

The original total variation inpainting can be simply stated by

$$\min_{f \in F(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_0} |f - g|^2 \, dx \, dy + \lambda \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f| \, dx \, dy \quad (1)$$

Using the indicator function  $\delta$ , we can rewrite the problem as

$$\min_{f \in F(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} |f - g|^2 \delta + \lambda |\nabla f| \, dx \, dy \quad (2)$$

Where

$$\delta(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (x, y) \in \Omega_0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Since  $|\cdot|$  is not differentiable at the origin, we will use the relaxation

$$\min_{f \in F(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} |f - g|^2 \delta + \lambda \sqrt{\epsilon^2 + f_x^2 + f_y^2} \, dx \, dy \quad (4)$$

Using the Lagrange-Euler equation to solve the functional  $\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{L} \, dx \, dy = \int_{\Omega} |f - g|^2 \delta + \lambda \sqrt{\epsilon^2 + f_x^2 + f_y^2} \, dx \, dy$ , we can derivate the first optimality condition

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta f} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial f} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial f_x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial f_y} \\ &= 2(f - g)\delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \lambda \frac{f_x}{\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + f_x^2 + f_y^2}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \lambda \frac{f_y}{\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + f_x^2 + f_y^2}} \\ &= 2(f - g)\delta - \lambda \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{\nabla f}{\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + f_x^2 + f_y^2}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

From which the curve evolution equation can be stated

$$f_t = -\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta f} = -2(f - g)\delta + \lambda \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{\nabla f}{\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + f_x^2 + f_y^2}} \right) \quad (6)$$

If we focus on the evolution of the equation in  $\Omega_0$ ,  $\delta$  vanishes. Thus,

$$f_{t|_{\Omega_0}} = \lambda \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{\nabla f}{\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + f_x^2 + f_y^2}} \right) \quad (7)$$

Using equations 8, 9 (where  $R := \epsilon^2 + f_x^2 + f_y^2$ )

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{f_x}{\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + f_x^2 + f_y^2}} = R^{-3/2} (f_{xx}\epsilon^2 + f_{xx}f_y^2 - f_x f_y f_{yx}) \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{f_y}{\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + f_x^2 + f_y^2}} = R^{-3/2} (f_{yy}\epsilon^2 + f_{yy}f_x^2 - f_y f_x f_{yx}) \quad (9)$$

and the fact that  $f_{yx} = f_{xy}$ , we can rewrite equation 7 as

$$\begin{aligned} f_{t|\Omega_0} &= \lambda \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{\nabla f}{\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + f_x^2 + f_y^2}} \right) \\ &= \lambda R^{-3/2} (\epsilon^2 f_{xx} + \epsilon^2 f_{yy} + f_{yy}f_x^2 + f_{xx}f_y^2 - 2f_x f_y f_{xy}) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

To get a explicit scheme, we use central differences for the right hand side (RHS) and forward differences for the left hand side (LHS).

For the LHS, the discretization is simply

$$f_t \approx \frac{f_{t+1} - f_t}{\Delta t} \quad (11)$$

For the RHS,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{xx}(\epsilon^2 + f_y^2) + f_{yy}(\epsilon^2 + f_x^2) - 2f_x f_y f_{xy} &\approx \\ & (f_{i+1,j} + f_{i-1,j} - 2f_{i,j})(\epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{4}(f_{i,j+1} - f_{i,j-1})) \\ & + (f_{i,j+1} + f_{i,j-1} - 2f_{i,j})(\epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{4}(f_{i+1,j} - f_{i-1,j})) \\ & - 2(f_{i,j+1} - f_{i,j-1})(f_{i+1,j} - f_{i-1,j})(f_{i+1,j+1} + f_{i-1,j-1} - f_{i-1,j+1} - f_{i+1,j-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

To simplify the following steps, we use the following naming scheme

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_{i-1,j+1} & f_{i,j+1} & f_{i+1,j+1} \\ f_{i-1,j} & f_{i,j} & f_{i+1,j} \\ f_{i-1,j-1} & f_{i,j-1} & f_{i+1,j-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & q \\ c & d & e \\ h & g & p \end{bmatrix}$$

and continue the derivation of equation 12

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (e + c - 2d)(\epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{4}(b - g)^2) \\
&\quad + (b + f - 2d)(\epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{4}(e - c)^2) \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{16}(b - g)(e - c)(q + h - a - p) \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

Defining the following coefficients

$$c_1 = (\epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{4}(b - g)^2) \quad (14)$$

$$c_2 = (\epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{4}(e - c)^2) \quad (15)$$

$$c_3 = -\frac{2}{16}(b - g)(e - c) \quad (16)$$

We arrive to the following approximation of the RHS

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda R^{-3/2}(\epsilon^2 f_{xx} + \epsilon^2 f_{yy} + f_{yy} f_x^2 + f_{xx} f_y^2 - 2f_x f_y f_{xy}) \approx \\
&\lambda \hat{R}^{-3/2}(ec_1 + cc_1 - 2d(c_1 + c_2) + bc_2 + gc_2 + qc_3 + hc_3 - ac_3 - pc_3) \quad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
R &= \epsilon^2 + f_x^2 + f_y^2 \\
&\approx \epsilon^2 + \frac{(f_{i+1,j} - f_{i-1,j})^2 + (f_{i,j+1} - f_{i,j-1})^2}{4} \\
&= \epsilon^2 + \frac{(e - c)^2 + (b - g)^2}{4} := \hat{R} \quad (18)
\end{aligned}$$

Joining the finite elements approximation for the LHS and RHS, we get the following explicit scheme

$$(f_{t+1})_{i,j} = (f_t)_{i,j} + \Delta t \lambda \hat{R}^{-3/2}(ec_1 + cc_1 - 2d(c_1 + c_2) + bc_2 + gc_2 + qc_3 + hc_3 - ac_3 - pc_3) \quad (19)$$

Furthermore, since  $(f_{t+1})_{i,j} = f_{i,j} = d$ ,

$$(f_{t+1})_{i,j} = d(1 - \Delta t \lambda \hat{R}^{-3/2} 2(c_1 + c_2)) + \Delta t \lambda \hat{R}^{-3/2} (ec_1 + cc_1 + bc_2 + gc_2 + qc_3 + hc_3 - ac_3 - pc_3) \quad (20)$$

Equation 20 can be rewritten in matrix form as

$$f^{t+1} = Qf^t \quad (21)$$

Here  $f^t$  is the row-wise image vector at time  $t$ . For instance,

$$\text{Image} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow f^t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad (22)$$

and  $Q$  is a sparse matrix with 9 diagonals<sup>1</sup>

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} & \ddots & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \cdots & 0 & \cdots & c_{a_i} & c_{b_i} & c_{q_i} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & c_{c_i} & c_{d_i} & c_{e_i} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & c_{h_i} & c_{g_i} & c_{p_i} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \ddots & & \end{bmatrix} \quad (23)$$

where

---

<sup>1</sup>If the dimensions of the image are  $N \times M$ , then the dimensions of  $Q$  are  $(N \times M) \times (N \times M)$

$$c_{a_i} = \begin{cases} -\lambda\Delta t \hat{R}^{-3/2} c_3 & \text{if } i \text{ has a north west neighbor} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

$$c_{b_i} = \begin{cases} \lambda\Delta t \hat{R}^{-3/2} c_2 & \text{if } i \text{ has a north neighbor} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

$$c_{q_i} = \begin{cases} \lambda\Delta t \hat{R}^{-3/2} c_3 & \text{if } i \text{ has a north east neighbor} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

$$c_{c_i} = \begin{cases} \lambda\Delta t \hat{R}^{-3/2} c_1 & \text{if } i \text{ has a west neighbor} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

$$c_{d_i} = 1 - 2\lambda\Delta t \hat{R}^{-3/2} (c_1 + c_2) \quad (28)$$

$$c_{e_i} = \begin{cases} \lambda\Delta t \hat{R}^{-3/2} c_1 & \text{if } i \text{ has a east neighbor} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

$$c_{h_i} = \begin{cases} \lambda\Delta t \hat{R}^{-3/2} c_3 & \text{if } i \text{ has a south west neighbor} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

$$c_{g_i} = \begin{cases} \lambda\Delta t \hat{R}^{-3/2} c_2 & \text{if } i \text{ has a south neighbor} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

$$c_{p_i} = \begin{cases} -\lambda\Delta t \hat{R}^{-3/2} c_3 & \text{if } i \text{ has a south east neighbor} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

In order to guarantee convergence, we need to assure the stability of the explicit scheme 21. For this, the requirement is that the diagonal of the Q matrix must be positive, that is,  $\forall_i c_{d_i} > 0$ . To guarantee this, we ask

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - 2\lambda\Delta t \hat{R}^{-3/2} (c_1 + c_2) &> 0 \\ 2\lambda\Delta t \hat{R}^{-3/2} (c_1 + c_2) &< 1 \\ \lambda\Delta t &< \frac{\hat{R}^{3/2}}{2(c_1 + c_2)} \\ \lambda\Delta t &< \frac{\min_i(\hat{R}^{3/2})}{\max_i(2(c_1 + c_2))} \\ \lambda\Delta t &< \frac{\epsilon^3}{4(\epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{4})} = \frac{\epsilon^3}{4\epsilon^2 + 1} \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where in step 4 we assumed an uncorrelated numerator and denominator. Thus, we got a unrealistic upper bound, in the sense that it is more conservative than the true upper bound. For instance, using an unitary  $\epsilon$ , gives the upper bound  $\lambda\Delta t < 0.2$ .

The implementations can be found in the file `sol.m`<sup>2</sup>. Figure 1 shows the output of the explicit scheme using 500 iterations, unitary  $\epsilon$  and  $\lambda\Delta t = 0.19$ .



Figure 1: Output of the explicit scheme for the total variation inpainting problem. Parameters: 500 iterations, unitary  $\epsilon$  and  $\lambda\Delta t = 0.19$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>Please note that in the implementation there is no  $\lambda$  parameter. The  $\Delta t$  parameter in the file is equivalent to the  $\lambda\Delta t$  mentioned in this report.