

# PROCEDURE PRICING OPTIMIZATION MODEL

DEVELOPED USING MIXED-INTEGER LINEAR PROGRAMMING (MIP) AND PYOMO

BLUE CROSS LOUISIANA – HEALTHCARE PRICE & TRANSPARENCY DATA ANALYTICS PROJECT

LOGAN KALIBA

# OVERVIEW: WHAT THE MODEL DOES AND WHY IT MATTERS



- This project builds a Mixed-Integer Linear Programming (MIP) model using Pyomo and the CBC solver.



- Purpose: Optimize healthcare procedure reimbursement rates across providers while ensuring fairness and compliance.



- Who benefits: Blue Cross Louisiana pricing analysts and compliance teams.



- Value: Reduces total payouts, enforces consistent pricing, and maintains regulatory fairness.



## OPTIMIZATION MODEL SUMMARY

- Objective:
  - Minimize total reimbursement spending across all procedures and providers.
- Decision Variables:
  - $x[i,p]$  – price paid to provider  $i$  for procedure  $p$ .
  - $a[i,p,t]$  – binary variable for assigning provider  $i$  to a price tier  $t$ .
  - $x_{\min}[p,r], x_{\max}[p,r]$  – fairness bounds (lowest and highest price per region).
- Constraints:
  - One tier per provider per procedure.
  - Prices must fall within legal compliance bands.
  - Enforce provider-specific minimums and regional fairness caps.
  - Total spend must meet savings target relative to baseline.

# EXAMPLE INPUT DATA (SIMULATED)

- 💀 Providers: 3 hospitals (Ochsner, Baton Rouge General, Lafayette General)
- ⚡ Procedures: MRI and CT Scans
- € Tiers: Low, Mid, High – represent allowed pricing levels per regulatory limits.
- ☒ Compliance Bands: 85%–115% of benchmark price.
- ⚖️ Provider Floors: Minimum price per facility to maintain fairness.
- ₹ Baseline Spend ( $S_0$ ): \$1.71M
- 💰 Savings Target: 3% ( $\tau = -0.03$ )



## OPTIMIZATION RESULTS (TINY EXAMPLE)

- Baseline Spend: \$1,710,000
  - Optimized Spend: \$1,568,000
  - Savings: \$142,000 (8.3%)
- 
- Provider-Level Pricing:
    - Ochsner → Tier 1 for MRI & CT (\$800, \$540)
    - Baton Rouge General → Tier 1 for MRI & CT (\$800, \$540)
    - Lafayette General → Tier 2 for MRI & CT (\$900, \$600)
- 
- Fairness Spreads:
    - MRI = \$100 (cap \$150)
    - CT = \$60 (cap \$150)
    - → All constraints satisfied.



# DISCUSSION AND INSIGHTS

- Key Takeaways:
  - MIP optimization effectively identifies cost-efficient yet fair reimbursement schedules.
  - The model respects all regulatory, provider, and fairness constraints.
  - Demonstrates data-driven pricing transparency for healthcare payers.
- Limitations:
  - Small simulated dataset; real-world deployment would require scaling with provider network data.
  - Does not yet include quality-based adjustments or multi-procedure interactions.
- Next Steps:
  - Integrate real pricing and utilization data from transparency reports.
  - Expand model to include region-specific and specialty-specific fairness targets.



## TECHNICAL IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

- Tools & Libraries:
  - Pyomo (modeling framework)
  - CBC solver (open-source MILP solver)
  - Python (data handling, validation, and analysis)
- Model Type:
  - Mixed-Integer Linear Program (MILP)
- Solver Parameters:
  - Time limit: 60 seconds
  - MIP gap: 0.001 (0.1% optimality tolerance)
- Runtime:
  - Tiny test case solved in < 1 second.



# SUMMARY

- This project demonstrates how MIP optimization can support Blue Cross Louisiana's pricing transparency and cost management goals.
- By combining analytics, regulatory logic, and optimization modeling, we can:
  - Reduce spending while maintaining fairness.
  - Enforce data-driven consistency in reimbursement rates.
  - Build a scalable foundation for advanced health economics modeling.