

Long Short-Term Memory Networks for Stock Forecasting

Christal O'Connell, Eliot Liucci, Eric Folsom, Nick Clausen

Montana State University - CSCI 550

December 2024

Purpose

- ▶ Stock forecasting is infamous as being very difficult
- ▶ Market variability can make stock behavior unpredictable
- ▶ Day traders use pattern recognition to determine where a stock is likely to go
- ▶ Is it possible to use deep learning to mimic this strategy?



Figure: Apple stock over the last year.

Long Short-Term Memory Networks

- ▶ Originally proposed in 1997 by Hochreiter [2]
- ▶ Special type of Recurrent Neural Network
- ▶ Designed to be able to deal with long-term dependencies within sequential data




Figure: Inside of a LSTM Memory Cell. Yellow boxes use a Sigmoid activation, grey boxes use a Tanh activation.

Determining Model Architecture


- ▶ Each model is a 3-layer LSTM built in tensorflow [1]
- ▶ Hyper-parameter were tuned using Bayesian Optimization [5]
 - ▶ More efficient than randomly searching
 - ▶ Similar efficiency to using Latin Square
- ▶ Models for all stocks were tuned to have 8-8-64 nodes
- ▶ Dropout rates different

	Stock	AAPL	AMZN	CAT	NVDA
1 st Layer	Nodes	8	8	8	8
	Dropout	0.01	0.1	0.15	0.15
2 nd Layer	Nodes	8	8	8	8
	Dropout	0.05	0.01	0.15	0.15
3 rd Layer	Nodes	64	8	64	8
	Dropout	0.15	0.05	0.15	0.15


Table: A table of the architectures determined via Bayesian Optimization for each stock.

Training Process


- ▶ LSTMs need a lot of sequential data for effective model convergence
- ▶ yfinance package in Python was used to read the past 10 years of daily closing prices in-line [4]
- ▶ Each time series was decomposed to remove any trends, resulting in a stationary time series
 - ▶ This allows for optimal LSTM performance when recognizing patterns




(a) AAPL



(b) AMZN



(c) CAT



(d) NVDA


Figure: Loss against iterations trained for each stock.

Results


- ▶ The last month of closing prices was withheld from training and testing set
- ▶ LSTMs were used to forecast 31 days out
- ▶ Forecasts were then compared to actual stock performance




(a) AAPL



(b) AMZN



(c) CAT




(d) NVDA


Figure: Blind test of 31 day forecasts for each model.

Results


- ▶ Blind forecasts from LSTM (red) were compared to other popular time series models
 - ▶ Holt-Winters Exponential Smoothing (yellow)
 - ▶ Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (green)




(a) AAPL



(b) AMZN



(c) CAT



(d) NVDA

Figure: Comparing LSTM forecasts to other methods

Results

- ▶ To get measures of uncertainty for LSTM forecasts, we repeated the following 100 times for each stock;
 - ▶ Bootstrapped the training data using a Moving Block Bootstrap [3]
 - ▶ Trained tuned model architecture for 8,000 iterations
 - ▶ Forecasted
- ▶ 95% highest density intervals were obtained from all forecasts




Figure: Prediction intervals for each stock.

References

- [1] M. Abadi and A. Agarwal. TensorFlow: Large-scale machine learning on heterogeneous systems, 2015. Software available from tensorflow.org.
- [2] S. Hochreiter and J. Schmidhuber. Long short-term memory. *Neural computation*, 9(8):1735–1780, 1997.
- [3] S. N. Lahiri. *Resampling methods for dependent data*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [4] T. Peters. The Zen of Python. PEP 20, 2004.
- [5] J. Snoek, H. Larochelle, and R. P. Adams. Practical bayesian optimization of machine learning algorithms. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 25, 2012.