Assignment 6 Lilian Kourti 1) U(x) = x - xx2, x~N(p,3) E[x,]-(E[x]),= 5 · E[n(x)] = E[x - \alpha x] = E[x] - \alpha E[x] => \ellows[n(x)] = \begin{array}{c} \alpha \left(\beta_3 + \beta \right) \] In does we showed! $\varepsilon[U(X)] = U(X_{CE}) \Rightarrow U(X)(X_{CE} - \varepsilon(X)) \approx \frac{1}{2}U'(X) \sigma_X^2$ => U(x) xce = U(x)E(x) + 1 U'(x) 0x2 $\Rightarrow \times \text{CE} \approx \text{CE} \times \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}$ Since U'(x)=1-xx, U'(x)=-x • πA = x-xce = μ- μ+ ασ => πA = ασ 2(1-αμ) 2(1-αμ) Portfolio: Wealth after one year is given by: (zin millions) W~ N ((1+4) 2+(1+1)(1-2), 20) which is W~N(z(4-1)+1+1, 20) $V(W) = W - \propto W^2$ The good is to maximize ECU(W)] E[O(M)] = 5(h-L)+T+L-2 (5(h-L)+T+L), + 255 (E[n(m)]) = h-L - x(h-L)[s(h-L)+1+L]-025 = - 22 [(4-L)2+0] + (10-L)[1-x(1+L)] So $(\varepsilon(v(w)))'=0 \Rightarrow z = (h-r)[1-\alpha(t+r)]$ 4[(H-L)2+02] which maximizes E[U(W)] since it is a concave Function

Sing z is in millions and the available capital is 1 million we require zero, 1) × [(4-4)5+05] X>1+1>0 X[(4-1)2+02] >0 (4-1)-x(4-1)(1+1) < x[(4-1)+2] $\Rightarrow \alpha > \frac{(h+1)_{5}+o_{5}+(h-1)(T+1)}{H-1}$ where we assumed b-r>0. We plot z as a function of $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{1}, \frac{(h-l)_{5}log^{2}(h-l)(HL)}{h-l}]$ and we observe that the larger the a, the larger are risk oversion. w- = (x)'U xx-1=(x)U sonis (2000mm)) ind ones of reop are with the sold (35 74+17-415) W-W & MANNY (35 15-100+1) + S(411) W-W SORT (TALLET ON) M. YELL (TOURS = [(WOLL) [(1/1) x 1](1/4) = 5 0 = 0 = ((1/10013)

2 We want to maximize the expected utility of wealth W. . xood aled in the book : 200 W~ N(r+ n(p-1)-122, 22) In our case U(x)= log(x) => U(W)= logW $E[U(w)] = E[Qogw] = r + \pi(y-r) - \frac{2}{2}e^{2}$ which is a concave function of n (E[U(W)]) = 0 = (1+ T(4-1)- 1202) =0 => $(\mu - r) - 2 \frac{\pi^2}{2} = 0$ => $\pi^2 = \frac{\mu - r}{r^2}$ So we found the optimal allocation in the risky osset.

	0	
9	3>	The two returns after a single bet of fwb:
9		· (LHX) FWO WI propagiony p
		(4-1) PHO M ON 2 (9-1) .
3		
	>	Outcomes for W:
3		= (WD-9WD) + (1+α) PWO = WO (1-9) + PWO +α PWO
		= (1+al)Wo
		• (1-8€) NP
5		
	>	outcomes for U(W)=log(W):
9		· log((1+xf)W6)
•		· log((1-61)Wo)
9		
9	· · >	[ow(128-1)] = plag[(1+24)/W0]+(1-p)lag[(1-84)/W0]
9		$E[L(G(M))] = \frac{1+\alpha t}{b\alpha} \frac{1-\beta t}{(1-\beta)\beta} = \frac{1+\alpha t}{b\alpha} \frac{\beta t-7}{(1-\beta)\beta}$
		17x8 1-86 17x8 88-1
	>	$E''[\log(W)] = -\frac{P\alpha^2}{(1+\alpha l)^2} \frac{(0l-1)^2}{(0l-1)^2}$
6		(1+xt)5 (Ot-T)5
5		so maximization of leg(w) is achieved for:
•		€'[Qog(W)]=0 => px + (1-p)b=0 => (BP-1)pa+(1+xP)(1-p)b=0
9		1+48, 86-1
9		=> abpt-ap+ab(1-p)f+B(1-p)=0 => abf=ap-B(1-p)
9		$\Rightarrow f' = \alpha b - \beta(1-b) \Rightarrow f' = \frac{b}{b} - \frac{1-b}{1-b}$
		26
		from this formula of f " we make the following reasonable observation
5		- As p (prob. of revenue) increases, the fraction of Wo bet increases.
9		- As (1p) (prob. of loss) increases, the Fraction of No bet decreases,
9		- As 6 (amount of loss) increases, the Fraction of Wo bet decreases.
9		- As a (amount of revenue) increases, the fraction of W6 bet increases.
•	0	
9		
5		