

A decorative graphic consisting of blue circuit-like lines with small circles at the ends, extending horizontally from the left and right sides of the central black box.

PROCEDURE PRICING OPTIMIZATION MODEL

DEVELOPED USING MIXED-INTEGER LINEAR PROGRAMMING (MIP) AND PYOMO

BLUE CROSS LOUISIANA – HEALTHCARE PRICE & TRANSPARENCY DATA ANALYTICS PROJECT

LOGAN KALIBA

OVERVIEW: WHAT THE MODEL DOES AND WHY IT MATTERS



- This project builds a Mixed-Integer Linear Programming (MIP) model using Pyomo and the CBC solver.



- Purpose: Optimize healthcare procedure reimbursement rates across providers while ensuring fairness and compliance.



- Who benefits: Blue Cross Louisiana pricing analysts and compliance teams.



- Value: Reduces total payouts, enforces consistent pricing, and maintains regulatory fairness.



OPTIMIZATION MODEL SUMMARY

- Objective:
- Minimize total reimbursement spending across all procedures and providers.
- Decision Variables:
- $x[i,p]$ – price paid to provider i for procedure p .
- $a[i,p,t]$ – binary variable for assigning provider i to a price tier t .
- $x_min[p,r]$, $x_max[p,r]$ – fairness bounds (lowest and highest price per region).
- Constraints:
- One tier per provider per procedure.
- Prices must fall within legal compliance bands.
- Enforce provider-specific minimums and regional fairness caps.
- Total spend must meet savings target relative to baseline.

EXAMPLE INPUT DATA (SIMULATED)



Providers: 3 hospitals (Ochsner, Baton Rouge General, Lafayette General)



Procedures: MRI and CT Scans



Tiers: Low, Mid, High – represent allowed pricing levels per regulatory limits.



Compliance Bands: 85%–115% of benchmark price.




Provider Floors: Minimum price per facility to maintain fairness.



Baseline Spend (S_0): \$1.71M



Savings Target: 3% ($\tau = -0.03$)



OPTIMIZATION RESULTS (TINY EXAMPLE)

- Baseline Spend: \$1,710,000
- Optimized Spend: \$1,568,000
- Savings: \$142,000 (8.3%)
- Provider-Level Pricing:
 - Ochsner → Tier 1 for MRI & CT (\$800, \$540)
 - Baton Rouge General → Tier 1 for MRI & CT (\$800, \$540)
 - Lafayette General → Tier 2 for MRI & CT (\$900, \$600)
- Fairness Spreads:
 - MRI = \$100 (cap \$150)
 - CT = \$60 (cap \$150)
 - → All constraints satisfied.



DISCUSSION AND INSIGHTS

- Key Takeaways:
 - MIP optimization effectively identifies cost-efficient yet fair reimbursement schedules.
 - The model respects all regulatory, provider, and fairness constraints.
 - Demonstrates data-driven pricing transparency for healthcare payers.
- Limitations:
 - Small simulated dataset; real-world deployment would require scaling with provider network data.
 - Does not yet include quality-based adjustments or multi-procedure interactions.
- Next Steps:
 - Integrate real pricing and utilization data from transparency reports.
 - Expand model to include region-specific and specialty-specific fairness targets.



TECHNICAL IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

- Tools & Libraries:
 - Pyomo (modeling framework)
 - CBC solver (open-source MILP solver)
 - Python (data handling, validation, and analysis)
- Model Type:
 - Mixed-Integer Linear Program (MILP)
- Solver Parameters:
 - Time limit: 60 seconds
 - MIP gap: 0.001 (0.1% optimality tolerance)
- Runtime:
 - Tiny test case solved in < 1 second.

The background of the slide is a dark blue gradient. On the left side, there are white, stylized circuit board traces and nodes. In the center, there is a faint, glowing blue line graph with several data points. The number '289.33' is visible in a light blue font near the graph. The right side of the slide is a solid teal color where the text is located.

SUMMARY

- This project demonstrates how MIP optimization can support Blue Cross Louisiana's pricing transparency and cost management goals.
- By combining analytics, regulatory logic, and optimization modeling, we can:
- Reduce spending while maintaining fairness.
- Enforce data-driven consistency in reimbursement rates.
- Build a scalable foundation for advanced health economics modeling.