Observed and predicted North American teleseismic delay times

Northwestern Seismology Group

May 12, 2014

1 About

- \bullet AIMBAT used to measure absolute delay times of teleseismic P and S waves
- Recorded by seismic station from EarthScope's USArray, previous PASS-CAL arrays, other networks in North America
- Estimate contributions to delays from
 - 1. Delays from crustal structure Using crustal models
 - 2. Event side heterogeniety
 Using delay time distribution
- \bullet Subtract contributions to delays, from actual measurements \Rightarrow map average delay at each station
- Analyze average delay times to investigate structure of North American mantle, formation of North American continent.
 - 1. Mantle S delay times from stations west of the Rocky Mountains are 4.2 s larger than delay times from stations within the US portion of stable North America.
 - 2. S delays at Yellowstone are another 4 s larger than those west of Rocky Mountains.
 - 3. Delay time gradients of various steepness coincide with surface geological boundaries.

• Predictions

 Predictions of teleseismic S delays from 12 3D tomographic mantle S velocity models agree with observed delay time patterns.
 Underestimate delays and advances to varying degrees.

- $\,-\,$ Similar predictions from tomographic models derived from data other than teleseismic arrival times
 - These overestimate the smoothness of delay time patterns.
- Using tomographic models to predict and study the size and distribution of delay contributions from modeled heterogeneity in different depth ranges.
 - $-\ 80$ $240\ \rm km$ depth range is dominant contributor to delay time contrasts and variance
 - Corresponds to as thenosphere in western US and lithosphere in central and eastern US.
 - Average depth to which observed delays require central US lithosphere to extend is likely shallower than 240 km.
 - Consistent with seismic bottom of lithosphere imaged in seismic-velocity models not derived from teleseismic delay times.