

## An Irreducibility Criterion for Polynomials Over the Integers

W. S. Brown & R. L. Graham


To cite this article: W. S. Brown & R. L. Graham (1969) An Irreducibility Criterion for Polynomials Over the Integers, The American Mathematical Monthly, 76:7, 795-797, DOI: [10.1080/00029890.1969.12000326](https://doi.org/10.1080/00029890.1969.12000326)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00029890.1969.12000326>



Published online: 18 Apr 2018.



Submit your article to this journal 



View related articles 

## References

1. Errett Bishop, Holomorphic completions, analytic continuations and the interpolation of seminorms, *Ann of Math.*, 78 (1963) 468–500.
2. J. L. Kelley, Isaac Namioka, *et al.*, *Linear Topological Spaces*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1963.
3. Shoshichi Kobayashi, Invariant distances on complex manifolds and holomorphic mappings, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 19 (1967) 460–480.
4. Walter Rudin, The closed ideals in an algebra of analytic functions, *Canadian J. Math.*, 9 (1957) 426–434.

## AN IRREDUCIBILITY CRITERION FOR POLYNOMIALS OVER THE INTEGERS

W. S. BROWN and R. L. GRAHAM, Bell Telephone Laboratories

**1. Introduction.** If  $P(x)$  is a reducible polynomial of degree  $d \geq 1$  with integer coefficients, we should not expect the sequence

$$\mathcal{S}(P) = (\dots, P(-1), P(0), P(1), \dots)$$

to have many noncomposite (that is, prime or unit) elements. By making this idea precise, we shall obtain an irreducibility criterion. A special case of our main result is that if  $\mathcal{S}(P)$  contains  $p$  primes and  $u$  units with  $p + 2u > d + 4$ , then  $P$  is irreducible.

**2. Fatness.** Let  $P(x)$  be any polynomial of degree  $d \geq 1$  with integer coefficients, and let  $u$  be the number of units in  $\mathcal{S}(P)$ . We define the *fatness* of  $P$  to be

$$f(P) = u - d,$$

and we say that  $P$  is *fat* if  $f(P) > 0$ .

If  $\epsilon$  is a unit (that is,  $+1$  or  $-1$ ), and if  $a_1, \dots, a_d$  are distinct integers, then the polynomial  $(x - a_1) \cdots (x - a_d) + \epsilon$  has fatness at least 0. If  $P$  is fat, then clearly  $\mathcal{S}(P)$  must contain units of both signs.

Note that all polynomials in the set

$$\mathcal{I}(P) = \{\pm P(\pm x + b)\},$$

where  $b$  ranges over the integers and where all possible choices of signs are taken, have the same fatness.

**3. Notation.** If  $P(x)$  is a polynomial, we define

$$\begin{aligned} d &= d(P) &&= \text{degree of } P \\ p &= p(P) &&= \text{number of primes in } \mathcal{S}(P) \\ u &= u(P) &&= \text{number of units in } \mathcal{S}(P) \\ u_+ &= u_+(P) &&= \text{number of positive units in } \mathcal{S}(P) \\ u_- &= u_-(P) &&= \text{number of negative units in } \mathcal{S}(P) \\ f &= f(P) &&= \text{fatness of } P. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $u = u_+ + u_-$ , and  $f = u - d$ .

#### 4. Classification of fat polynomials.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $P(x)$  be a fat polynomial (with  $d \geq 1$ ). Then  $u \leq 4$ ,  $d \leq 3$ ,  $f \leq 2$ ; and one of the following holds:*

- (a)  $P(x) \in \mathfrak{I}(x)$ ,  $u_+ = 1$ ,  $u_- = 1$ ,  $d = 1$ ,  $f = 1$
- (b)  $P(x) \in \mathfrak{I}(x^2 + x - 1)$ ,  $u_+ = 2$ ,  $u_- = 2$ ,  $d = 2$ ,  $f = 2$
- (c)  $P(x) \in \mathfrak{I}(x^3 + 2x^2 - x - 1)$ ,  $u_{\pm} = 3$ ,  $u_{\mp} = 1$ ,  $d = 3$ ,  $f = 1$
- (d)  $P(x) \in \mathfrak{I}(2x - 1)$ ,  $u_+ = 1$ ,  $u_- = 1$ ,  $d = 1$ ,  $f = 1$
- (e)  $P(x) \in \mathfrak{I}(2x^2 - 1)$ ,  $u_{\pm} = 2$ ,  $u_{\mp} = 1$ ,  $d = 2$ ,  $f = 1$ .

*Proof.* We first prove that  $u \leq 4$ . Since  $P$  is fat, we have seen that  $u_+ \geq 1$  and  $u_- \geq 1$ . Clearly  $P$  may be written

$$P(x) = (x - a_1) \cdots (x - a_{u_+})Q(x) + 1,$$

where  $a_1 < \cdots < a_{u_+}$ . Now if  $P(b) = -1$ , we have  $(b - a_1) \cdots (b - a_{u_+})Q(b) = -2$ ,  $\{b - a_1, \dots, b - a_{u_+}\} \subseteq \{-2, -1, 1, 2\}$ . By the first of these relations, at least  $u_+ - 1$  of the distinct integers  $b - a_1, \dots, b - a_{u_+}$  must be  $\pm 1$ . Hence  $1 \leq u_+ \leq 3$ , and similarly  $1 \leq u_- \leq 3$ . If  $u_+ = 3$ , there is at most one integer  $b$  for which the second relation holds, so  $u_- = 1$ . If  $u_+ = 2$ , there are at most two such integers, so  $u_- \leq 2$ . Thus in every case  $u \leq 4$ .

Since  $P$  is fat,  $d < u$ , and therefore  $d \leq 3$ . Since  $u \leq 4$  and  $d \geq 1$ , we have  $f \leq 3$ ; however, we shall see that the case  $f = 3$  does not occur, and therefore  $f \leq 2$ .

Next we prove that  $d(Q) = 0$ . We may assume  $u_+ \geq u_-$ , (otherwise replace  $P$  by  $-P$ ). Since  $u \leq 4$ , it follows that  $u_- \leq 2$ . Since  $P$  is fat,  $d(Q) < u_-$ , and therefore  $d(Q) = 0$  or  $1$ . If  $d(Q) = 1$ , then  $u_+ = u_- = 2$ . Hence, for some  $b_1 \neq b_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (b_1 - a_1)(b_1 - a_2)Q(b_1) &= (b_2 - a_1)(b_2 - a_2)Q(b_2) = -2, \\ \{b_1 - a_1, b_1 - a_2, b_2 - a_1, b_2 - a_2\} &\subseteq \{-2, -1, 1, 2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{b_1 - a_1, b_1 - a_2\}$  is a translate of  $\{b_2 - a_1, b_2 - a_2\}$ , it follows that  $(b_1 - a_1)(b_1 - a_2) = (b_2 - a_1)(b_2 - a_2)$  and  $Q(b_1) = Q(b_2)$ . Hence  $Q(x)$  is constant.

We now have

$$P(x) = c(x - a_1) \cdots (x - a_{u_+}) + 1.$$

Since  $u_- \geq 1$ , we may assume  $P(0) = -1$ ; that is,  $(-1)^{u_+}ca_1 \cdots a_{u_+} = -2$ . It follows that  $|c| = 1$  or  $2$ .

If  $|c| = 1$ , then  $a_1 \cdots a_{u_+} = \pm 2$ , so either  $a_1 = -2$  or  $a_{u_+} = 2$ . We may assume  $a_1 = -2$ . (Otherwise replace  $P(x)$  by  $P(-x)$ .) If  $u_+ = 1$ , then  $ca_1 = 2$ ,  $c = -1$ , and  $P(x) = -(x+2)+1 = -(x+1)$ , so  $-P(x-1) = x$ . If  $u_+ = 2$ , then  $ca_1a_2 = -2$ ,  $ca_2 = 1$ ,  $a_2 = c = \pm 1$ , and  $P(x) = c(x+2)(x-c)+1$ . If  $c = 1$ , then  $P(x) = x^2 + x - 1$ . If  $c = -1$ , then  $P(x) = -(x+2)(x+1)+1$ , so  $-P(x-1) = x^2 + x - 1$ . Finally, if  $u_+ = 3$ , then  $ca_1a_2a_3 = 2$ ,  $ca_2a_3 = -1$ ,  $a_2 = -1$ ,  $a_3 = 1$ ,  $c = 1$ , and  $P(x) = x^3 + 2x^2 - x - 1$ .

If  $|c| = 2$ , then  $a_1 \cdots a_{u_+} = \pm 1$ , so  $u_+ = 1$  or  $2$ . If  $u_+ = 1$ , then  $ca_1 = 2$ ,  $c = \pm 2$ ,  $a_1 = \pm 1$ , and  $P(\pm x) = 2x - 1$ . If  $u_+ = 2$ , then  $ca_1a_2 = -2$ ,  $a_1 = -1$ ,  $a_2 = 1$ ,  $c = 2$ , and  $P(x) = 2x^2 - 1$ . This completes the proof.

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $P$  is a fat polynomial with  $d = 1$  or  $2$ , then there is an integer  $b$  such that  $P(-x) = (-1)^d P(x - b)$ .*

### 5. Irreducibility criterion.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $P(x)$  be a polynomial with  $p + 2u > d \geq 2$ . Then either  $P$  is irreducible or  $P = QR$  with  $f(Q) + f(R) \geq p + 2u - d$ .*

*Proof.* If  $P$  is reducible, we can write  $P = QR$  with  $f(Q) \geq f(R)$ . Now for each integer  $n$  such that  $P(n)$  is prime, either  $Q(n)$  or  $R(n)$  must be a unit, while for each  $n$  such that  $P(n)$  is a unit, both  $Q(n)$  and  $R(n)$  must be units. Therefore  $u(Q) + u(R) \geq p + 2u$ , and  $f(Q) + f(R) \geq p + 2u - d$ , as was to be shown.

**COROLLARY 2:** *If  $p + 2u > d + 4$ , then  $P$  is irreducible.*

**6. Example.** Let  $P(x) = x^5 - x^4 + 2x^3 - x^2 + x - 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} P(0) &= -1 \\ P(1) &= 1 \\ P(2) &= 29 \\ P(4) &= 883 \\ P(-1) &= -7 \\ P(-2) &= -71 \\ P(-4) &= -1429. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $p \geq 5$ ,  $u \geq 2$ , and  $p + 2u - d \geq 4$ . Hence if  $P$  is reducible, we have  $P = QR$  with  $f(Q) = f(R) = 2$ . But this implies  $d = 4$ , which is a contradiction, so  $P$  is irreducible.

If we fail to notice that  $P(4)$  and  $P(-4)$  are prime, then we have  $p \geq 3$ ,  $u \geq 2$ , and  $p + 2u - d \geq 2$ . In this case, if  $P$  is reducible, we have  $P = QR$  with  $f(Q) + f(R) \geq 2$ . Thus either  $f(Q) = f(R) = 1$  or  $f(Q) = 2$ . In the first case we may assume  $d(Q) = 2$ , and therefore  $Q \in 3(2x^2 - 1)$ . But this is impossible because  $P$  is monic. Therefore  $f(Q) = 2$ , and  $Q \in 3(x^2 + x - 1)$ . Now by Corollary 1 we have  $Q(x) = (x - b)^2 + (x - b) - 1$ , and so  $x^2 + x - 1$  divides  $P(x + b)$ . However the remainder of  $P(x + b)$  modulo  $x^2 + x - 1$  is  $R_1(b) + xR_2(b)$ , where

$$R_1(b) = b^5 - b^4 + 12b^3 - 17b^2 + 21b - 9$$

$$R_2(b) = 5b^4 - 14b^3 + 32b^2 - 31b + 14.$$

Since  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  have no common integer root, the remainder cannot vanish for any integer  $b$ . This contradiction proves that  $P$  is irreducible.

We thank the referee for his very helpful suggestions.