Your algorithm overfits the deviset because the error of the deviand test sets are very close. You have a large data-mismatch problem because your model does a lot better on the training-de-set than on the deviset ✓ Correct You have a large variance problem because your training error is quite higher than the human-level error. Your friend is right. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably lower than for the devitest distribution.) Your friend is wrong, (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably higher than for the devitest distribution.)

You decide to focus on the dev set and check by hand what are the errors due to. Here is a table summarizing your discoveries:

Overall dev set error

Errors due to incerrectly labeled data 4.1%  Errors due to foggy pictures 8.0%	
Errors due to rain drops stuck on your car's front-facing camera 2.2%	
Errors due to other causes 1.0%	
In this table, 4.1%, 8.0%, etc. are a fraction of the total dev set (not just examples your algorithm	
mislabeled). For example, about 8.0/15.3 = 52% of your errors are due to foggy pictures.  The results from this analysis implies that the team's highest priority should be to bring more foggy	
pictures into the training set so as to address the 8.0% of errors in that category. True/False?	
Additional Note: there are subtle concepts to consider with this question, and you may find arguments for why some answers are also correct or incorrect. We recommend that you spend time reading the feedback for this quiz, to understand what issues that you will want to consider when	
you are building your own machine learning project.	
<ul> <li>True because it is the largest category of errors. We should always prioritize the largest category of error as this will make the best use of the team's time.</li> </ul>	
True because it is greater than the other error categories added together (8.0 > 4.1+2.2+1.0).	
<ul> <li>False because it depends on how easy it is to add foggy data. If foggy data is very hard and costly to collect, it might not be worth the team's effort.</li> </ul>	
First start with the sources of error that are least costly to fix.	
Correct correct: feedback; This is the correct answer, You should consider the tradeoff between the data acce	
COLLECT LEGISLACE THIS IS THE COLLECT BISMENT, TOU SHOULD CONSIDER THE BROWN DELIMENT THE USING ACCE.	county as a function of the destination of the dest
<ol><li>You can buy a specially designed windshield wiper that help wipe off some of the raindrops on the front-facing camera. Based on the table from the previous question, which of the following</li></ol>	17 pain
statements do you agree with?	
<ul> <li>2.2% would be a reasonable estimate of the maximum amount this windshield wiper could improve performance.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2.2% would be a reasonable estimate of the minimum amount this windshield wiper could improve performance.</li> </ul>	
2.2% would be a reasonable estimate of how much this windshield wiper will improve performance.	
<ul> <li>2.2% would be a reasonable estimate of how much this windshield wiper could worsen performance in the worst case.</li> </ul>	
Correct Yes. You will probably not improve performance by more than 2.2% by solving the raindrops problem	. If your dissent was infilted by log, 27% would be a porfect estimate of the improvement you can achieve by purchasing a specially designed windshield when that removes the nandrops.
10. Vau darida ta ura dun numanania ta adder	
10. You decide to use data augmentation to address foggy images. You find 1,000 pictures of fog off the internet, and "add" them to clean images to synthesize foggy days, like this:	(17 pairs.)
image from foggy image from synthesized front-facing camera the internet foggy image	
+	
Which of the following statements do you agree with?  Adding synthesized images that look like real foggy pictures taken from the front-facing camera of	
your car to training dataset won't help the model improve because it will introduce avoidable-bias.	
<ul> <li>So long as the synthesized fog looks realistic to the human eye, you can be confident that the synthesized data is accurately capturing the distribution of real foggy images (or a subset of it), since humanistic is true accurate for the capture may be calculated.</li> </ul>	
human vision is very accurate for the problem you're solving.  There is little risk of overfitting to the 1,000 pictures of fog so long as you are combing it with a much	
larger (>>1,000) of clean/non-foggy images.	
✓ Correct	
Yes, if the synthesized images look realistic, then the model will just see them as if you had added us	он има и и вено у ном зделя и и поддвет и поддветние, на муз мер недь.
<ol> <li>After working further on the problem, you've decided to correct the incorrectly labeled data on the dev set. Which of these statements do you agree with? (Check all that apply).</li> </ol>	T.P. palet.
✓ You should also correct the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets	
continue to come from the same distribution	
✓ Correct	
Yes because you want to make sure that your dev and test data come from the same distribution for	your algorithm to make your team's iterative development process is efficient.
<ul> <li>You should correct incorrectly labeled data in the training set as well so as to avoid your training set now being even more different from your deviset.</li> </ul>	
You should not correct the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets	
continue to come from the same distribution	
You do not necessarily need to fix the incorrectly labeled data in the training set, because it's okay for the training set distribution to differ from the dev and test sets. Note that it is important that the dev	
set and test set have the same distribution.	
Correct True, deep learning algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev distributions	
12. So far your algorithm only recognizes red and green traffic lights. One of your colleagues in the startup is starting to work on recognizing a yellow traffic light. (Some countries call it an orange	1A pale.
light rather than a yellow light, we'll use the US convention of calling it yellow, I images containing yellow lights are quite rare, and she doesn't have enough data to build a good model. She hopes you can help her out using transfer learning.	
can help her out using transfer learning.  What do you tell your colleague?	
She should try using weights pre-trained on your dataset, and fine-tuning further with the yellow-	
light dataset.	
<ul> <li>If she has (say) 10,000 images of yellow lights, randomly sample 10,000 images from your distaset and put your and her data together. This prevents your dataset from "awamping" the yellow lights dataset.</li> </ul>	
You cannot help her because the distribution of data you have is different from hers, and is also	
lacking the yellow label.  Recommend that she try multi-task learning instead of transfer learning using all the data.	
Correct Yes. You have trained your model on a huge dataset, and she has a small dataset. Although your label	to an different, the parameters of your model have been trained to recognise many discussional road and stells, reages which will be useful for the produce. This is a perfect case for transfer issuing, the cas seat with a model with the same architecture as yours, change what is after the last hidden layer and initialize it with your trained per
13. Another colleague wants to use microphones placed outside the car to better hear if there're other	(1/1 paint )
vehicles around you. For example, if there is a police vehicle behind you, you would be able to hear their siren. However, they don't have much to train this audio system. How can you help?	
<ul> <li>Transfer learning from your vision dataset could help your colleague get going faster. Multi-task learning seems significantly less promising.</li> </ul>	
Multi-task learning from your vision dataset could help your colleague get going faster. Transfer	
learning seems significantly less promising.  Either transfer learning or multi-task learning could help our colleague get going faster.	
Neither transfer learning nor multi-task learning seems promising.	
✓ Correct	
Yes. The problem he is trying to solve is quite different from yours. The different dataset structures in	wile it probably impossible to use transfer learning or must cask learning.
14. To recognize red and green lights, you have been using this approach:	1/A paint
<ul> <li>(A) Input an image (x) to a neural network and have it directly learn a mapping to make a prediction as to whether there's a red light and/or green light (y).</li> </ul>	
prediction as to whether there's a red light and/or green light (y).  A teammate proposes a different, two-step approach:	
(B) In this two-step approach, you would first (i) detect the traffic light in the image (if any), then	
(ii) determine the color of the illuminated lamp in the traffic light.  Between these two, Approach B is more of an end-to-end approach because it has distinct steps for	
the input end and the output end. True/False?	
○ True  ⑤ False	
Correct Yes, (A) is an end-to-end approach as it maps directly the input (v) to the output (y).	
15. Approach A (in the question above) tends to be more promising than approach B if you have a	(17)нік
(fill in the blank).	<del></del>
Large training set	
Multi-task learning problem.	
Multi-task tearring problem.     Large blas problem.	
Large bias problem.	