Public Policy Outcomes for Unemployment

Even in the best of times, economic prospects appear turbulent. Despite a current period of seemingly robust economic indicators—inflation has dipped to its lowest point in two and a half years, while the unemployment rate has remained below 4% for the longest period of time since the 1960s—Americans feel uneasy about the state of the economy. Recent surveys (1) reflect this sentiment, with a significant majority of respondents describing the state of the economy as poor. 69% of individuals report a rise in household expenses over the past year, contrasted with a mere 23% noting an increase in income. Personal financial pressures persist for many, with stagnant income levels being stretched thin by rising expenses. In the worst of times, such as during the recent pandemic, economic upheaval dramatically alters the landscape of financial stability. The outbreak led to an surge in unemployment, which pushed up U.S. unemployment rates from a historically low 3.8% in February 2020 to a peak of 13.0% in May, marking one of the highest unemployment rates since World War II.

Surges in unemployment not only have macroeconomic consequences but also resound deeply on the individual level, affecting personal well-being in profound ways. As Barra et al. highlight, unemployment is associated with depression, anxiety, and diminished subjective self-esteem. Moreover, it heightens aversion to risk and leads to a greater tendency to discount the future (3). The consequences are grave, with higher rates of suicide, murder, and alcohol-related deaths observed across various countries. Building upon these insights, Paul et al. delve into the impact of unemployment on mental health. Their investigations reveal that the negative effect is substantial, with unemployed individuals experiencing mental health levels half a standard deviation below those of employed individuals. Moreover, the distressing effects of unemployment are found to be more pronounced among men, blue-collar workers, and long-term unemployed individuals (4). In a longitudinal analysis covering 63 countries, Nordt et al. reinforce the alarming correlation between unemployment and suicide rates. Their findings reveal a consistent and significant increase of about 20-30% in the relative risk of suicide associated with unemployment across all four world regions studied. Unemployment contributed to a substantial number of suicides, with figures rising notably during times of economic crisis (5).

These studies point to the profound need for preventative strategies that specifically address the challenges faced by the unemployed, not only during times of economic hardship but also in periods of stability. Importantly, evidence highlights that unemployment is not merely a consequence of economic hardship but also a precursor to mental health crises, with far-reaching impacts on individuals' psychological well-being. When considering policy interventions, it is imperative to consider these insights. Proactive measures should be aimed at reinforcing mental health support systems, enhancing job security, and improving the overall quality of employment conditions. By integrating these considerations into policy frameworks, governments and organizations can better safeguard the well-being of individuals and mitigate the adverse effects of unemployment.

Current labor market policies often operate under the assumption that individuals are rational actors who make decisions based on maximizing their own utility. However, Babcock et al. argue that the success of labor market policies hinges on accurately understanding how individuals truly make decisions about work and job search (6). They emphasize the importance of integrating insights from behavioral economics into labor market policy frameworks to better align policies with the realities of human decision-making.

One key area where this understanding is crucial is in how people search for jobs. Traditional economic models, as outlined by R. G. McFayden and J. P. Thomas (8), have provided some insights into job search behavior by framing it within utility theory. According to these models, individuals seek to maximize their lifetime income by strategically navigating the job market. The traditional model of job search involves unemployed individuals facing a fixed distribution of wages and receiving random job offers. The individual then decides whether to accept or reject these offers based on their reservation wage—the minimum wage they are willing to accept. This decision-making process involves a trade-off between the benefits of a continuous search for higher-paying employment and the costs in terms of lost income.

This traditional economic model, which informs much of the labor market policy, offers valuable insights into job search behavior, but fails to capture the full complexity of human decision-making. McFayden and Thomas emphasize a fundamental flaw in this model: the assumption that wages are random draws and offer rejections are rare, which does not align with real-world job search dynamics. Additionally, research cited by McFayden and Thomas suggests that the longer individuals are unemployed, the less motivated they become to search for jobs, leading to decreased job applications and diminished problem-solving abilities (8). Studies by Fleming et al. further call attention to the psychological toll of unemployment, revealing higher stress levels, reduced persistence and diminished motivation among the long-term unemployed.

This disconnect between traditional economic models and the realities of job search behavior is intensified by insights from behavioral economics, as articulated by Linda Babcock and colleagues. First, time inconsistency poses a significant behavioral barrier to reemployment efforts, reflecting individuals' tendency to have imperfect self-control. Ultimately, it leads to procrastination in job search activities despite knowing it is against their long-term interest (6). Secondly, an anchoring effect may be visible regarding reference wages. Anchoring bias occurs when individuals anchor their expectations for living standards based on their current situation, leading to a reluctance to explore alternative opportunities. In the context of setting reservation wages, individuals may be hesitant to accept objectively reasonable wage offers due to a psychological attachment to past earnings. Unemployed individuals, influenced by loss aversion, may irrationally resist accepting job offers below their pre-unemployment wage, limiting their willingness to explore potentially valuable opportunities. Consequently, individuals may remain unemployed for longer periods, waiting for offers that match their previous earnings. Policies that impose stronger work and job search requirements may benefit program participants by helping them overcome loss aversion and self-control problems, facilitating their reintegration into the job market (6).

One policy suggestion to address the behavioral effects of unemployment involves the implementation of unemployment accounts (UAs) as an alternative to the existing unemployment insurance (UI) system. Under this proposed system, individuals would make mandatory contributions to individual savings accounts instead of playing premiums to finance traditional unemployment insurance. These contributions would serve as personal savings specifically designated for unemployment-related expenses. When individuals become eligible for unemployment benefits, they would be allowed to withdraw an amount of savings from their UAs, up to the level of the current unemployment insurance benefits, providing individuals with financial support during periods of unemployment. Additionally, UAs could be integrated into pension schemes, with accumulated savings, if not used during periods of unemployment, becoming available at retirement age. This integration would provide individuals with a dual-purpose savings account, serving both as financial safety during unemployment and as a

supplement to retirement income. van Huizen and Plantenga argue that this reform could significantly improve employment incentives by forcing individuals to internalize the costs of unemployment, prompting them to exert more effort to both avoid unemployment and shorten unemployment time. Previous studies cited by van Huizen and Plantenga have suggested that the introduction of UAs could lead to a dramatic decrease in unemployment levels and durations, with estimates indicating potential reductions of 30 to 50 percent in high-unemployment countries (10). However, it becomes evident that UAs may face challenges due to hyperbolic discounting, which was considered earlier. Hyperbolic discounting suggests that individuals will prioritize immediate costs and benefits over long-term incentives; this stems from a tendency to value immediate rewards over delayed rewards, resulting in a reluctance to engage in investment activities with delayed benefits. Consequently, the effectiveness of UAs in incentivizing job search efforts may be limited, as the distant future incentives provided by the accounts may not effectively overcome procrastination tendencies. Empirical evidence suggests that the duration of unemployment is less influenced by reservation wages and more by the rate of job arrivals (10), indicating that distant future incentives may have little impact on job search intensity or acceptance decisions. Therefore, while UAs may offer potential benefits in theory, their effectiveness in reducing unemployment levels and durations may be constrained by behavioral biases.

Another policy suggestion, proposed by Babcock et al., is to simplify job training programs. Job training programs are a vital component of labor market policies aimed at enhancing individuals' skill and earning potential. These programs address the positive externalities related to human capital acquisition and help individuals overcome capital market imperfections (6). They also serve as a safety net for those affected by trade or technological changes. However, a primary challenge lies in delivering these benefits efficiently and effectively. In the United States, the Workforce Investment Act (WIA) is a key program offering occupational skills training and on-the-job training to disadvantaged workers. Despite their support from community colleges, Pell grants, and the Lifetime Learning Credit, these programs have a mixed record of effectiveness. Behavioral economics suggests that the disappointing results of some job training programs may stem from a failure to account for workers' psychology. Individuals may struggle to make optimal decisions regarding training initiation, field selection, and competition due to behavioral biases such as hyperbolic discounting (6). To address these challenges in program efficiency, workforce investment systems must simplify processes and reduce reliance on individual rationality for program success.

This is what Babcock et al. suggest. Job training programs are not prioritizing simplicity for participants. While administrative efficiency has been a focus of past effort to streamline services, the participant experience has not been prioritized. This policy proposal suggests that it should be an explicit goal to provide job training services with minimal barriers to take-up. These services should ensure that complexity is reduced and guidance is provided to users. Counseling services accompanying individuals should also be enhanced to assist participants in navigating training options. Additionally, this policy intervention involves experimenting with structured markets for counseling and advice. Currently, training assistance operates within markets where providers compete for training dollars, but the complexity of choices limits individuals' capacity to drive these markets towards effective outcomes. Babock et al. cite research which finds that interventions that provide personalized information can improve decision-making outcomes and there is a potential for similar efforts in job training. By creating markets where providers compete to serve individuals, the success of these providers will be determined by objective performance measures.

To comprehensively address the multifaceted challenges of unemployment, including behavioral barriers and mental health implications, I propose the expansion of a simplification to this job training process, with a focus on mental health. A program such as this aims to integrate job search assistance and training initiatives with mental health services, employing insights from behavioral economics to enhance effectiveness and accessibility. This program would integrate support services through a centralized platform that offers personalized guidance tailored to individual needs and preferences, addressing both practical employment challenges and mental well-being concerns. In addition, an expanded mental health counseling service will offer evidence-based interventions to address stress, anxiety, and other mental health issues that may impede the job search process. It is incredibly important to ensure equitable access to mental health and employment support services. I propose this is done through virtual counseling options, multilingual resources, and outreach programs targeting underserved populations. Proactive outreach efforts must be made to engage individuals who may face barriers to accessing traditional services. Overall, by integrating mental health services into employment support initiatives, I am to address the interconnected challenges of unemployment and mental health distress. This approach recognizes the importance of supporting individuals not only in securing employment but also in fostering resilience and well-being as they navigate transitions in their professional lives.

Works Cited

1. Lewis, C. (2023, November 16). Many Americans say their household expenses are outpacing earnings this year, AP-Norc Poll shows. AP News.
2. Kochhar, R. (2020, June 11). Unemployment rose higher in three months of COVID-19 than it did in two years of the great recession. Pew Research Center.
3. Barr, A., Miller, L., & Ubeda, P. (2016). Moral consequences of becoming unemployed. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113(17), 4676–4681.
4. Paul, K. I., & Moser, K. (2009). Unemployment impairs mental health: Meta-analyses. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 74(3), 264–282.
5. Nordt, C., Warnke, I., Seifritz, E., & Kawohl, W. (2015). Modelling suicide and unemployment: A longitudinal analysis covering 63 countries, 2000–11. The Lancet Psychiatry, 2(3), 239–245.
6. Babcock, L., Congdon, W. J., Katz, L. F., & Mullainathan, S. (2012). Notes on Behavioral Economics and labor market policy. IZA Journal of Labor Policy, 1(1).
7. Devine, T. J., & Kiefer, N. M. (1991). Empirical Labor Economics: The search approach. Oxford University Press.
8. McFadyen, R. G., & Thomas, J. P. (1997). Economic and psychological models of job search behavior of the unemployed. Human Relations, 50(12), 1461–1484.
9. Fleming, R., Baum, A., Reddy, D., & Gatchel, R. J. (1984). Behavioral and biochemical effects of job loss and unemployment stress. Journal of Human Stress, 10(1), 12–17.