

Efficient Coding Hypothesis and an introduction to information Theory

Lay Kuan Loh & Mihovil Bartulovic

September 8, 2014

Abstract

The Efficient Coding Hypothesis, proposed by Barlow 1961, suggests that sensory relays recode sensory messages, so that their redundancy is reduced, but little information is lost. Coding to reduce redundancy not just eliminates wasteful neural activity, but also organizes sensory information such that an internal model of the environment causing the post sensory inputs is built up, while the current sensory situation is represented in a way that simplified the task of the parts of the nervous system responsible for learning and conditioning. To investigate animals' sensory mechanisms, Barlow 1961 suggests that one examine the ways in which animals use their senses, as these ways are likely reflected in the design of the sense organs and their nervous pathways.

I. INTRODUCTION

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tincidunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonummy pellentesque ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum ligula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. Nunc eleifend consequat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt purus vel magna. Integer non enim. Praesent euismod nunc eu purus. Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa.

II. POSSIBLE PRINCIPLES UNDERLYING THE TRANSFORMATIONS OF SENSORY MESSAGES

III. RETINAL GANGLION CELLS ACT LARGELY AS INDEPENDENT ENCODERS

Correlated firing among neurons is widespread in the visual system, but its importance for encoding visual information is unclear. To study this, Nirenberg et. al., 2001 presented the retina with natural stimuli and computed the responses of the output cells, the ganglion cells. They used information theoretic techniques to measure the amount of information about the stimuli that can be obtained from the cells under correlated firing and non-correlated firing. They found that more than 90% of the information about the stimuli can be obtained from the cells with uncorrelated firing, suggesting that ganglion cells act largely independently to encode information, simplifying the problem of decoding their activity.

Nirenberg et. al., 2001 stimulated pairs of isolated mouse retina using natural movies. The stimuli were each 7 seconds long and repeated 300 times, and the ganglion cell responses were recorded. Data used had to be clean of contaminating spikes from other cells, and that both responses had to have average firing rates.

To find the degree of correlated activity for each pair, the excess correlated fraction (ECF) was found. ECF is the fraction of correlated spikes produced by the pair above chance, taking into account correlations induced by the stimulus. The ECFs ranged from -1% to 34%.

To measure the amount of information the pairs

of ganglion cells carried about the stimuli when their correlations were taken into account, information theoretic techniques were used. Each movie was treated as a series of segments of fixed temporal length, with each segment regarded as a separate stimulus. The movie was presented several hundred times to generate a large set of responses (spike trains) to each segment. This allowed the authors to estimate the probability of getting a particular pair of responses given a particular movie segment - that is, to estimate $\mathbb{P}(r_1, r_2|s)$, where r_1 was the response of cell 1, r_2 was the response of cell 2 and s was the movie segment. Given these conditional probabilities, the amount of information I between the responses and the stimulus segments was found using the expression:

$$I = - \sum_{r_1, r_2} \mathbb{P}(r_1, r_2) \log_2 \mathbb{P}(r_1, r_2) \quad (1)$$

$$+ \sum_s \mathbb{P}(s) \sum_{r_1, r_2} \mathbb{P}(r_1, r_2|s) \log_2 \mathbb{P}(r_1, r_2|s) \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbb{P}(r_1, r_2)$ is found by taking $\mathbb{P}(r_1, r_2) = \sum_s \mathbb{P}(r_1, r_2|s)\mathbb{P}(s)$, and $\mathbb{P}(s)$ is the probability that a given stimulus segment s occurred.

To examine how important correlation is in encoding visual information, the amount of information that would be lost if the correlations in the responses of the pair of ganglion cells were ignored was examined. To ignore the correlations, the conditional probability distributions of the two responses, $\mathbb{P}(r_1, r_2|s)$, as the product of their individual probability distributions, $\mathbb{P}(r_1|s)$ and $\mathbb{P}(r_2|s)$. $\mathbb{P}(r_1|s)\mathbb{P}(r_2|s)$ to estimate the probability of a stimulus given a response. As $\mathbb{P}(r_1|s)\mathbb{P}(r_2|s)$ is not quite the true distribution, using it should lead to a loss of information.

Therefore, the amount of independent information is given by

$$I_{IND} = - \sum_{r_1, r_2} \mathbb{P}(r_1, r_2|s) \log_2 \mathbb{P}(r_1|s)\mathbb{P}(r_2|s) \quad (3)$$

$$+ \sum_s \mathbb{P}(s) \sum_{r_1, r_2} \mathbb{P}(r_1, r_2|s) \log_2 \mathbb{P}(r_1|s)\mathbb{P}(r_2|s) \quad (4)$$

The amount of information in bits lost, ΔI , is given

by

$$\Delta I = I - I_{IND} \quad (5)$$

$$= \sum_s \mathbb{P}(s) \sum_{r_1, r_2} \mathbb{P}(r_1, r_2|s) \log_2 \frac{\mathbb{P}(r_1, r_2|s)}{\mathbb{P}(r_1|s)\mathbb{P}(r_2|s)} \quad (6)$$

$$- \sum_{r_1, r_2} \mathbb{P}(r_1, r_2) \log_2 \frac{\mathbb{P}(r_1, r_2)}{\sum_s \mathbb{P}(r_1|s)\mathbb{P}(r_2|s)\mathbb{P}(s)} \quad (7)$$

ΔI is very small, as most pairs lost less than 10% of information. If there were pairs of ganglion cells with higher degrees of correlation than the maximum 34% observed, more information loss could have occurred. This finding means that strategies used to decode ganglion cell activity, which treat the cells as independent encoders are reasonable, as they can capture more than 90% of the information the cells carry. Furthermore, the activity of any given ganglion cell can be evaluated separately from other cells, without accounting for other cells in the population. This allows the problem of decoding population activity to be significantly simplified, as much less data is needed to analyze the uncorrelated case as opposed to the correlated case.

Several concerns with the study are that capturing more than 90% in ganglion cell activity may not be enough to fully understand its activity. Also, perhaps correlation should not be ignored for the activity of a larger population of cells than just pairs of cells studied here.

IV. A SIMPLE CODING PROCEDURE ENHANCES A NEURON'S INFORMATION CAPACITY

Neurons carry and process information. Large monopolar cells (LMC's) are first order interneurons of the insect compound eye, with graded responses driven by small groups of receptors with the same field of view. The compressive intensity-response function of the receptors, combined with lateral and self-inhibition, adjusts the LMC sensitivity to the background intensity so that their responses code contrast fluctuations rather than absolute intensity. Laughlin 1981 shows that the interneuron's contrast-response function matches the range of contrasts encountered in natural scenes, which increases the efficiency with which information is encoded.

V. VISION AND THE STATISTICS OF THE VISUAL ENVIRONMENT

VI. DISCUSSION

I. Subsection One

Sed commodo posuere pede. Mauris ut est. Ut quis purus. Sed ac odio. Sed vehicula hendrerit sem. Duis non odio. Morbi ut dui. Sed accumsan risus eget odio. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Pellentesque non elit. Fusce sed justo eu urna porta tincidunt. Mauris felis odio, sollicitudin sed, volutpat a, ornare ac, erat. Morbi quis dolor. Donec pellentesque, erat ac sagittis semper, nunc dui lobortis purus, quis congue purus metus ultricies tellus. Proin et quam. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos hymenaeos. Praesent sapien turpis, fermentum vel, eleifend faucibus, vehicula eu, lacus.

II. Subsection Two

Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Donec odio elit, dictum in, hendrerit sit amet, egestas sed, leo. Praesent feugiat sapien aliquet odio. Integer vitae justo.

Aliquam vestibulum fringilla lorem. Sed neque lectus, consectetur at, consectetur sed, eleifend ac, lectus. Nulla facilisi. Pellentesque eget lectus. Proin eu metus. Sed porttitor. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Suspendisse eu lectus. Ut mi mi, lacinia sit amet, placerat et, mollis vitae, dui. Sed ante tellus, tristique ut, iaculis eu, malesuada ac, dui. Mauris nibh leo, facilisis non, adipiscing quis, ultrices a, dui.

REFERENCES

- [Simoncelli 2003] Simoncelli, E. P. (2003). Vision and the statistics of the visual environment. *Current opinion in neurobiology*, 13(2), 144-149.
- [Barlow 1961] Barlow, H. B. (1961). Possible Principles Underlying the Transformations of Sensory Messages. *Sensory communication*, (1961):217-234.
- [Laughlin, 1981] Laughlin, S. (1981). A Simple Coding Procedure Enhances a Neuron's Information Capacity. *Z. Naturforsch*, 36.910-912 (1981): 51.
- [Nirenberg et. al., 2001] Nirenberg, S., Carcieri, S. M., Jacobs, A. L., & Latham, P. E. (2001). Retinal ganglion cells act largely as independent encoders. *Nature*, 411(6838), 698-701.