

Name:

COMS 4772

Homework Set 2

- (1) Binary logistic regression can be formulated as the following optimization problem:

$$\min_{\theta} \sum_{t=1}^T \log(1 + \exp(-y_t x_t^T \theta))$$

where $y_t \in \{-1, 1\}$ are class labels, x_t are feature vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the vector of unknown weights. For mathematical convenience, we can define

$$\tilde{x}_t = y_t x_t,$$

multiplying the features by their corresponding labels to decrease the notational burden.

Consider a ridge regularized logistic regression problem, where we impose a 2-norm constraint on the weights vector:

$$\min_{\theta} \sum_{t=1}^T \log(1 + \exp(-\tilde{x}_t^T \theta)) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \|\theta\|_2 \leq \tau.$$

- (a) Compute the dual of this problem.

Given a primal in the form of $\min_x c^T x + k(x) + h(b - Ax)$, the dual is given by $\max_z b^T z - h^*(z) - k^*(A^T z - c)$ where $f^*(x)$ is the convex conjugate of f which is $f^*(x) = \sup_x y^T x - f(x)$.

- (b) What is the dimension of the dual variable? Briefly discuss the merits of the primal vs. dual formulations from the point of view of algorithmic development.
- (c) If instead of $\|\theta\|_2 \leq \tau$, we had decided to impose the constraint

$$-1 \leq \theta \leq 1$$

how does the dual change?

- (2) Recall that the prox operator is defined by

$$\text{prox}_g(y) = \min_x \frac{1}{2} \|x - y\|^2 + g(x).$$

- (a) Show that

$$\text{prox}_{g^*}(y) = y - \text{prox}_g(y)$$

- (b) Use part (a) to compute

$$\text{prox}_{\lambda \|\cdot\|_1}(y).$$

- (3) In class, we discussed iterative soft thresholding for solving the problem

$$\min_x \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|^2 + \lambda \|x\|_1.$$

In this problem, you are going to apply this algorithm to sparse logistic regression models, and also try a famous acceleration technique of Beck & Teboulle to improve the algorithm. The problem is *sparse* binary logistic regression:

$$\min_{\theta} \sum_{t=1}^T \log(1 + \exp(-\tilde{x}_t^T \theta)) + \lambda \|\theta\|_1.$$

where as in the previous question, $\tilde{x}_t = y_t x_t$. Just as in sparse linear regression, we add the 1-norm penalty to drive many of the coefficients down to 0.

- (a) Download the starting script file, and make sure you understand the problem setup.
- (b) Implement a proximal splitting method for the above problem. You may use a constant step size. At every iteration, your algorithm should print a line listing the value and iteration.

To show that you implemented the algorithm, copy and paste a run over the first 10 and last 10 iterations into a verbatim environment, as shown below, say for 100 iterations:

```
iter 1
iter 2
...
iter 10
iter 91
iter 92
iter 100
```

- (c) Solve the same problem with CVX, and show that your solution (as well as the value of your solution) agrees with the CVX solution, and its value.
- (d) Skim the FISTA paper: <http://mechroom.technion.ac.il/~becka/papers/71654.pdf>
On page 11, find the FISTA algorithm (the fixed step size version). Implement it for the logistic regression problem, again pasting the first 10 and last 10 iterations:

```
iter 1
iter 2
...
iter 10
iter 91
iter 92
iter 100
```

- (e) Make a plot, comparing per-iteration progress of the two algorithms on the same problem. The x-axis of your plot should be iteration number, and the y axis the value of the objective function. Did the acceleration... accelerate anything?

- (4) Consider the problem of minimizing a smooth function subject to inequality constraints:

$$\min_x f(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad Cx \leq c.$$

For our purposes, it is convenient to introduce nonnegative slack variables $s \geq 0$, rewriting the problem

$$\min_{x,s} f(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad Cx + s = c, \quad s \geq 0.$$

The Lagrangian for this problem is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(x, s, \lambda) = f(x) + \lambda^T(Cx + s - c) + \delta(s|\mathbb{R}_+^n)$$

- (a) Obtain the first-order necessary condition for a local minimum of \mathcal{L} in x .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x} &= \nabla_x f(x) + \lambda^T C = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial s} &= \lambda^T \mathbb{1}\{s \geq 0\} \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} &= Cx + s - c = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Obtain the necessary condition for a local maximum of \mathcal{L} in λ .

- (c) Argue that at any saddle point of \mathcal{L} , $\bar{\lambda}_i \bar{s}_i = 0$.

$$\bar{\lambda}_i \bar{s}_i = 0.$$

- (d) Now consider a log-barrier modified primal problem:

$$\min_{x,s} f(x) - \mu \sum \log(s_i) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad Cx + s = c.$$

- (e) Form the Lagrangian for this problem, and compute equations corresponding to first-order necessary conditions in all three variables x, s, λ . Compare these equations to the equations in parts (a-c).

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x} &= \nabla_x f(x) + \lambda^T C = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial s_i} &= -\frac{\mu}{s_i} + \lambda_i = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{\mu e}{s} = \mu e^T s^{-1} \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} &= Cx + s - c = 0 \end{aligned}$$

(5) **Bonus.**

- (a) Design a Newton method to directly solve the optimality conditions in part (e) of (4). You will be able to represent the higher order system as a 3×3 block matrix, with blocks for x, s, λ . Once you have the general form, please specify it to the case

$$\min_x \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|^2 \quad \text{s.t. } -\mathbf{1} \leq x \leq \mathbf{1}.$$

- (b) Implement your Newton method to solve the log-barrier regression problem for a fixed value of μ , and verify that your solution matches that of CVX. Be careful with the step length - don't let your updated s components go negative. To initialize, set all components of s and λ to 10.

To show you implemented the method, paste the iterations in a verbatim environment, and also show that you get the same value as CVX. At each iteration, output the iteration number, the value of the log-barrier objective, the value of μ , and/or the norm of the KKT system in part (e) of (4) that you are trying to drive to 0.

- (c) Modify your algorithm to divide μ by 10 every other iteration. Again, paste your iterations into a verbatim environment in this document, and check that you got the same solution as CVX on the box-constrained regression problem. If so, you just implemented your first primal-dual interior point method.
- (d) Write a proximal gradient method for the box-constrained regression problem, and make a plot of function value vs. iteration comparing this method to your interior point method.