Nuclear-powered Shipping

Louis Kissinger, Brad Ellis, and Adam Pichman Course: NPRE 458; Advisor: Magdi Ragheb

February 22, 2019

Abstract

Nuclear power was analyzed as an alternative to fossil fuel combustion for propulsion of large boats. In particular, \dots

Contents

1	Introduction				
2	Bac	kgroui	nd and State of the Art	4	
3	Conceptual Design				
	3.1		concept	4	
		3.1.1	Oceanic tug boat	4	
	0.0	3.1.2	Offshore power plant and coastal tug boat	4	
	3.2		or concept	4	
		3.2.1	Core concept	4	
		3.2.2	Neutronics analysis	4	
		3.2.3 3.2.4	Thermal analysis	6 7	
		3.2.4 $3.2.5$	Materials analysis	7	
		5.2.5	Alternatives	1	
4	Cor	clusio	ns	7	
5	Ack	nowle	dgements	7	
1	\mathbf{I}_{1}	ntro	duction		
Int	rodu	ction			
	If yo	ou wanr	na cite Alekseev put [1]		
	If yo	ou wanr	na cite Carlton put [2]		
	[3]				
	[4]				
	[5]				
			na cite Hirdaris put [6]		
	-		na cite Jacobs put [7]		
		ou wanr	na cite Holtec put [8]		
	[9]				
	[10]				
	[11]				
	[12]				

2 Background and State of the Art

Background and state of the art

3 Conceptual Design

3.1 Naval concept

- 3.1.1 Oceanic tug boat
- 3.1.2 Offshore power plant and coastal tug boat

3.2 Reactor concept

3.2.1 Core concept

3.2.2 Neutronics analysis

The following analysis determines the enrichment required to reach $k_{\infty} = 1$:

$$k_{\infty} = \eta f = 1 \tag{1}$$

$$= \nu \frac{\sigma_f^F}{\sigma_a^F} \frac{\Sigma_a^F}{\Sigma_a} \tag{2}$$

Approximate values of these parameters are given in table 1.

Table 1: Approximate values of nuclear properties germaine to equation 2, [13]

Parameter	Value	Unit
ν	2.4	-
σ_f, 235	1.2	barns
σ_a, 238	0.1	barns

The macroscopic cross section is by definition $\Sigma=N\sigma=\frac{\rho N_{av}}{A}\omega$. Equation 2 then reduces to:

$$1 = \nu \frac{^{235}\sigma_f}{^{235}\sigma_a} \frac{\frac{\omega}{^{235}} ^{235}\sigma_a}{\frac{1-\omega}{^{238}} ^{238}\sigma_a}$$

$$= \nu \frac{^{235}\sigma_f}{^{238}\sigma_a} \frac{238\omega}{235(1-\omega)}$$

$$(3)$$

$$= \nu \frac{^{235}\sigma_f}{^{238}\sigma_a} \frac{238\omega}{235(1-\omega)} \tag{4}$$

Upon defining a new variable $\xi = \nu^{\frac{235}{238}\sigma_{d}}_{\sigma_{a}}$, we find:

$$\frac{1}{\xi} = \frac{238\omega}{235(1-\omega)}$$

$$\omega = \frac{235}{238\xi + 235} < 1$$
(5)

$$\omega = \frac{235}{238\xi + 235} < 1 \tag{6}$$

Upon inserting the tabulated values for these cross sections, we find $\xi = 4.2$ and $\omega = 0.0336 = 3.4\%$. The bulk of the reactor can thus be fueled without HEU.

If the fuel is UO_2 , then the mass of Uranium per mass of fuel is:

$$\frac{m_U}{m_{UO2}} = \frac{238(1-\omega) + 235\omega}{238(1-\omega) + 235\omega + 32} \tag{7}$$

$$=0.8817 \frac{grams}{aram} \tag{8}$$

It is now easy to find the number of U_{235} atoms per gram of fuel:

$$n_{235} = \omega \frac{m_u}{m_{UO_2}} \frac{N_{av}}{235} \tag{9}$$

$$= 7.906 \times 10^{21} \tag{10}$$

If we assume that $\frac{3}{4}$ of the fissions occur in U_{235} , then the total fissile atoms per gram of fuel is 1.05×10^{22} .

We can now determine the required mass of Uranium to produce a certain amount of energy. The core's power is related to the fission rate by a factor of 200 MeV per fission. We will assume a core power of 0.175 GWth for this analysis. This value roughly corresponds to 50 MWe for typical values of efficiency, which is close to the power output of the reactors we seek to imitate. The fission rate in our reactor is then $1.75 \times 10^8 \frac{Joules}{sec} \times \frac{1MeV}{1.6 \times 10^{-13} Joules} \times \frac{1Fission}{200 MeV} =$ $5.5 \times 10^{18} \frac{fissions}{second}$. Now consider a **2 year refueling cycle**, which requires $5.5 \times 10^{18} \frac{fissions}{second} \times \frac{6.3 \times 10^7 s}{2years} = 3.5 \times 10^{26} \frac{fissions}{cycle}$. Returning to our value of fissile atoms per gram of UO_2 , we can find the

Returning to our value of fissile atoms per gram of UO_2 , we can find the mass of Uranium required to power our core for two years. Recall that we anticipate 1.05×10^{22} fissile nuclei per gram of fuel. The mass of fuel needed is then $\frac{3.5 \times 10^{26}}{1.05 \times 10^{22}} = 2.90 \times 10^4 g = 29 kg$. However, we do not expect to burn every fissile isotope, so this value should be adjusted by a factor of 1.7, so that our first estimate of the mass of fuel is 49.3 kg.

The density of UO_2 is $10970 \frac{kg}{m^3}$. The Volume of this much fuel is therefore $V = \frac{49.3kg}{10970 \frac{kg}{m^3}} = 0.045m^3$

We will assume that the volume of our cylindrical core is equal to the volume of a sphere of the same radius, which is to say $H = \frac{4}{3}R$. Under this assumption, the radius of our core is $(\frac{3}{4}\pi V)^{1/3} = 0.47 meters$, giving a height of 0.63 meters. This first estimate of the height (which will most likely be shorter than the final value) will be very useful in the thermal analysis.

3.2.3 Thermal analysis

Let us begin by estimating the mass flow rate required to remove the fission heat at steady state. With a simple energy balance, it becomes apparent that heat flux is related to the heat capacity and mass flow rate of the coolant and the temperature change across the length of the channel as follows:

$$q'' = \dot{m}c_p \Delta T \tag{11}$$

$$\dot{m} = \frac{q''}{c_n \Delta T} \tag{12}$$

We can estimate q" by dividing the thermal power by the surface area of the fuel, which gives us $q'' = \frac{1.75 \times 10^8}{2\pi N 0.63 \times 10^{-2}}$ if there are N fuel rods, each with 1-cm radius and 1.43 meters tall. For this preliminary analysis, **we will assume 100 rods**. It then follows that the heat flux from such a system is $4.42 \times 10^7 \frac{w}{cm^2}$.

Our coolant, Lead-Bismuth Eutectic, is liquid between approximately 200-1670 Degrees C. Conservatively, the ΔT may then approach 1,000 Kelvin. The isobaric heat capacity of this fluid in this temperature range is approximately $130\frac{J}{kgK}$ [14]. The Mass flow rate needed is therefore:

$$\dot{m} = \frac{q''}{c_p \Delta T}$$

$$= \frac{4.42 \times 10^7 \frac{w}{cm^2}}{130 \frac{J}{kgK} \times 1000}$$
(13)

$$= \frac{4.42 \times 10^7 \frac{w}{cm^2}}{130 \frac{J}{kaK} \times 1000} \tag{14}$$

$$=340\frac{kg}{s}\tag{15}$$

Dividing this flow rate by the density of LBE (approximately 9000 kg/m3) gives an approximate mass flow rate of 0.038 $\frac{m^3}{s}$.

3.2.4 Materials analysis

3.2.5Alternatives

Conceptual design

Conclusions 4

Conclusions

Acknowledgements 5

Thanks to the Illinois NPRE department for their tireless work educating us. In particular, we would like to thank Professor Ragheb for his guidance and mentorship and Professor Stubbins for instructing the Senior Design course.

References

[1] P. ALEKSEEV, N. E. KUKHARKIN, Y. N. UDYANSKII, T. D. SHCHEPETINA, I. A. BELOV, S. A. SUBBOTIN, A. A. D. A. A. SE-DOV, M. M. KASHKA, and V. V. BASHAEV, "ADVANCED NU-CLEAR POWER SYSTEMS WITH MICROPELLETED FUEL FOR NUCLEAR-POWERED SHIPS," Atomic Energy Voll 99 No. 1 (2005).

- [2] J. CARLTON, R. SMART, and V. JENKINS, "The nuclear propulsion of merchant ships: Aspects of engineering, science and technology," *Journal of Marine Engineering and Technology* (2011).
- [3] J. GRAVINA, J. BLAKE, A. SHENOI, S. TURNOCK, and S. HIR-DARIS, "CONCEPTS FOR A MODULAR NUCLEAR POWERED CONTAINERSHIP," (2013).
- [4] W. SHI HAN and T. LIU, "A new marine propulsion system," (2003).
- [5] M. HUSSAIN, F. REITSMA, M. H. SUBKI, and H. KIUCHI, "Advances in Small Modular Reactor Technology Developments," (2018).
- [6] S. HIRDARIS, Y. CHENG, P. SHALLCROSS, J. BONAFOUX, D. CARLSON, B. PRINCE, and G. SARRIS, "Considerations on the potential use of Nuclear Small Modular Reactor (SMR) technology for merchant marine propulsion," (2013).
- [7] J. JACOBS, "Nuclear Short Sea Shipping: The integration of a Helium cooled reactor in a 800 TEU Container Feeder," (2007).
- [8] J. R. RUSSELL, "Holtec International Response to the DOE RFI," (2017).
- [9] B. HEUSER, "Nuclear Fuel Burnup Units," (2018).
- [10] M. RAGHEB, "Multiregion One-dimensional One-group Diffusion Theory Criticality Code," (2007).
- [11] M. SINGH and M. RAGHEB, "Fail-Safe Source Driven Thorium Reactors," (2013).
- [12] M. RAGHEB, "One Group Reactor Theory," (2015).
- [13] JAEA, "Japan Atomic Energy Agency Nuclear Data Center," (2018).
- [14] OECD, "Handbook on Lead-Bismuth Eutectic Alloy and Lead Properties, Materials Compatibility, Thermal Hydraulics, and Technologies," (2015).