Welfare and Spending Effects
of Consumption Stimulus Policies

2025-02-28

Christopher D. Carroll[1]   Edmund Crawley[2]   William Du[3]

Ivan Frankovic[4]   Håkon Tretvoll[5]

Using a heterogeneous agent model calibrated to match measured spending dynamics over four years following an income shock (**?**), we assess the effectiveness of three fiscal stimulus policies employed during recent recessions. Unemployment insurance (UI) extensions are the clear "bang for the buck" winner when effectiveness is measured in utility terms. Stimulus checks are second best and have two advantages (over UI): they arrive faster, and they are scalable to any desired size. A temporary (two-year) cut in the rate of wage taxation is considerably less effective than the other policies and has negligible effects in the version of our model without a multiplier.

```
   html: https://llorracc.github.io/HAFiscal/
    PDF: HAFiscal.pdf
 Slides: https://llorracc.github.io/HAFiscal/HAFiscal-Slides.pdf
 GitHub: https://github.com/llorracc/HAFiscal
```

---

[1]Carroll: Department of Economics, Johns Hopkins University, `ccarroll@jhu.edu`, and NBER   .
[2]Crawley: Federal Reserve Board, `edmund.s.crawley@frb.gov`
[3]Du: Johns Hopkins University, `wdu9@jhu.edu`
[4]Frankovic: Deutsche Bundesbank, `ivan.frankovic@bundesbank.de`
[5]Tretvoll: Statistics Norway, `Hakon.Tretvoll@ssb.no`

References

FAGERENG, ANDREAS, MARTIN B. HOLM, AND GISLE J. NATVIK (2021): "MPC Heterogeneity and Household Balance Sheets," American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics, 13(4), 1–54.

PARKER, JONATHAN A (2017): "Why don't households smooth consumption? Evidence from a \$25 million experiment," American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics, 9(4), 153–183.