

Category 3:

send it to the examiner through the DoAA with a request to respond within six weeks. If no response is received within the stipulated period, a reminder will be sent and the wait period will be extended by another six weeks. If no response is received even after twelve weeks further action will be initiated. v. Category 5: The thesis examiners find the thesis unsuitable and reject it. The thesis examiners explicitly mention that this thesis cannot be considered even with major revisions/resubmissions. If one of the examiners rejects the thesis, the matter will be referred to Chairperson, Senate for deciding further course of action. If two or more examiners reject the thesis, the thesis will be rejected. Students receiving such a recommendation will be terminated from their academic programme. 2. Copies of the report along with the category of the thesis (without the identity of the examiner) will be communicated to the thesis supervisor(s). 3. On completion of the process detailed above, the DoAA will intimate the concurrence of the Chairperson, Senate, if given, to the supervisor, to initiate the constitution of the oral board. This concurrence of Chairperson, Senate will be given if all the examiners recommend acceptance of the thesis. 4. In case the DoAA is the supervisor of the student concerned, the above processing will be done by Dean, Faculty Affairs. If plagiarism is detected at any stage during the Ph.D. programme or even after award of the degree, disciplinary action including termination from the programme or withdrawal of the degree, as appropriate, will be initiated by the Institute.