

第15讲

Some facts about cardinal numbers

(3.4) $+$ and \cdot are associative, commutative and distributive.

$$(3.5) \quad (\kappa \cdot \lambda)^\mu = \kappa^\mu \cdot \lambda^\mu.$$

$$(3.6) \quad \kappa^{\lambda+\mu} = \kappa^\lambda \cdot \kappa^\mu.$$

$$(3.7) \quad (\kappa^\lambda)^\mu = \kappa^{\lambda \cdot \mu}.$$

$$(3.8) \quad \text{If } \kappa \leq \lambda, \text{ then } \kappa^\mu \leq \lambda^\mu.$$

$$(3.9) \quad \text{If } 0 < \lambda \leq \mu, \text{ then } \kappa^\lambda \leq \kappa^\mu.$$

$$(3.10) \quad \kappa^0 = 1; 1^\kappa = 1; 0^\kappa = 0 \text{ if } \kappa > 0.$$

To prove (3.4)–(3.10), one has only to find the appropriate one-to-one functions.

Proof of (3.6)

1.7 Theorem

$$(a) \quad \kappa^{\lambda+\mu} = \kappa^{\lambda} \cdot \kappa^{\mu}.$$

$$(b) \quad (\kappa^{\lambda})^{\mu} = \kappa^{\lambda \cdot \mu}.$$

$$(c) \quad (\kappa \cdot \lambda)^{\mu} = \kappa^{\mu} \cdot \lambda^{\mu}.$$

Proof. Let $\kappa = |K|$, $\lambda = |L|$, $\mu = |M|$. To show (a), assume that L and M are disjoint. We construct a one-to-one mapping F of $K^L \times K^M$ onto $K^{L \cup M}$. If $(f, g) \in K^L \times K^M$, we let $F(f, g) = f \cup g$. We note that $f \cup g$ is a function, in fact a member of $K^{L \cup M}$, and every $h \in K^{L \cup M}$ is equal to $F(f, g)$ for some $(f, g) \in K^L \times K^M$ (namely, $f = h \upharpoonright L$, $g = h \upharpoonright M$). It is easily seen that F is one-to-one.

Proof of (3.5) and (3.7)

To prove (b), we look for a one-to-one map F of $K^{L \times M}$ onto $(K^L)^M$. A typical element of $K^{L \times M}$ is a function $f : L \times M \rightarrow K$. We let F assign to f the function $g : M \rightarrow K^L$ defined as follows: for all $m \in M$, $g(m) = h \in K^L$ where $h(l) = f(l, m)$ (for all $l \in L$). We leave it to the reader to verify that F is one-to-one and onto.

For a proof of (c) we need a one-to-one mapping F of $K^M \times L^M$ onto $(K \times L)^M$. For each $(f_1, f_2) \in K^M \times L^M$, let $F(f_1, f_2) = g : M \rightarrow (K \times L)$ where $g(m) = (f_1(m), f_2(m))$, for all $m \in M$. It is routine to check that F is one-to-one and onto. \square

Cardinal numbers and Alephs

An ordinal α is called a *cardinal number* (a cardinal) if $|\alpha| \neq |\beta|$ for all $\beta < \alpha$. We shall use $\kappa, \lambda, \mu, \dots$ to denote cardinal numbers.

If W is a well-ordered set, then there exists an ordinal α such that $|W| = |\alpha|$. Thus we let

$$|W| = \text{the least ordinal such that } |W| = |\alpha|.$$

Clearly, $|W|$ is a cardinal number.

Every natural number is a cardinal (a *finite cardinal*); and if S is a finite set, then $|S| = n$ for some n .

The ordinal ω is the least infinite cardinal. Note that all infinite cardinals are limit ordinals. The infinite ordinal numbers that are cardinals are called *alephs*.

A lemma

Lemma 3.4.

- (i) *For every α there is a cardinal number greater than α .*
- (ii) *If X is a set of cardinals, then $\sup X$ is a cardinal.*

For every α , let α^+ be the least cardinal number greater than α , the *cardinal successor* of α .

Proof. (i) For any set X , let

$$(3.11) \quad h(X) = \text{the least } \alpha \text{ such that there is no one-to-one function of } \alpha \text{ into } X.$$

There is only a set of possible well-orderings of subsets of X . Hence there is only a set of ordinals for which a one-to-one function of α into X exists. Thus $h(X)$ exists.

If α is an ordinal, then $|\alpha| < |h(\alpha)|$ by (3.11). That proves (i).

(ii) Let $\alpha = \sup X$. If f is a one-to-one mapping of α onto some $\beta < \alpha$, let $\kappa \in X$ be such that $\beta < \kappa \leq \alpha$. Then $|\kappa| = |\{f(\xi) : \xi < \kappa\}| \leq \beta$, a contradiction. Thus α is a cardinal. \square

The increasing enumeration of all Alephs

用超限归纳定义 ω_α 如下.

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_0 &= \omega, \\ \omega_{\alpha+1} &= h(\omega_\alpha), \\ \omega_\alpha &= \sup\{\omega_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}, \text{ 当}\alpha\text{为非0极限序数}.\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.4, we define the increasing enumeration of all alephs. We usually use \aleph_α when referring to the cardinal number, and ω_α to denote the order-type:

$$\begin{aligned}\aleph_0 = \omega_0 = \omega, \quad \aleph_{\alpha+1} = \omega_{\alpha+1} = \aleph_\alpha^+, \\ \aleph_\alpha = \omega_\alpha = \sup\{\omega_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \quad \text{if } \alpha \text{ is a limit ordinal}.\end{aligned}$$

Successor cardinals and limit cardinals

Sets whose cardinality is \aleph_0 are called *countable*; a set is *at most countable* if it is either finite or countable. Infinite sets that are not countable are *uncountable*.

A cardinal $\aleph_{\alpha+1}$ is a *successor cardinal*. A cardinal \aleph_α whose index is a limit ordinal is a *limit cardinal*.

Multiplication of alephs

Addition and multiplication of alephs is a trivial matter, due to the following fact:

Theorem 3.5. $\aleph_\alpha \cdot \aleph_\alpha = \aleph_\alpha$.

As a corollary we have

$$(3.14) \quad \aleph_\alpha + \aleph_\beta = \aleph_\alpha \cdot \aleph_\beta = \max\{\aleph_\alpha, \aleph_\beta\}.$$

Exponentiation of cardinals will be dealt with in Chapter 5. Without the Axiom of Choice, one cannot prove that 2^{\aleph_α} is an aleph (or that $P(\omega_\alpha)$ can be well-ordered), and there is very little one can prove about 2^{\aleph_α} or $\aleph_\alpha^{\aleph_\beta}$.

The canonical well-ordering of $\alpha \times \alpha$

We define a well-ordering of the class $Ord \times Ord$ of ordinal pairs. Under this well-ordering, each $\alpha \times \alpha$ is an initial segment of Ord^2 ; the induced well-ordering of α^2 is called the *canonical well-ordering* of α^2 . Moreover, the well-ordered class Ord^2 is isomorphic to the class Ord , and we have a one-to-one function Γ of Ord^2 onto Ord . For many α 's the order-type of $\alpha \times \alpha$ is α ; in particular for those α that are alephs.

$$(3.12) \quad (\alpha, \beta) < (\gamma, \delta) \leftrightarrow \text{either } \max\{\alpha, \beta\} < \max\{\gamma, \delta\}, \\ \text{or } \max\{\alpha, \beta\} = \max\{\gamma, \delta\} \text{ and } \alpha < \gamma, \\ \text{or } \max\{\alpha, \beta\} = \max\{\gamma, \delta\}, \alpha = \gamma \text{ and } \beta < \delta.$$

Some properties of the canonical well-ordering of $\alpha \times \alpha$

The relation $<$ defined in (3.12) is a linear ordering of the class $Ord \times Ord$. Moreover, if $X \subset Ord \times Ord$ is nonempty, then X has a least element. Also, for each α , $\alpha \times \alpha$ is the initial segment given by $(0, \alpha)$. If we let

$$\Gamma(\alpha, \beta) = \text{the order-type of the set } \{(\xi, \eta) : (\xi, \eta) < (\alpha, \beta)\},$$

then Γ is a one-to-one mapping of Ord^2 onto Ord , and

$$(3.13) \quad (\alpha, \beta) < (\gamma, \delta) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \Gamma(\alpha, \beta) < \Gamma(\gamma, \delta).$$

Note that $\Gamma(\omega \times \omega) = \omega$ and since $\gamma(\alpha) = \Gamma(\alpha \times \alpha)$ is an increasing function of α , we have $\gamma(\alpha) \geq \alpha$ for every α . However, $\gamma(\alpha)$ is also continuous, and so $\Gamma(\alpha \times \alpha) = \alpha$ for arbitrarily large α .

A fixed point lemma

Let $f : Ord \rightarrow Ord$ be normal, that is, f is increasing and continuous, then for any ordinal α , there exists an ordinal β such that $\beta \geq \alpha$ and $f(\beta) = \beta$.

This lemma shows that the class of fixed points of any normal function is nonempty and unbound.

Hint

Let $\alpha_0 = \alpha$, $\alpha_{n+1} = f(\alpha_n)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $\beta = \sup\{\alpha_n : n \in \omega\}$.

$$\Gamma(\alpha \times \alpha)$$

除了 $\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ 之外，教材中也用了记号 $\Gamma(\alpha \times \alpha)$ ，但没有给出 $\Gamma(\alpha \times \alpha)$ 的定义.

这里， $\Gamma(\alpha \times \alpha)$ 实际上是集合 $\alpha \times \alpha$ 的序型. 对任意 $\alpha > 0$ ，都有

$$\Gamma(\alpha \times \alpha) = \Gamma(0, \alpha).$$

例如，

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(\omega \times \omega) &= \Gamma(0, \omega) = \omega, \\ \Gamma((\omega + 1) \times (\omega + 1)) &= \Gamma(0, \omega + 1) = \omega \cdot 3 + 1.\end{aligned}$$

Proof of theorem 3.5

Addition and multiplication of alephs is a trivial matter, due to the following fact:

Theorem 3.5. $\aleph_\alpha \cdot \aleph_\alpha = \aleph_\alpha$.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. Consider the canonical one-to-one mapping Γ of $Ord \times Ord$ onto Ord . We shall show that $\Gamma(\omega_\alpha \times \omega_\alpha) = \omega_\alpha$. This is true for $\alpha = 0$. Thus let α be the least ordinal such that $\Gamma(\omega_\alpha \times \omega_\alpha) \neq \omega_\alpha$. Let $\beta, \gamma < \omega_\alpha$ be such that $\Gamma(\beta, \gamma) = \omega_\alpha$. Pick $\delta < \omega_\alpha$ such that $\delta > \beta$ and $\delta > \gamma$. Since $\delta \times \delta$ is an initial segment of $Ord \times Ord$ in the canonical well-ordering and contains (β, γ) , we have $\Gamma(\delta \times \delta) \supset \omega_\alpha$, and so $|\delta \times \delta| \geq \aleph_\alpha$. However, $|\delta \times \delta| = |\delta| \cdot |\delta|$, and by the minimality of α , $|\delta| \cdot |\delta| = |\delta| < \aleph_\alpha$. A contradiction. \square

Cofinality

Let $\alpha > 0$ be a limit ordinal. We say that an increasing β -sequence $\langle \alpha_\xi : \xi < \beta \rangle$, β a limit ordinal, is *cofinal* in α if $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \beta} \alpha_\xi = \alpha$. Similarly, $A \subset \alpha$ is *cofinal* in α if $\sup A = \alpha$. If α is an infinite limit ordinal, the *cofinality* of α is

$\text{cf } \alpha =$ the least limit ordinal β such that there is an increasing β -sequence $\langle \alpha_\xi : \xi < \beta \rangle$ with $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \beta} \alpha_\xi = \alpha$.

Obviously, $\text{cf } \alpha$ is a limit ordinal, and $\text{cf } \alpha \leq \alpha$. Examples: $\text{cf}(\omega + \omega) = \text{cf } \aleph_\omega = \omega$.

Lemma 3.6. $\text{cf}(\text{cf } \alpha) = \text{cf } \alpha$.

Proof. If $\langle \alpha_\xi : \xi < \beta \rangle$ is cofinal in α and $\langle \xi(\nu) : \nu < \gamma \rangle$ is cofinal in β , then $\langle \alpha_{\xi(\nu)} : \nu < \gamma \rangle$ is cofinal in α . □