

## HOMOLOGY AND COHOMOLOGY EX.2

XUEYAN ZHANG

### Exercise 3.8

Let  $f : \Delta^n \rightarrow \Delta^0$  be the unique map.  $(\Delta^0)_k$  contains only 1 element for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , namely  $(\Delta^0)_k = \{*\} = \{(0 \cdots 0)\}$ . Then there is a canonical inclusion  $i : \Delta^0 \rightarrow \Delta^n$ , sending  $*$  to the  $k$ -simplex  $(0 \cdots 0)$  in  $\Delta^n$  for each  $k$ , and  $f$  sends everything to  $*$ .

Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ i : \Delta^0 &\rightarrow \Delta^0 \\ * &\mapsto * \end{aligned}$$

then  $f \circ i = \text{id}_{\Delta^0}$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (i \circ f)_k : (\Delta^n)_k &\rightarrow (\Delta^n)_k \\ \sigma &\mapsto (0 \cdots 0). \end{aligned}$$

Consider  $H : \Delta^n \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \Delta^n$  such that  $H_k(\sigma, t)(i) = \sigma(i) \cdot t(i) : [k] \rightarrow [n]$ . Then this induces an order-preserving map, since if  $i \leq j$ , then  $\sigma(i) \leq \sigma(j)$  and  $t(i) \leq t(j)$ , therefore  $\sigma(i) \cdot t(i) \leq \sigma(j) \cdot t(j)$ .

Moreover, if  $t = (1 \cdots 1)$ , then  $H_k(\sigma, (1 \cdots 1))(i) = \sigma(i)$  for each  $i$ , this is the same as  $\sigma$ . And if  $t = (0 \cdots 0)$ ,  $H_k(\sigma, (0 \cdots 0))(i) = 0$ , which induces  $(0 \cdots 0) \in (\Delta^n)_k$ . Therefore, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^n \times \Delta^0 = \Delta^n \times (1 \cdots 1) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \Delta^n \\ \downarrow \delta_1 & & \searrow \text{id}_{\Delta^n} \\ \Delta^n \times \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{\quad H \quad} & \Delta^n \\ \delta_0 \uparrow & & \nearrow i \circ f \\ \Delta^n \times \Delta^0 = \Delta^n \times (0 \cdots 0) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \Delta^n \end{array}$$

□

**Exercise 3.10**

Let  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(T, A)$ , set  $a_*(\varphi) = a \circ \varphi$ . Need to show  $a_*$  is injective. If  $a_*(\varphi)(x) = 0$  for every  $x \in T$ , this means  $a(\varphi(x)) = 0 \forall x \in T$ . However, since  $a$  is injective, this is true only when  $\varphi = 0$  on  $T$ . Therefore  $\ker a_* = \{0\}$ ,  $a_*$  is injective.

Similarly, for  $\phi \in \text{Hom}(T, B)$ , set  $b_*(\phi) = b \circ \phi$ . Then  $b_* \circ a_*(\varphi)(x) = b \circ a \circ \varphi(x) = 0$ , and  $\ker g_* \subset \text{im } f_*$ .

Now consider

$$\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0$$

which is an exact sequence. However,

$$\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}) = 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2$$

is not surjective.

To that  $\text{Hom}(T, -)$  is exact when  $T$  is a free abelian group with  $T = \mathbb{Z}[S]$  for some set  $S$ , it remains to check  $b_*$  is surjective. Take  $s \in S$ . For every  $\gamma \in \text{Hom}(T, C)$ , since  $b$  is surjective, there exists  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(T, B)$  such that  $b(\varphi(s)) = \gamma(s)$ , which induces  $b_*\varphi = \gamma$ . Therefore  $b_*$  is surjective.

For  $\otimes$ , consider

$$0 \rightarrow A \otimes T \xrightarrow{a \otimes \text{id}} B \otimes T \xrightarrow{b \otimes \text{id}} C \otimes T \rightarrow 0$$

then for any  $x \in A$ ,  $t \in T$ ,

$$(b \otimes \text{id}) \circ (a \otimes \text{id})(x \otimes t) = ((b \circ a)(x)) \otimes t = 0 \otimes t = 0,$$

therefore it is a complex.

$b \otimes \text{id}$  is surjective, indeed, for any  $z \in C$  and  $t \in T$ , since  $b$  is surjective,  $\exists y \in B$  such that  $b(y) = z$ , therefore  $(b \otimes \text{id})(y \otimes t) = z \otimes t$ . By construction of  $B \otimes T$  and  $C \otimes T$ ,  $b \otimes \text{id}$  is also surjective.

However,  $a \otimes \text{id}$  is not necessarily injective. Consider

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{Z}/3$$

where  $i$  is injective. But  $\mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 = \mathbb{Z}/2$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}/3 \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 = 0$ , therefore

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \xrightarrow{i_*} \mathbb{Z}/3 \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \\
& & \parallel \qquad \qquad \qquad \parallel \\
& & \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

$i_*$  is not injective.

Nevertheless, if  $T$  is a free abelian group,  $T = \mathbb{Z}[S]$  for a set  $S$ , assume that for some  $x_i \in A$ ,  $s_i \in S$ ,

$$(a \otimes \text{id})\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes s_i\right) = 0$$

this induces

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^n a(x_i) \otimes s_i$$

therefore, for each  $i$ ,  $a(x_i) \otimes s_i = 0$ . Either  $s_i = 0$  or  $a(x_i) = 0$ . If  $a(x_i) = 0$ , since  $a$  is injective, this implies  $x_i = 0$ . Therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a(x_i) \otimes s_i = \sum_{i=1}^n 0 = 0$$

hence  $a \otimes \text{id}$  is injective.

□

#### Exercise 4.5

Replacing  $C$  with  $N(\mathbb{Z}[X])$  induces a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_n(X)/l \rightarrow H_n(N(\mathbb{Z}(X))/l) \rightarrow (H_{n-1}(X))_l \rightarrow 0.$$

Since

$$N_n(\mathbb{Z}[X])/l = (\oplus_{x \in X_n^{\text{non-deg}}} \mathbb{Z})/l \simeq \oplus_{x \in X_n^{\text{non-deg}}} (\mathbb{Z}/l) \simeq N_n((\mathbb{Z}/l)[X])$$

and therefore  $H_n(N(\mathbb{Z}(X))/l) = H_n(N(\mathbb{Z}/l)[X]) = H_n(X, \mathbb{Z}/l)$ , and this sequence becomes

$$0 \rightarrow H_n(X)/l \rightarrow H_n(X, \mathbb{Z}/l) \rightarrow (H_{n-1}(X))_l \rightarrow 0.$$

Inserting  $X = S^k$ , one has  $H_n(S^k)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$  for  $n = k$  and 0 otherwise, and this sequence induces

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/l \rightarrow H_k(S^k, \mathbb{Z}/l) = \mathbb{Z}/l \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0.$$

Now for  $X = P^2$ , since

$$H_n(P^2, \Lambda) = \begin{cases} \Lambda & n = 0 \\ \Lambda/2 & n = 1 \\ \{x \in \Lambda : 2x = 0\} =: \Lambda' & n = 2 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

and in particular  $H_1(P^2) = \mathbb{Z}/2$ ,  $H_2(P^2) = 0$ , there are sequences

$$0 \rightarrow 0/l = 0 \rightarrow \{x \in \mathbb{Z}/l : 2x = 0\} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2)_l \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/l)/2 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/l)/l = \mathbb{Z}/l \rightarrow 0 \quad (2)$$

These sequences can be further simplified; WLOG assume  $l \geq 0$ .

If  $l = 0$ ,  $\Lambda' = 0$ ,  $(\mathbb{Z}/2)_l = \mathbb{Z}/2$ ,  $(\mathbb{Z}/l)/2 = \mathbb{Z}/2$ , therefore the sequences become

$$0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

If  $l = 1$ , then  $\Lambda' = 0$ ,  $(\mathbb{Z}/2)_l = 0$ ,  $(\mathbb{Z}/l)/2 = 0$ . Sequence (1) becomes trivial (with everything being 0), and (2) becomes

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0$$

If  $l \geq 2$ ,  $(\mathbb{Z}/l)/2 = \mathbb{Z}/2$ . Moreover, if  $l$  is even,  $\Lambda' = \mathbb{Z}/2$ ,  $(\mathbb{Z}/2)_l = \mathbb{Z}/2$ ,

$$0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/l \rightarrow 0$$

If  $l$  is odd, then  $\Lambda' = 0$ ,  $(\mathbb{Z}/2)_l = 0$ , then (1) is trivial since everything is 0, and (2) becomes

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/l \rightarrow 0.$$

□

**Exercise 4.6**

(1) By definition,  $N_n(A) = C_n(A)/D_n(A)$  for each  $n$ . Consider  $p$  as the quotient map. Then the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow D \xrightarrow{i} C \xrightarrow{p} N \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, since the inclusion  $i_n : D_n(A) = A_n^{\deg} \rightarrow C_n(A) = A_n$  is injective, and the quotient map  $p_n : C_n(A) \rightarrow N_n(A) = C_n(A)/A_n^{\deg}$  is surjective. By snake lemma, this induces a long exact sequence:

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_n(D) \xrightarrow{H_n(i)} H_n(C) \xrightarrow{H_n(p)} H_n(N) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(D) \rightarrow \cdots$$

If  $D$  is exact, then  $H_n(D) = 0$  for every  $n$ . This sequence then becomes

$$\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow H_n(C) \xrightarrow{H_n(p)} H_n(N) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$$

This is equivalent to saying  $H_n(p)$  is surjective and bijective for all  $n$ . Since it is linear, it is an isomorphism. Therefore,  $p$  is a quasi-isomorphism by definition.

(2) For  $C$  and  $C'$ , (by arguments similar to (1)) there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C' \rightarrow C \rightarrow C/C' \rightarrow 0$$

and induces a long exact sequence by snake lemma:

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{n+1}(C/C') \rightarrow H_n(C') \rightarrow H_n(C) \rightarrow H_n(C/C') \rightarrow H_{n-1}(C') \rightarrow H_{n-1}(C) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Since  $C'$  and  $C/C'$  are exact, this sequence can be simplified to

$$\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow H_n(C') \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow H_{n-1}(C') \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$$

since this sequence is exact, the only choice for  $H_n(C')$  would be  $H_n(C') = 0$  for all  $n$ .

Therefore  $C'$  is exact.

(3) First check  $(D^{(p)})_n \subset (D^{(p+1)})_n$  for all  $n$  and  $p$ :

For  $n \leq p$ ,  $(D^{(p+1)})_n = (D^{(p)})_n = D_n$ , trivial.

For  $n = p + 1$ ,

$$(D^{(p)})_{p+1} = D_{p+1} = A_{p+1}^{\deg},$$

$$(D^{(p+1)})_{p+1} = \sigma_0(C_p) + \cdots + \sigma_{p+1}(C)_p = \sigma_0 A_p + \cdots + \sigma_{p+1} A_p.$$

Then this inclusion is obvious by definition.

For  $n > p + 1$ ,

$$(D^{(p)})_n = \sigma_0(C_{n-1}) + \cdots + \sigma_p(C_{n-1}),$$

$$(D^{(p+1)})_n = \sigma_0(C_{n-1}) + \cdots + \sigma_p(C_{n-1}) + \sigma_{p+1}(C_{n-1}),$$

then the inclusion is again obvious. Therefore  $(D^{(p)})_n \subset (D^{(p+1)})_n$  for all  $n$  and  $p$ .

Second, check that  $\partial\partial = 0$  for

$$(D^{(p)})_{n+2} \xrightarrow{\partial} (D^{(p)})_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial} (D^{(p)})_n.$$

This is immediate from  $\partial\partial = 0$ , inherited from  $C$ .

(4) Notice that  $D_n = \sigma_0(C_{n-1}) + \cdots + \sigma_n(C_{n-1})$ . Then for  $n \geq p$ ,

$$(D^{(p)})_n / (D^{(p-1)})_n \simeq \sigma_p(C_{n-1}),$$

and for  $n < p$ ,

$$(D^{(p)})_n / (D^{(p-1)})_n = D_n / D_n = 0.$$

Need to find  $h$  such the diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \sigma_p(C_p) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \sigma_p(C_{p-1}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & 0 & \xrightarrow{\partial} & 0 & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & \swarrow h_{p+1} & \downarrow \text{id} & \swarrow h_p & \downarrow \text{id} & \swarrow h_{p-1} & \downarrow \text{id} & \swarrow h_{p-2} & & & \\ \cdots & \sigma_p(C_{p+1}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \sigma_p(C_p) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \sigma_p(C_{p-1}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & 0 & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

Let  $\delta_k$  be the face maps on  $C_k$ . It suffices to find  $h_n$  for  $n > p + 2$ . With simplicial identities and the quotient, one may observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\sigma_p(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \delta_k \sigma_p(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^p (-1)^k \delta_k \sigma_p(x) + \sum_{k=p+2}^n (-1)^k \sigma_p \delta_{k-1}(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=p+2}^n (-1)^k \sigma_p \delta_{k-1}(x) \end{aligned}$$

furthermore, for  $n > p + 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial\sigma_p(\sigma_p(x)) - \sigma_{p-1}\partial(\sigma_p(x)) &= \sum_{k=p+2}^{n+1} (-1)^k \sigma_p \delta_{i-1} \sigma_p(x) - \sigma_p \sum_{i=p+2}^n (-1)^k \sigma_p \delta_{k-1}(x) \\
&= \sum_{k=p+2}^{n+1} (-1)^k \sigma_p^2 \delta_{k-2}(x) - \sum_{k=p+2}^n (-1)^k \sigma_p^2 \delta_{k-1}(x) \\
&= \sum_{k=p+1}^n (-1)^k \sigma_p^2 \delta_{k-1}(x) - \sum_{k=p+2}^n (-1)^k \sigma_p^2 \delta_{k-1}(x) \\
&= (-1)^{p+1} \sigma_p^2 \delta_p(x) \\
&= (-1)^{p+1} \sigma_p(x).
\end{aligned}$$

This induces

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{id} &= (-1)^{p+1} \partial \sigma_p - (-1)^{p+1} \sigma_{p-1} \partial \\
&= \partial((-1)^{p+1} \sigma_p) + (-1)^p \sigma_{p-1} \partial
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore may take  $h_n = (-1)^{p+1} \sigma_p$ , and  $(D^{(p)})_n / (D^{(p-1)})_n$  is null-homotopic via  $h_n$ .

(5) Since  $(D^{(p)})_n / (D^{(p-1)})_n$  is null-homotopic, it is exact. Also notice that for  $p = 0$ ,  $D^{(0)}$  is given by  $(D^{(0)})_n = \sigma_0(C_{n-1})$ , I guess it is exact too but I could not provide a valid proof. Then by (2) and induction on  $p$ ,  $D^{(p)}$  is exact for all  $p$ , and therefore  $D$  is exact too.

□

$$\rightarrow H_n(X \setminus \{x\}) \rightarrow H_n(X) \rightarrow H_n(X, X \setminus \{x\}) \xrightarrow{d} H_{n-1}(X \setminus \{x\}) \rightarrow$$

in this sequence,

$$H_n(X \setminus \{x\}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad H_n(X) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Since  $X \setminus \{x\}$  is a union of two disjoint intervals and  $X$  is convex. The non-trivial part of the sequence is then

$$0 \rightarrow H_1(X, X \setminus \{x\}) \xrightarrow{d, \text{inj}} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\text{surj}} H_0(X, X \setminus \{x\}) \xrightarrow{d} 0$$