RHMC Code Documentation

Liam Keegan

November 2, 2017

1 Introduction

A simple RHMC code to simulate $n_f + n_f$ QCD with isospin chemical potential, using unimproved staggered fermions and the Wilson SU(3) gauge action.

2 QCD formulation

2.1 Gauge Action

Wilson SU(3) plaquette lattice gauge action,

$$S_g[U] = -\frac{\beta}{3} \sum_{x} \sum_{\mu < \nu} Re \text{Tr} \left[U_{\mu}(x) U_{\nu}(x + \hat{\mu}) U_{\mu}^{\dagger}(x + \hat{\nu}) U_{\nu}^{\dagger}(x) \right]$$
 (2.1)

where $U_{\mu}(x)$ is a 3x3 complex matrix at the site x in the direction μ . Defining the staple

$$A_{\mu}(x) \equiv \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} \left\{ U_{\nu}(x+\hat{\mu})U_{\mu}^{\dagger}(x+\hat{\nu})U_{\nu}^{\dagger}(x) + U_{\nu}^{\dagger}(x+\hat{\mu}-\hat{\nu})U_{\mu}^{\dagger}(x-\hat{\nu})U_{\nu}(x-\hat{\nu}) \right\}$$
(2.2)

the terms in the action that depend on a given link $U_{\mu}(x)$ are then

$$S_g^{local}[U_\mu(x)] = -\frac{\beta}{6} \text{Tr} \left[U_\mu(x) A_\mu(x) + A_\mu^{\dagger}(x) U_\mu^{\dagger}(x) \right]$$
 (2.3)

which is related to the full action by

$$S_g[U] = \frac{1}{12} \sum_{x} \sum_{\mu} S_g^{local}[U_{\mu}(x)]$$
 (2.4)

2.2 Fermion Action

The fermion action for 4+4 staggered flavors with isospin chemical potential μ_I and mass m is given by

$$S_f[U] = \phi^{\dagger}(D(\mu_I, m)[D(\mu_I, m)]^{\dagger})^{-1}\phi$$
 (2.5)

where $D(\mu_I, m)$ is the staggered lattice Dirac operator

$$[D(\mu_I, m)]_{xy} = e^{\mu_I/2} U_0(x) \delta_{n, m-\hat{0}} - e^{-\mu_I/2} U_0^{\dagger}(x - \hat{0}) \delta_{n, m+\hat{0}}$$
(2.6)

$$+ \sum_{\mu=1}^{3} \eta_{\mu}(x) \left[U_{\mu}(x) \delta_{n,m-\hat{\mu}} - U_{\mu}^{\dagger}(x-\hat{\mu}) \delta_{n,m+\hat{\mu}} \right]$$
 (2.7)

and $\eta_{\mu}(x) = -1^{\sum_{\nu < \mu} x_{\nu}}$ are the space-dependent staggered equivalent of Dirac γ -matrices, i.e.

$$\eta_0(x) = 1 \tag{2.8}$$

$$\eta_1(x) = -1^{x_0} \tag{2.9}$$

$$\eta_2(x) = -1^{x_0 + x_1} \tag{2.10}$$

$$\eta_3(x) = -1^{x_0 + x_1 + x_2} \tag{2.11}$$

$$\eta_5(x) = -1^{x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3} \tag{2.12}$$

For $\mu_I = 0$ this reduces to the standard $n_f = 8$ staggered action, with the usual staggered γ_5 —hermicity property $D^{\dagger} = \eta_5 D \eta_5 = -D$ for the massless case, which allows us to write the operator in the action in the form $DD^{\dagger} + m^2$.

However for non–zero μ_I we have instead the relation

$$[D(\mu_I, m)]^{\dagger} = -D(-\mu_I, -m) \tag{2.13}$$

so that

$$D(\mu_I, m)[D(\mu_I, m)]^{\dagger} = -D(\mu_I, m)D(-\mu_I, -m)$$
(2.14)

To generate a field ϕ according to the distribution $e^{-S_f[U]}$ we can first generate a complex vector χ with distribution $e^{-\chi^{\dagger}\chi}$, then set $\phi = D(\mu_I, m)\chi$

3 HMC

The hamiltonian for the HMC is

$$S[P, U, \phi] = S_p[P] + S_g[U] + S_f[\phi, U]$$
(3.15)

where we need to solve the classical equations of motion

$$\frac{dP_{\mu}(x)}{dt} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial U_{\mu}(x)} (S_g[U] + S_f[\phi, U]) = -F_g(x, \mu) - F_f(x, \mu)$$
 (3.16)

$$\frac{dU_{\mu}(x)}{dt} = P_{\mu}(x) \tag{3.17}$$

The steps required to update a gauge configuration U:

- Generate gaussian momenta P
- Generate χ with distribution $e^{-\chi^{\dagger}\chi}$, then set $\phi = D\chi$
- Integrate the force terms to generate U', P'
- \bullet Accept or reject U' with probability $e^{S[P,U,\phi]-S[P',U',\phi]}$

3.1 Momenta

The momenta $P_{\mu}(x)$ are defined as

$$P_{\mu}(x) = \sum_{a=1}^{8} p_{\mu}^{a}(x)T_{a} \tag{3.18}$$

where T_a are the generators of SU(3) and $p_{\mu}^a(x)$ are real numbers. The action is given by

$$S_p[P] = \sum_{x,\mu} \text{Tr}\left\{ [P_\mu(x)]^2 \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x,\mu} \sum_{a=1}^8 [p_\mu^a(x)]^2$$
 (3.19)

so we can generate momenta simply by sampling the numbers p^a from the gaussian distribution $e^{-(p^a)^2/2}$.

The resulting matrices P have Tr[P]=0 and $\langle \text{Tr}[P^2] \rangle =4$.

3.2 Gauge Force

The gauge force is given by

$$F_g(x,\mu) = \sum F_g^a(x,\mu)T_a \tag{3.20}$$

where

$$F_g^a(x,\mu) = \frac{\partial S_g[U]}{\partial U_{\mu}^{(a)}(x)} = \frac{\partial S_g^{local}[U_{\mu}(x)]}{\partial U_{\mu}^{(a)}(x)}$$
(3.21)

$$= -\frac{\beta}{6} \frac{\partial}{\partial U_{\mu}^{(a)}(x)} \operatorname{Tr} \left[U_{\mu}(x) A_{\mu}(x) + A_{\mu}^{\dagger}(x) U_{\mu}^{\dagger}(x) \right]$$
(3.22)

$$= \frac{i\beta}{6} \operatorname{Tr} \left[T_a \left(U_{\mu}(x) A_{\mu}(x) - A_{\mu}^{\dagger}(x) U_{\mu}^{\dagger}(x) \right) \right]$$
 (3.23)

But the quantity (...) is traceless and anti-hermitian, i.e. can be written as $\sum_b c_b T_b$, so that using the trace identity $Tr[T_a T_b] = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ab}$ we find

$$F_g(x,\mu) = \sum_{ab} \frac{i\beta}{6} \operatorname{Tr} \left[T_a c_b T_b \right] T_a = \frac{i\beta}{12} \sum_a c_a T_a$$
 (3.24)

$$= \frac{i\beta}{12} \left[U_{\mu}(x) A_{\mu}(x) - A_{\mu}^{\dagger}(x) U_{\mu}^{\dagger}(x) \right]$$
 (3.25)

3.3 Fermion Force

3.4 Force Integration

The integration of the force terms is done by alternating two discrete steps,

3.5 OMF2

4 Inverters

4.1 CG

4.2 CG-Multishift

4.3 CG-Block

A SU(3) Matrix Algebra

A.1 Generators

The generators of SU(3) are the set of traceless 3×3 hermitian matrices T_a , where $a=1,2,\ldots,8$, with the properties

$$\operatorname{Tr}[T_a] = 0, \quad T_a^{\dagger} = T_a, \quad \operatorname{Tr}[T_a T_b] = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ab}$$
 (A.26)

$$[T_a, T_b] = T_a T_b - T_b T_a = f_{abc} T_c$$
 (A.27)

where f_{abc} is real and antisymmetric in all indices. An SU(3) matrix U can be written as

$$U = e^{i\omega_a T_a} \tag{A.28}$$

where ω_a are real numbers.

A.2 Differentiation

Differentiation w.r.t an element of the algebra can be defined as

$$\frac{\partial F(U)}{\partial U^{(a)}} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} F(e^{i\omega T_a} U)\big|_{\omega=0} \tag{A.29}$$

which gives for SU(3) matrices U, V, W,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial U^{(a)}} (VUW) = iVT_aUW \tag{A.30}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial U^{(a)}} (VUW) = iVT_aUW \tag{A.30}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial U^{(a)}} (VU^{\dagger}W) = -iVUT_aW \tag{A.31}$$