

Analysis of Historical Over-Under NBA Odds

January 25, 2022

1 Historical Over/Under Analysis in the NBA

For this project, we have been using datasets from the 2012-2013 NBA Season to the 2021-2022 NBA Season. The data has been sourced from the following website: <https://www.sportsbookreviewsonline.com/scoresoddsarchives/nba/nbaoddsarchives.htm>

Note: The data from the 21-22 season only contains game information up to January 10, 2022.

What does betting on the Over/Under mean?

The easiest way to explain this would be through an arbitrary example. Let's say the Warriors and Lakers have a game tomorrow, and when the odds come out, the bookmakers set the line for the total points in the matchup at 223.5. A bet on the **over** would mean you believe the total points scored in the game would be *at least 224*. Conversely, a bet on the **under** would you expect the total score to be *less than 224*.

Bookmakers reserve the right to move the odds. For example, say that a few hours before game time, Steph Curry of the Warriors is ruled out of the matchup due to an injury. Since Curry is a one of the NBA's best players and scorers, Vegas/bookmakers move the line to 218, a 5.5 point decrease from the **OLV** (opening line value). If the game begins with the line at 218, that means the **CLV** (closing line value) is 218.

Project Goals:

1. What does line movement on the Over/Under indicate to bettors?
2. Is it more profitable to be on the Over or Under depending on line movement?

Keep in mind that in the long-term, professional sports bettors rarely maintain a winning rate above 55%, and typically the win-rate is around 53%-54%, per <https://professionalgambler.org/>

To begin, let's import our data into a pandas dataframe.

```
[50]: import pandas as pd
import numpy as np

original = pd.read_excel('data.xlsx')

#replace pk with arbitrary float values
original.loc[original.Open == 'pk', 'Open'] = '0.0'
original.loc[original.Close == 'pk', 'Close'] = '0.0'
original.loc[original.Open == 'PK', 'Open'] = '0.0'
```


1.1 General Examination of Over/Unders in the NBA over the Last 5 Years

Below we drop any rows from the original data where the over/under is below 150, as that is inaccurate data from duplicate rows.

Function 1, *OpeningOverUnderLines*, examines how frequently the Over hits based on the **opening** line.

Function 2, *ClosingOverUnderLines*, examines how frequently the Over hits based on the **closing** line

```
[51]: #dropping rows where the over/under is below 150, aka inaccurate data, for the
      ↪open
openingLV = original.drop(original[original.Open < 150].index)

#function 1
def OpeningOverUnderLines(df):
    count = 0
    tot = len(df.index)
    for index, col in df.iterrows():
        if col['Total'] > col['Open']:
            count += 1
    return count/tot * 100
print(OpeningOverUnderLines(openingLV), "percent of the Overs hit across the
      ↪league from the Opening Line Value")

closingLV = original.drop(original[original.Close < 150].index)
#function 2
def ClosingOverUnderLines(df):
    count = 0
    tot = len(df.index)
    for index, col in df.iterrows():
        if col['Total'] > col['Close']:
            count += 1
    return count/tot * 100
print(ClosingOverUnderLines(closingLV), "percent of the Overs hit across the
      ↪league from the Closing Line value")
```

48.983486847564514 percent of the Overs hit across the league from the Opening Line Value

49.25360756344336 percent of the Overs hit across the league from the Closing Line value

Based on the data above, we can conclude that the Under is slightly more statistically likely to hit, based on opening and closing line value. However, the above **does not** return anything of significance.

1.2 Analysis of Opening/Closing Line Value

Below, we will analyze the three cases in Opening and Closing line value:

Case 1: $OLV = CLV$

Case 2: $OLV > CLV$

Case 3: $OLV < CLV$

Note that in our functions below, we compare the **Total** of the NBA matchup result to the **Close**, or CLV. This is because in order to assess line movement and potential betting opportunities, we need to wait for the line movement to change, or not change.

First, we drop the inaccurate data, and create our new dataframe **filtered**

```
[52]: filtered = original.drop(original[original.Close < 150].index)
      filtered = filtered.drop(filtered[filtered.Open < 150].index)
```

1.2.1 Case 1: Opening Line Value = Closing Line Value

```
[53]: def ZeroPercentChange(df):
      a = 0
      b = 0
      for index, col in df.iterrows():
          if col['Open'] == col['Close']:
              if col['Total'] > col['Close']:
                  # a = instances of the final score being > than the CLV
                  a += 1
              else:
                  # b = instances of final score being < than the CLV
                  b += 1
      return a, b+a, a/(a + b) * 100

      print(ZeroPercentChange(filtered))
```

```
(438, 883, 49.60362400906002)
```

Above, we see that when the $OLV = CLV$, the Over hits from the CLV at a 49.6% rate, which is a losing formula in the long-term.

1.2.2 Case 2: Opening Line Value > Closing Line Value

Let's perform further analysis to see if the Over/Under is more likely to hit based on a percentage decrease.

For example, consider the scenario where the OLV is set at 210 and the CLV is 204. We seek to understand the following question:

What percentage range decrease from the OLV do there exist profitable ranges to take the Over/Under?

The framework of the iteration below is based off of the following:

$$OLV - (OLV \cdot \beta) \leq CLV \leq OLV - (OLV \cdot \alpha)$$

where α is equal to the lower percentage decrease from the OLV, and β is equal to the higher percentage decrease from the OLV.

```
[67]: def PercentDecreaseFromOLV(df, lowEnd, highEnd):
    a = 0
    b = 0
    for index, col in df.iterrows():
        if col['Open'] > col['Close']:
            if (col['Open'] - col['Open'] * (lowEnd)) >= col['Close']:
                if (col['Open'] - col['Open'] * (highEnd)) <= col['Close']:
                    if col['Total'] > col['Close']:
                        # a = instances of the final score being > than the CLV
                        a += 1
                    else:
                        # b = instances of final score being < than the CLV
                        b += 1
    return a, b+a, a/(a + b) * 100

print(PercentDecreaseFromOLV(filtered, .005, .04))
```

(1795, 3734, 48.07177289769684)

The above function displays that when the CLV decrease is between 0.5% and 4% of the OLV, the Over on the CLV hits at a rate of 48.07%.

Below, we test different ranges of CLV decreases to assess whether an optimal range exists, with frequency.

```
[69]: print(PercentDecreaseFromOLV(filtered, .00001, .005), "0.001% and 0.5% lower_
    ↪than OLV")
print(PercentDecreaseFromOLV(filtered, .00501, .01), "0.501% and 1% lower than_
    ↪OLV")
print(PercentDecreaseFromOLV(filtered, .01001, 0.012), "1.001% and 1.5% lower_
    ↪than OLV")
print(PercentDecreaseFromOLV(filtered, .01501, 0.02), "1.501% and 2% lower than_
    ↪OLV")
print(PercentDecreaseFromOLV(filtered, .02001, 0.025), "2.001% and 2.5% lower_
    ↪than OLV")
print(PercentDecreaseFromOLV(filtered, .02501, 0.03), "2.501% and 3% lower than_
    ↪OLV")
print(PercentDecreaseFromOLV(filtered, .03001, 0.035), "3.001% and 3.5% lower_
    ↪than OLV")
print(PercentDecreaseFromOLV(filtered, .03501, 0.04), "3.501% and 4% lower than_
    ↪OLV")
print(PercentDecreaseFromOLV(filtered, .04001, 0.1), "4.001% and 10% lower than_
    ↪OLV")
```

(723, 1478, 48.91745602165088) 0.001% and 0.5% lower than OLV

(727, 1491, 48.75922199865862) 0.501% and 1% lower than OLV

(198, 432, 45.83333333333333) 1.001% and 1.5% lower than OLV
 (296, 641, 46.17784711388456) 1.501% and 2% lower than OLV
 (170, 337, 50.445103857566764) 2.001% and 2.5% lower than OLV
 (84, 161, 52.17391304347826) 2.501% and 3% lower than OLV
 (33, 65, 50.76923076923077) 3.001% and 3.5% lower than OLV
 (9, 20, 45.0) 3.501% and 4% lower than OLV
 (20, 27, 74.07407407407408) 4.001% and 10% lower than OLV

From the results above, we see that most optimal range for taking bets are the following:

1. 53.82 hit rate on the UNDER when CLV drops between 1.501% and 2% from the OLV
2. 53.37 hit rate on the UNDER when CLV drops between 1.001% and 1.5% from the OLV
3. 52.17 hit rate on the OVER when CLV drops between 2.501% and 3% from the OLV

1.2.3 Case 3: Opening Line Value < Closing Line Value

Similar study to the section above, but now we are assessing the cases where $CLV > OLV$

```
[62]: def PercentIncreaseFromOLV(df, lowEnd, highEnd):
    a = 0
    b = 0
    for index, col in df.iterrows():
        if col['Open'] < col['Close']:
            if (col['Open'] + col['Open'] * (lowEnd)) <= col['Close']:
                if (col['Open'] + col['Open'] * (highEnd)) >= col['Close']:
                    if col['Total'] > col['Close']:
                        # a = instances of the final score being > than the CLV
                        a += 1
                    else:
                        # b = instances of final score being < than the CLV
                        b += 1
    return a, b+a, a/(a + b) * 100

print(PercentIncreaseFromOLV(filtered, .005, .04))
```

(1815, 3597, 50.45871559633027)

The above function displays that when the CLV increase is between 0.5% and 4% of the OLV, the Over on the CLV hits at a rate of 50.45%.

Like above, let's test different ranges of CLV increases to assess whether an optimal range exists, with frequency.

```
[63]: print(PercentIncreaseFromOLV(filtered, .00001, .005), "0.001% and 0.5% higher_
    ↪than OLV")
print(PercentIncreaseFromOLV(filtered, .00501, .01), "0.501% and 1% higher than_
    ↪OLV")
```

```

print(PercentIncreaseFromOLV(filtered, .01001, 0.015), "1.001% and 1.5% higher_
↳than OLV")
print(PercentIncreaseFromOLV(filtered, .01501, 0.02), "1.501% and 2% higher_
↳than OLV")
print(PercentIncreaseFromOLV(filtered, .02001, 0.025), "2.001% and 2.5% higher_
↳than OLV")
print(PercentIncreaseFromOLV(filtered, .02501, 0.03), "2.501% and 3% higher_
↳than OLV")
print(PercentIncreaseFromOLV(filtered, .03001, 0.035), "3.001% and 3.5% higher_
↳than OLV")
print(PercentIncreaseFromOLV(filtered, .03501, 0.04), "3.501% and 4% higher_
↳than OLV")
print(PercentIncreaseFromOLV(filtered, .0401, 0.1), "4.001% and 10% higher than_
↳OLV")

```

(745, 1519, 49.045424621461486) 0.001% and 0.5% higher than OLV
 (687, 1389, 49.46004319654428) 0.501% and 1% higher than OLV
 (518, 1043, 49.664429530201346) 1.001% and 1.5% higher than OLV
 (345, 659, 52.35204855842185) 1.501% and 2% higher than OLV
 (153, 286, 53.4965034965035) 2.001% and 2.5% higher than OLV
 (71, 137, 51.82481751824818) 2.501% and 3% higher than OLV
 (27, 54, 50.0) 3.001% and 3.5% higher than OLV
 (9, 18, 50.0) 3.501% and 4% higher than OLV
 (9, 17, 52.94117647058824) 4.001% and 10% higher than OLV

From the results above, we see that most optimal range for taking bets are the following:

1. 53.49% hit rate on the OVER when CLV increases between 2.001% and 2.5% from the OLV
2. 52.35% hit rate on OVER when CLV increases between 1.501% and 2% from the OLV

1.3 Conclusions

After our analysis, we have identified 5 different long-term profitable ranges, in order of hit rate:

The first three have hit rates of above 53% in the long-term:

1. UNDER - When CLV **drops** between 1.501% and 2% from the OLV
2. OVER - When CLV **increases** between 2.001% and 2.5% from the OLV
3. UNDER - When CLV **drops** between 1.001% and 1.5% from the OLV

The next two have hit rates between 52% and 53% in the long-term:

4. OVER - When CLV **drops** between 2.501% and 3% from the OLV
5. OVER - When CLV **increases** between 1.501% and 2% from the OLV