ³¹.

For a stablecoin to be effective, it must exist on multiple blockchains. Ethereum remains the undisputed hub, hosting approximately 70% of all stablecoin supply due to its mature developer community and extensive DeFi integrations ⁸⁸. However, its high gas fees and network congestion have made Layer-2 scaling solutions like Arbitrum, Base, and Optimism, as well as high-throughput

Layer-1 chains like Solana and Tron, indispensable for cost-effective transactions^{57 58}. USDC is natively issued on a wide array of these networks, reflecting its focus on institutional-grade infrastructure, while USDT dominates on Tron for its ability to facilitate high-volume, near-zero fee transactions, making it the preferred choice for traders and users in emerging markets^{52 88}. This multi-chain deployment is crucial for solving real-world problems, yet it creates immense complexity in managing liquidity, ensuring regulatory compliance across different jurisdictions, and securing assets against attacks that target the bridges connecting these isolated networks⁸¹.

These bridges, which are the technological linchpins of the multi-chain world, have become the ecosystem's Achilles' heel. As of mid-2025, over \$2.8 billion has been stolen from cross-chain bridges, accounting for nearly 40% of all Web3 hacks recorded to date^{79 80}. Major exploits at Ronin, Wormhole, Nomad, and Harmony were not caused by novel cryptographic breakthroughs but by a recurring pattern of fundamental failures: compromised validator private keys, flawed smart contract logic, insecure multisig setups, and inadequate transaction monitoring^{79 85}. The Ronin bridge hack, which lost over \$600 million, exemplified the danger of a small, centralized validator set, while the Wormhole exploit demonstrated how a bug in a single line of code could allow attackers to mint uncollateralized wrapped assets^{79 80}. These incidents highlight a critical conflict: the demand for instant, seamless transfers clashes with the immense difficulty of achieving secure, trust-minimized communication between independent blockchains. Many early bridge designs sacrificed security for speed, a trade-off that proved fatal when exploited by sophisticated adversaries.

In response to this security crisis, the industry is developing more resilient interoperability solutions, though the path forward is fraught with technical and design trade-offs. The most secure approaches aim to minimize trust assumptions. Protocols like Cosmos IBC use light clients to independently verify the state of another chain, eliminating reliance on centralized custodians^{31 83}. Canonical bridges, pioneered by initiatives like Circle's Cross-Chain Transfer Protocol (CCTP), enable a "burn-and-mint" mechanism where a stablecoin is destroyed on one chain and a native equivalent is created on another, avoiding the need for wrapped assets and reducing counterparty risk^{31 83}. Other protocols employ hybrid models, combining decentralized oracle networks and validator sets to provide dual layers of verification, balancing speed and security³¹. Despite these innovations, the sheer volume of funds lost indicates that the security landscape remains precarious. Businesses and institutions must treat every cross-chain transfer with extreme caution, recognizing that each bridge represents a distinct and audited attack surface. The ongoing challenge will be to scale these more secure solutions without sacrificing the performance that makes multi-chain stablecoins so valuable, a problem that lies at the heart of the ecosystem's current architectural evolution.

Enterprise Adoption and Value Chain Transformation

The transition of stablecoins from speculative assets to core financial infrastructure is being driven by increasing adoption within the enterprise sector. In 2025, corporate treasurers, multinational corporations, and financial institutions are actively integrating stablecoins into their operations to solve tangible pain points related to cross-border payments, treasury management, and programmable workflows^{75 76}. This shift is not merely experimental; it is a strategic move aimed at enhancing efficiency, reducing costs, and improving liquidity management. The passage of clear

regulatory frameworks like the GENIUS Act in the U.S. has provided the legal certainty needed for this mainstream adoption, transforming stablecoins from a niche tool for crypto-native firms into a practical solution for global commerce ^{67 74}.

The primary value proposition for enterprises is the dramatic improvement in settlement speed and cost for cross-border transactions. Traditional banking rails, which rely on correspondent banks, can take several days to settle and incur high fees, especially for remittances and international payrolls ^{74 75}. Stablecoins offer near-instantaneous settlement 24/7, with transaction costs often measured in cents rather than dollars, representing a reduction of up to 80% in some corridors ^{26 74}. Companies like SpaceX, Ferrari, and even Meta Platforms have begun using stablecoins for treasury operations and employee payouts, leveraging their ability to bypass traditional banking intermediaries and access USD liquidity quickly and cheaply, particularly in regions with limited banking infrastructure or capital controls ^{55 75}. This efficiency is driving a new benchmark for payment service providers, pressuring incumbents to innovate or risk losing market share to faster, cheaper blockchain-based alternatives ⁷⁶.

Beyond payments, stablecoins are becoming integral to corporate treasury management and programmable finance. They enable real-time reconciliation, automated workflows, and enhanced visibility into global cash positions, moving beyond simple third-party integrations to require internal wallet and custody infrastructure ⁷⁵. Smart contracts allow for the creation of programmable payments, such as delivery-versus-payment (DvP) mechanisms for B2B settlements or earned wage access platforms for employees, automating processes that are currently manual and time-consuming ^{55 75}. JPMorgan, for instance, uses its internal JPM Coin for on-chain repo settlements, demonstrating the potential for large institutions to leverage tokenized assets for more efficient intraday liquidity management ^{12 55}. This trend is supported by a growing ecosystem of integrated financial services, with major payment processors like Visa, Mastercard, and Stripe enabling merchants to accept stablecoins for payments and receive instant fiat settlement ^{23 74}. Shopify, for example, allows merchants to accept USDC payments on its Base L2, processing up to 20% of their volume via stablecoins and reducing transaction costs by 50% ⁷⁴.

However, this rapid adoption is not without challenges and requires a strategic rethinking of enterprise financial operations. Integrating stablecoins necessitates overcoming legacy system constraints like batch processing and cut-off times, and requires robust compliance and risk management frameworks to handle KYC/AML obligations and potential illicit activity ^{71 75}. The choice of stablecoin is also a critical decision point, with institutions typically preferring compliant, transparent options like USDC for institutional use cases due to their auditability and regulatory alignment ¹⁰². In contrast, USDT may be used for higher liquidity needs on exchanges, but carries greater regulatory risk ⁵³. The value chain has thus transformed from one focused on speculation to one focused on execution, where stablecoins act as a reliable and efficient settlement layer. This transformation is accelerated by collaborations between traditional finance and blockchain, such as the nine European banks announcing a joint venture to launch a MiCAR-compliant euro stablecoin, signaling a clear intent to compete with legacy payment systems like SWIFT ^{19 55}. The strategic imperative for financial institutions has shifted from deciding whether to engage with stablecoins to

determining how to participate—through issuance, settlement, acceptance, or integration into existing rails—to avoid being left behind in the evolving digital economy ⁷⁶.

Emerging Trends and Future Trajectory of the Stablecoin Ecosystem

As the stablecoin ecosystem matures, its trajectory is being shaped by a confluence of powerful trends that promise to deepen its integration into the global financial system while simultaneously introducing new complexities. The most significant of these is the convergence of stablecoins with the tokenization of real-world assets (RWAs), which aims to bridge the gap between the permissionless world of DeFi and the regulated, asset-backed world of traditional finance ^{41 42}. This movement is complemented by the parallel development of central bank digital currencies (CBDCs), which represent a state-backed alternative to private stablecoins and are being pursued by nations seeking to maintain monetary sovereignty in a digital-first era ^{14 62}. Together, these trends signal a future where stablecoins evolve from simple pegged tokens into sophisticated financial instruments embedded within a broader, digitized asset class.

The integration of stablecoins with RWAs represents a paradigm shift in their economic function. Initially, stablecoins were primarily used as a vehicle for trading and a store of value. Now, they are becoming a gateway to earn yield on traditional assets like U.S. Treasury bonds and commercial paper directly on the blockchain ⁴¹. MakerDAO (now Sky Protocol) has been a pioneer in this space, integrating its DAI stablecoin with vaults holding U.S. Treasuries, ETFs, and loans, generating significant protocol revenue and attracting institutional capital ^{41 42}. BlackRock, a titan of traditional finance, has launched tokenized money market funds accessible to USDC holders, creating a compliant, yield-bearing product that leverages the stability of a regulated stablecoin ^{41 102}. This trend is expected to accelerate, with projections suggesting the tokenized asset market could reach \$16 trillion by 2030 ⁶⁰. For stablecoin issuers, RWA integration offers a way to diversify revenue away from volatile interest rates and enhance the utility of their tokens, while for investors, it provides a familiar, low-volatility way to access digital-native financial products.

At the same time, governments worldwide are advancing their own digital currency initiatives, creating a competitive and potentially complementary landscape. Central banks are exploring CBDCs as a way to preserve monetary sovereignty, enhance financial inclusion, and improve the efficiency of domestic payments ^{14 91}. China's e-CNY and Eastern Caribbean DCash are among the early examples, while the Eurozone is considering a "digital euro" as a defensive measure against the rise of dollar-dominated stablecoins ^{12 62}. These efforts are not necessarily intended to replace stablecoins but to coexist with them, potentially creating a two-tiered digital currency system. The U.S. Treasury has expressed opposition to widespread CBDC implementation, viewing stablecoins as a strategic tool to reinforce dollar dominance, but acknowledges their role in strengthening the existing financial system ^{60 74}. The relationship between CBDCs and stablecoins will likely be one of both competition and collaboration, with CBDCs serving as a safe, sovereign-backed anchor and stablecoins offering greater flexibility and integration with the burgeoning DeFi ecosystem.

Looking ahead, the future of the stablecoin ecosystem will be defined by its ability to balance innovation with stability. The collapse of algorithmic stablecoins like TerraUSD in May 2022 underscored the inherent risks of models that rely on confidence rather than hard collateral, leading to a regulatory crackdown and a market-wide pivot towards more robust, collateral-backed designs^{70 78}. The future is likely to see a continued consolidation around a few dominant, compliant issuers like Circle (USDC) and Tether (USDT), whose market power will give them significant influence over the digital economy⁹⁵. However, niche players will continue to innovate, particularly in areas like decentralized finance and yield generation, catering to sophisticated users who prioritize censorship resistance and novel financial primitives over regulatory comfort²⁵. Ultimately, the stablecoin ecosystem's long-term success will depend on its capacity to build trust through transparency, security, and regulatory adherence, while continuing to deliver on its core promise of efficient, borderless value transfer. The journey from a speculative asset to a pillar of the global financial system is well underway, but navigating the remaining challenges of security, regulation, and systemic risk will determine its ultimate place in the decades to come.

Reference

1. Regulating crypto-assets in Europe: Practical guide to MiCA <https://www.nortonrosefulbright.com/en/knowledge/publications/2cec201e/regulating-crypto-assets-in-europe-practical-guide-to-mica>
2. Member State Implementation of MiCA - Updated Tracker <https://www.aosphere.com/know-how/member-state-implementation-of-mica-updated-tracker/>
3. EU Crypto Regulation Explained: An Essential Guide (2025) <https://www.innreg.com/blog/eu-crypto-regulation-guide>
4. Stablecoin Legislation: An Overview of S. 1582, GENIUS ... <https://www.congress.gov/crs-product/IN12553>
5. GENIUS Act Implementation <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2025/09/19/2025-18226/genius-act-implementation>
6. The GENIUS Act and Stablecoins: Could This Replace ... <https://www.klgates.com/The-GENIUS-Act-and-Stablecoins-Could-This-Replace-State-Money-Transmitter-Licensing-10-6-2025>
7. OCC Clarifies Bank Authority to Engage in Certain ... <https://www.occ.treas.gov/news-issuances/news-releases/2025/nr-occ-2025-16.html>
8. Asian Stablecoin Race: Japan Races Ahead, Hong Kong ... <https://www.bitget.com/news/detail/12560605043879>
9. Asia Fintech and Payments Regulatory Update - August 2025 <https://www.linklaters.com/en/knowledge/publications/alerts-newsletters-and-guides/2025/august/15/asia-fintech-and-payments-regulatory-update-august-2025>

10. Hong Kong's Stablecoin Regime Comes Into View <https://www.fintechanddigitalassets.com/2025/07/hong-kongs-stablecoin-regime-comes-into-view/>
11. Top Stablecoin Issuers & Use Cases 2025 <https://www.tokenmetrics.com/blog/top-stablecoin-issuers-use-cases-2025>
12. Stablecoins payments infrastructure for modern finance <https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/financial-services/our-insights/the-stable-door-opens-how-tokenized-cash-enables-next-gen-payments>
13. Which stablecoins are the largest and most popular in 2025? <https://www.fool.com/research/largest-stablecoins/>
14. Who's who in the stablecoin ecosystem <https://www.coincover.com/blog/whos-who-in-the-stablecoin-ecosystem-coincover>
15. Stablecoins: Issues for regulators as they implement ... <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/stablecoins-issues-for-regulators-as-they-implement-genius-act/>
16. The Strategic Implications of the GENIUS Act and MiCAR ... <https://www.twobirds.com/en/insights/2025/useu-regulatory-divergence-in-cryptoassets-the-strategic-implications-of-the-genius-act-and-micar-fr>
17. The Regulatory Landscape for Stablecoins: What Banks ... <https://www.paxos.com/blog/regulatory-landscape-for-stablecoins>
18. Genius Act: the new era of regulated stablecoins <https://www.regular.eu/en/blog/genius-act>
19. Why EU and US Banks Are Racing to Issue Stablecoins <https://www.fintechweekly.com/magazine/articles/banks-racing-to-issue-stablecoins-us-europe-fintech>
20. Top 5 Crypto Stablecoin Wallets in 2025 - SoluLab <https://www.solulab.com/top-crypto-stablecoin-wallets/>
21. Store Stable : Top Crypto Wallets for Stablecoins in 2025 <https://www.nadcab.com/blog/top-5-crypto-wallets-for-stablecoins-in-2025>
22. What to Know About Stablecoins <https://www.jpmorgan.com/insights/global-research/currencies/stablecoins>
23. Visa moves deeper into stablecoins by launching new ... https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DkJ6FUR_uQE
24. The 2025 Stablecoin Market Leaders Payment Teams Must ... <https://www.rapyd.net/blog/top-stablecoins-analysis/>
25. Top 5 Stablecoins in 2025 <https://klever.io/blog/top-5-stablecoins-2025/>
26. Stablecoins in 2025: Ranking Top 10 for B2B Payments || Speed <https://www.tryspeed.com/blog/stablecoins-in-2025-ranking-top-10-for-b2b-payments/>
27. Best cryptocurrency payment gateways for international ... <https://bvnk.com/blog/best-crypto-payment-gateway>

28. Top 20 Most Popular Cryptocurrencies in 2025 <https://www.swipesum.com/insights/most-popular-cryptocurrencies>
29. Cross-Chain Stablecoins: Interoperability and the Bridge ... <https://www.transfi.com/blog/cross-chain-stablecoins-interoperability-and-the-bridge-wars>
30. Towards blockchain interoperability: a comprehensive ... <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2096720925000132>
31. Blockchain Interoperability: The Current State in 2025 <https://www.cryptoeq.io/articles/blockchain-interoperability-solutions>
32. Cross-Chain Compatibility: The Future of Interoperability in ... <https://algosone.ai/cross-chain-compatibility-the-future-of-interoperability-in-crypto/>
33. Interoperability of Blockchain Systems and the Future of ... <https://libertystreeteconomics.newyorkfed.org/2025/03/interoperability-of-blockchain-systems-and-the-future-of-payments/>
34. Cross-Chain Compatibility in DeFi: Challenges and Solutions <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/cross-chain-compatibility-defi-challenges-solutions-yevhen-rudenko-yq4rf>
35. Blockchain in cross-border payments: a complete 2025 guide <https://bvnk.com/blog/blockchain-cross-border-payments>
36. Cross-Chain Interoperability in 2025: The Glue Holding ... <https://bravenewcoin.com/insights/cross-chain-interoperability-in-2025-the-glue-holding-defi-together>
37. How Blockchain Revolutionises Cross-Border Payments <https://www.thunes.com/insights/blockchain-cross-border-payments/>
38. Stablecoins in DeFi: Ultimate Guide for 2024 [Types, Uses ... <https://www.rapidinnovation.io/post/stablecoins-in-defi-everything-you-need-to-know>
39. Stablecoins: 2024 Market Trends <https://www.gate.com/learn/articles/stablecoins-2024-market-trends/4844>
40. Maker - Whitepaper <https://makerdao.com/da/whitepaper/>
41. Maker - A Deep Dive Into The World's First Unbiased Global ... <https://research.artemisanalytics.com/p/maker-a-deep-dive-into-the-worlds>
42. DAI Stablecoin, MKR Governance, and RWA Expansion <https://www.lbank.com/ja/explore/makerdao-dai-stablecoin-mkr-governance-rwa-expansion>
43. The MakerDAO Endgame Plan <https://www.gate.com/learn/articles/the-makerdao-endgame-plan/513>
44. How Stablecoin Issuers Make Money: A Look At USDT ... <https://shamlatech.com/how-stablecoin-issuers-make-money-usdt-usdc-industry/>
45. How does Circle make money from the surge in stablecoins? <https://www.futunn.com/en/learn/detail-how-does-circle-make-money-from-the-surge-in-stablecoins-89451-250667019>

46. Stablecoin Issuers Earn \$10 Billion Annually Driven by ... <https://www.ainvest.com/news/stablecoin-issuers-earn-10-billion-annually-driven-reserve-interest-2507/>
47. How do stablecoin issuers profit from U.S. Treasuries and ... <https://www.panewslab.com/en/articles/vqwijbdg>
48. Tether Company Earns 54 Million USD Daily with Only 150 ... <https://www.binance.com/en/square/post/28260429392777>
49. How Stablecoin Issuers Make Money: USDT, USDC, USDe https://www.linkedin.com/posts/chiamunaretto_read-about-stablecoin-issuers-revenue-models-activity-7386340555338702848-Id3H
50. The Best Stablecoins of 2025: USDT vs. USDC ... <https://payram.com/blog/usdt-vs-usdc>
51. Understanding Stablecoins: Architecture, Ecosystem and ... <https://medium.com/@ztraderai/understanding-stablecoins-architecture-ecosystem-and-trading-opportunities-87615f2a4b44>
52. USDT vs. USDC vs. DAI: Which Stablecoin should you ... <https://www.techloy.com/usdt-vs-usdc-vs-dai-which-stablecoin-should-you-choose/>
53. Best Dollar Stablecoins in 2025: USDC vs USDT vs DAI <https://www.bleap.finance/blog/best-stablecoins-which-one-should-you-hold>
54. USDT vs USDC vs DAI: Best Stablecoin for Your Business ... <https://www.coinsdo.com/en/blog/usdt-vs-usdc-vs-dai-best-stablecoin-for-your-business-in-2025>
55. Stablecoins <https://media-publications.bcg.com/Stablecoins-five-killer-tests-to-gauge-their-potential.pdf>
56. What is a Stablecoin? Complete Guide to USDT, USDC, and ... <https://www.mindmathmoney.com/articles/what-is-a-stablecoin-understanding-tether-usdt-usdc-and-dai>
57. Stablecoin Layer-2: Scaling Dollars on Arbitrum, Optimism ... <https://www.transfi.com/blog/stablecoin-layer-2-scaling-dollars-on-arbitrum-optimism-base>
58. Best Blockchain Platforms for Stablecoin Development <https://blockchain.oodles.io/blog/best-blockchain-platforms-stablecoin-development/>
59. Building and Auditing Smart Contracts for Stablecoins <https://www.blockchain-council.org/cryptocurrency/smart-contracts-for-stablecoins/>
60. Stablecoins – Modernizing financial infrastructure <https://www.morganstanley.com/im/en-gb/intermediary-investor/insights/articles/modernizing-financial-infrastructure.html>
61. Stablecoins: Bridging Volatility and Stability in the Digital ... <https://www.confluence.com/stablecoins-bridging-volatility-and-stability-in-the-digital-asset-space/>
62. The stablecoin moment <https://www.statestreet.com/tw/en/insights/stablecoin-moment>
63. Stablecoins: 10 Things You Need to Know <https://business.cornell.edu/article/2025/08/stablecoins/>

64. Speech by Governor Miran on stablecoins and monetary ... <https://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/speech/miran20251107a.htm>
65. Stablecoins and short-term funding markets <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0261560625002049>
66. The GENIUS Act's Comparison to Global Stablecoin Norms <https://www.law.georgetown.edu/international-law-journal/blog/geniusact/>
67. GENIUS Act: A New Era For Stablecoin Innovation <https://www.oliverwyman.com/our-expertise/insights/2025/aug/how-to-navigate-stablecoin-strategy-under-genius-act-rules.html>
68. FSB finds significant gaps and inconsistencies in ... <https://www.fsb.org/2025/10/fsb-finds-significant-gaps-and-inconsistencies-in-implementation-of-crypto-and-stablecoin-recommendations/>
69. November 4, 2025 U.S. Department of the Treasury ATTN <https://www.csbs.org/genius-act-implementation-0>
70. Stablecoin Security Risks in 2025: Full Risk Assessment ... <https://www.elliptic.co/blockchain-basics/stablecoin-2025-risk-assessment-guide>
71. October 23, 2025 <https://www.venable.com/-/media/files/publications/2025/10/stablecoin-webinar-2-october-23-2025-clean.pdf?rev=449384b15cbb44e497dcf7c8eb7fd9c6&hash=DB894F937EFAF8470570EF17D33401E3>
72. BPI Insights: November 8, 2025 <https://bpi.com/bpinsights-november-8-2025/>
73. Speech by Governor Barr on stablecoins <https://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/speech/barr20251016a.htm>
74. Stablecoins And Blockchain: Becoming The Backbone Of ... <https://www.forbes.com/sites/roomykhana/2025/11/16/stablecoins-and-blockchain-becoming-the-backbone-of-finance/>
75. Stablecoin for treasurers: PwC <https://www.pwc.com/us/en/tech-effect/emerging-tech/stablecoin-for-treasurers.html>
76. How stablecoin regulation is reshaping payments in 2026 <https://thepaymentsassociation.org/article/how-stablecoin-regulation-is-reshaping-payments-in-2026/>
77. Financial services 3rd quarter update: Trends, regulatory ... <https://www.elliotttdavis.com/insights/financial-services-update-q3-2025>
78. Stablecoin Security Risks in 2025 <https://www.elliptic.co/blockchain-basics/stablecoin-security-risks-in-2025-full-risk-assessment-guide-elliptic>
79. Seven Key Cross-Chain Bridge Vulnerabilities Explained <https://chain.link/education-hub/cross-chain-bridge-vulnerabilities>
80. A fragile solution: cross-chain bridge vulnerabilities <https://blog.1inch.com/cross-chain-bridge-vulnerabilities/>
81. Multi-Chain Stablecoins: Security, Risks and Best Practices <https://www.halborn.com/blog/post/multi-chain-stablecoins-security-risks-and-best-practices>

82. How DeFi Gets Hacked: the Most Common Exploits ... <https://bithide.io/blog/security/defi-hacks-cases-and-methods/>
83. Why Stablecoin Fragmentation Is a Problem ... <https://transak.com/blog/why-stablecoin-fragmentation-is-a-problem-and-what-can-fix-it>
84. 2025 Crypto Crime Mid-Year Update <https://www.chainalysis.com/blog/2025-crypto-crime-mid-year-update/>
85. Blockchain Bridge Security: Risks, Hacks, and How to Protect <https://webisoft.com/articles/blockchain-bridge-security/>
86. Top Crypto Hacks, Scams and Exploits in 2025 (So Far) <https://www.ccn.com/education/crypto/crypto-hacks-exploits-full-list-scams-vulnerabilities/>
87. Crypto Security Incidents - January 2025 - Nominis <https://nominis.io/insights/crypto-security-incidents-january-2025>
88. Stablecoin Market Share by Chain Statistics 2025 <https://coinlaw.io/stablecoin-market-share-by-chain-statistics/>
89. Stablecoins in 2025: Full Overview of the \$230B Market <https://medium.com/@monolith.vc/stablecoins-in-2025-full-overview-of-the-230b-market-bab96c680c44>
90. STABLECOINS: https://www.dbresearch.com/PROD/RI-PROD/PROD0000000000603306/Stablecoins%3A_How_the_GENIUS_Act_Strengthenes_US_Geo.pdf
91. The Impact of Stablecoins and Digital Assets in the U.S. <https://www.tdsecurities.com/ca/en/stablecoins-digital-assets-in-us>
92. Digital Money <https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/221/TBACCharge2Q22025.pdf>
93. Stablecoins – Modernizing financial infrastructure https://www.morganstanley.com/im/publication/insights/articles/article_modernizingfinancialinfrastructure_a4.pdf
94. Stablecoins - Global Money Movement Report 2025 <https://transak.com/blog/stablecoins-powering-global-money-movement-report-2025>
95. Stablecoins Ranking 2025: USDT, USDC and Emerging ... <https://www.gate.com/learn/articles/stablecoins-ranking-2025-usdt-usdc-and-emerging-tokens-compared/11112>
96. 2025 Crypto Adoption and Stablecoin Usage Report <https://www.trmlabs.com/reports-and-whitepapers/2025-crypto-adoption-and-stablecoin-usage-report>
97. State of Crypto 2025: The year crypto went mainstream <https://a16zcrypto.com/posts/article/state-of-crypto-report-2025/>
98. Treasury moves to write new stablecoin rules, seeks public ... <https://subscriber.politicopro.com/article/2025/09/treasury-moves-to-write-new-stablecoin-rules-seeks-public-feedback-00571215>
99. Stablecoin Giant Tether Slashes Treasury Bond Buying by ... <https://yellow.com/news/stablecoin-giant-tether-slashes-treasury-bond-buying-by-nearly-90-percent>

100. Tether Reaches 500 Million Users as USDT Supply Hits ... <https://bravenewcoin.com/insights/tether-reaches-500-million-users-as-usdt-supply-hits-182-billion>
101. Tether's Compliance Adventure - by Thejaswini M A <https://www.thetokendispatch.com/p/tethers-compliance-adventure>
102. USDC vs USDT in 2025: The Full Investor's Guide <https://atomicwallet.io/academy/articles/usdc-vs-usdt-stablecoin-comparison-2025>
103. USDT vs USDC: A comparison of leading stablecoins <https://www.moonpay.com/en-ca/learn/cryptocurrency/what-is-the-difference-between-usdt-and-usdc>
104. Better Stablecoin Buy: Tether (USDT) vs. USDC <https://www.fool.com/investing/2025/09/24/better-stablecoin-buy-tether-usdt-vs-usdc/>