

Lloyd Tatenda Chimanga 5/1/2023

Outline

- Executive Summary
- Introduction
- Methodology
- Results
- Conclusion
- Appendix

Executive Summary

- Summary of methodologies
 - Data Collection through API
 - Data Collection with Web Scraping
 - Data Wrangling
 - Exploratory Data Analysis with SQL
 - Exploratory Data Analysis with Data Visualization
 - Interactive Visual Analytics with Folium
 - Machine Learning Prediction
- Summary of all results
 - Exploratory Data Analysis result
 - Interactive analytics in screenshots
 - Predictive Analytics result

Introduction

Project background and context

On its website, Space X promotes Falcon 9 rocket launches for 62 million dollars; other suppliers charge upwards of 165 million dollars for each launch. A large portion of the savings is due to Space X's ability to reuse the first stage. So, if we can figure out whether the first stage will land, we can figure out how much a launch will cost. If another business wishes to submit a proposal for a rocket launch against space X, they can use this information. The project's objective is to build a pipeline for machine learning that can forecast if the initial stage will land successfully.

Problems you want to find answers

- What elements determine whether the rocket will successfully land?
- the interplay of numerous factors that affects the likelihood of a successful landing.
- What operational requirements must exist for a landing program to be successful?.



Methodology

Executive Summary

- Data collection methodology:
 - Data was gathered through scraping Wikipedia's website and the SpaceX API.
- Perform data wrangling
 - We used one-hot encoding for categorical features.
- Perform exploratory data analysis (EDA) using visualization and SQL
- Perform interactive visual analytics using Folium and Plotly Dash
- Perform predictive analysis using classification models
 - How to build, tune, evaluate classification models

Data Collection

- The data was collected using various methods
 - Utilizing a get call to the SpaceX API, data was gathered.
 - Next, we used the json() function call to decode the response's content as JSON and the json normalize function call to convert it into a pandas dataframe ().
 - The data was then cleansed, missing values were checked for, and filled in as appropriate. Additionally, using Beautiful Soup, we scraped Wikipedia for information on Falcon 9 launch statistics.
 - The goal was to extract the launch records as an HTML table, parse the table, and then transform the table into a pandas dataframe for later analysis.

Data Collection - SpaceX API

- To gather data, sanitize the requested data, and do some simple data wrangling and formatting, we used the get request to the SpaceX API.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/lloyd700/cours era/blob/77447a9c3257bc716210 a999a2bffc1dd7dd13e9/jupyterlabs-spacex-data-collectionapi.ipynb


```
1. Get request for rocket launch data using API
          spacex url="https://api.spacexdata.com/v4/launches/past"
          response = requests.get(spacex url)
   2. Use json normalize method to convert json result to dataframe
In [12]:
           # Use json normalize method to convert the json result into a dataframe
           # decode response content as json
           static json df = res.json()
           # apply json normalize
           data = pd.json_normalize(static_json_df)
   3. We then performed data cleaning and filling in the missing values
In [30]:
          rows = data falcon9['PayloadMass'].values.tolist()[0]
           df rows = pd.DataFrame(rows)
           df rows = df rows.replace(np.nan, PayloadMass)
          data falcon9['PayloadMass'][0] = df rows.values
           data_falcon9
```

Data Collection - Scraping

- We applied web scrapping to webscrap Falcon 9 launch records with BeautifulSoup
- We parsed the table and converted it into a pandas dataframe.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/lloyd700/cours era/blob/77447a9c3257bc716210 a999a2bffc1dd7dd13e9/jupyterlabs-spacex-data-collectionapi.ipynb

```
1. Apply HTTP Get method to request the Falcon 9 rocket launch page
        static url = "https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=List of Falcon 9 and Falcon Heavy launches&oldid=1927686922"
In [5]: # use requests.get() method with the provided static_url
          # assign the response to a object
          html data = requests.get(static url)
          html_data.status_code
Out[5]: 200
    2. Create a BeautifulSoup object from the HTML response
           # Use BeautifulSoup() to create a BeautifulSoup object from a response text content
           soup = BeautifulSoup(html data.text, 'html.parser')
         Print the page title to verify if the BeautifulSoup object was created properly
           # Use soup.title attribute
           soup.title
          <title>List of Falcon 9 and Falcon Heavy launches - Wikipedia</title>
        Extract all column names from the HTML table header
         column_names = []
         # Apply find all() function with "th" element on first launch table
         # Iterate each th element and apply the provided extract column from header() to get a column name
         # Append the Non-empty column name ('if name is not None and Len(name) > \theta') into a list called column names
          element = soup.find all('th')
          for row in range(len(element)):
                 name = extract_column_from_header(element[row])
                 if (name is not None and len(name) > 0);
                     column names.append(name)
       Create a dataframe by parsing the launch HTML tables
    Export data to csv
```


Data Wrangling




- We performed exploratory data analysis and determined the training labels.
- We calculated the number of launches at each site, and the number and occurrence of each orbits
- We created landing outcome label from outcome column and exported the results to csv.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/lloyd700/coursera/blo b/77447a9c3257bc716210a999a2bffc1d d7dd13e9/jupyter-labs-spacex-datacollection-api.ipynb

EDA with Data Visualization

 We explored the data by visualizing the relationship between flight number and launch Site, payload and launch site, success rate of each orbit type, flight number and orbit type, the launch success yearly





 The link to the notebook is https://github.com/lloyd700/coursera/b lob/77447a9c3257bc716210a999a2bff c1dd7dd13e9/jupyter-labs-spacexdata-collection-api.ipynb

EDA with SQL

- We loaded the SpaceX dataset into a PostgreSQL database without leaving the jupyter notebook.
- We applied EDA with SQL to get insight from the data. We wrote queries to find out for instance:
 - The names of unique launch sites in the space mission.
 - The total payload mass carried by boosters launched by NASA (CRS)
 - The average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1
 - The total number of successful and failure mission outcomes
 - The failed landing outcomes in drone ship, their booster version and launch site names.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/lloyd700/coursera/blob/77447a9c3257bc716210a999a2 bffc1dd7dd13e9/jupyter-labs-spacex-data-collection-api.ipynb

Build an Interactive Map with Folium

- We marked all launch sites, and added map objects such as markers, circles, lines to mark the success or failure of launches for each site on the folium map.
- We assigned the feature launch outcomes (failure or success) to class 0 and 1.i.e., 0 for failure, and 1 for success.
- Using the color-labeled marker clusters, we identified which launch sites have relatively high success rate.
- We calculated the distances between a launch site to its proximities. We answered some question for instance:
 - Are launch sites near railways, highways and coastlines.
 - Do launch sites keep certain distance away from cities.

Build a Dashboard with Plotly Dash

- Using Plotly dash, we created an interactive dashboard.
- We created pie graphs that display all of the launches made by particular sites. For each booster version, we created a scatter graph to highlight the relationship between the outcome and the payload mass (Kg).
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/lloyd700/coursera/blob/77447a9c3257bc716210a999a2 bffc1dd7dd13e9/app.py

Predictive Analysis (Classification)

- Using Numpy and Pandas, we loaded the data, transformed it, and divided it into training and testing sets.
- Using GridSearchCV, we constructed various machine learning models and tuned various hyperparameters.
- Our model was measured by accuracy, and it was enhanced through feature engineering and algorithm tweaking.
- The most effective classification model was discovered. The link to the notebook is
 - https://github.com/lloyd700/coursera/blob/77447a9c3257bc716210a999a2bffc1dd7dd13e9/jupyter-labs-spacex-data-collection-api.ipynb


Results

- Exploratory data analysis results
- Interactive analytics demo in screenshots
- Predictive analysis results



Flight Number vs. Launch Site


 From the plot, we found that the larger the flight amount at a launch site, the greater the success rate at a launch site.



Payload vs. Launch Site



The greater the payload mass for launch site CCAFS SLC 40 the higher the success rate for the rocket.




Success Rate vs. Orbit Type

 From the plot, we can see that ES-L1, GEO, HEO, SSO, VLEO had the most success rate.



Flight Number vs. Orbit Type

• The plot below shows the Flight Number vs. Orbit type. We observe that in the LEO orbit, success is related to the number of flights whereas in the GTO orbit, there is no relationship between flight number and the orbit.




Payload vs. Orbit Type

 We can observe that with heavy payloads, the successful landing are more for PO, LEO and ISS orbits.



Launch Success Yearly Trend

 From the plot, we can observe that success rate since 2013 kept on increasing till 2020.



All Launch Site Names

We used the key word
 DISTINCT to show only
 unique launch sites from the
 SpaceX data.

Display the names of the unique launch sites in the space mission

| Out[10]: | launchsite | | | |
|----------|------------|--------------|--|--|
| | 0 | KSC LC-39A | | |
| | 1 | CCAFS LC-40 | | |
| | 2 | CCAFS SLC-40 | | |
| | 3 | VAFB SLC-4E | | |

Launch Site Names Begin with 'CCA'

| Display 5 records where launch sites begin with the string 'CCA' | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|-----------------|--|---------------|--------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| In [11]: | <pre>task_2 = '''</pre> | | | | | | | | | | |
| Out[11]: | | date | time | boosterversion | launchsite | payload | payloadmasskg | orbit | customer | missionoutcome | landingoutcome |
| | 0 | 2010-04- 06 | 18:45:00 | F9 v1.0 B0003 | CCAFS LC- 40 | Dragon Spacecraft Qualification Unit | 0 | LEO | SpaceX | Success | Failure (parachute) |
| | 1 | 2010-08- 12 | 15:43:00 | F9 v1.0 B0004 | CCAFS LC- 40 | Dragon demo flight C1, two CubeSats, barrel of | 0 | LEO (ISS) | NASA (COTS) NRO | Success | Failure (parachute) |
| | 2 | 2012-05- 22 | 07:44:00 | F9 v1.0 B0005 | CCAFS LC- 40 | Dragon demo flight C2 | 525 | LEO (ISS) | NASA (COTS) | Success | No attempt |
| | 3 | 2012-08- 10 | 00:35:00 | F9 v1.0 B0006 | CCAFS LC- 40 | SpaceX CRS-1 | 500 | LEO (ISS) | NASA (CRS) | Success | No attempt |
| | 4 | 2013-01- 03 | 15:10:00 | F9 v1.0 B0007 | CCAFS LC- 40 | SpaceX CRS-2 | 677 | LEO (ISS) | NASA (CRS) | Success | No attempt |

 We used the query above to display 5 records where launch sites begin with `CCA`

Total Payload Mass

 We calculated the total payload carried by boosters from NASA as 45596 using the query below

```
Display the total payload mass carried by boosters launched by NASA (CRS)

In [12]:

task_3 = '''

SELECT SUM(PayloadMassKG) AS Total_PayloadMass
FROM SpaceX
WHERE Customer LIKE 'NASA (CRS)'

"""

create_pandas_df(task_3, database=conn)

Out[12]:

total_payloadmass

0 45596
```

Average Payload Mass by F9 v1.1

 We calculated the average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1 as 2928.4

Display average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1

```
In [13]:
    task_4 = '''
        SELECT AVG(PayloadMassKG) AS Avg_PayloadMass
        FROM SpaceX
        WHERE BoosterVersion = 'F9 v1.1'
        '''
        create_pandas_df(task_4, database=conn)
```

```
Out[13]: avg_payloadmass
0 2928.4
```

First Successful Ground Landing Date

 We observed that the dates of the first successful landing outcome on ground pad was 22nd December 2015

Successful Drone Ship Landing with Payload between 4000 and 6000

Out[15]: boosterversion

0 F9 FT B1022

1 F9 FT B1026

2 F9 FT B1021.2

3 F9 FT B1031.2

 We used the WHERE clause to filter for boosters which have successfully landed on drone ship and applied the AND condition to determine successful landing with payload mass greater than 4000 but less than 6000

Total Number of Successful and Failure Mission Outcomes

List the total number of successful and failure mission outcomes

```
In [16]:
          task 7a = '''
                  SELECT COUNT(MissionOutcome) AS SuccessOutcome
                  FROM SpaceX
                  WHERE MissionOutcome LIKE 'Success%'
          task 7b = '''
                  SELECT COUNT(MissionOutcome) AS FailureOutcome
                  FROM SpaceX
                  WHERE MissionOutcome LIKE 'Failure%'
          print('The total number of successful mission outcome is:')
          display(create pandas df(task 7a, database=conn))
          print()
          print('The total number of failed mission outcome is:')
          create pandas df(task 7b, database=conn)
         The total number of successful mission outcome is:
            successoutcome
                      100
         The total number of failed mission outcome is:
Out[16]:
            failureoutcome
```

 We used wildcard like '%' to filter for WHERE MissionOutcome was a success or a failure.

Boosters Carried Maximum Payload

 We determined the booster that have carried the maximum payload using a subquery in the WHERE clause and the MAX() function. List the names of the booster_versions which have carried the maximum payload mass. Use a subquery

| Out[17]: | | boosterversion | payloadmasskg |
|----------|----|----------------|---------------|
| | 0 | F9 B5 B1048.4 | 15600 |
| | 1 | F9 B5 B1048.5 | 15600 |
| | 2 | F9 B5 B1049.4 | 15600 |
| | 3 | F9 B5 B1049.5 | 15600 |
| | 4 | F9 B5 B1049.7 | 15600 |
| | 5 | F9 B5 B1051.3 | 15600 |
| | 6 | F9 B5 B1051.4 | 15600 |
| | 7 | F9 B5 B1051.6 | 15600 |
| | 8 | F9 B5 B1056.4 | 15600 |
| | 9 | F9 B5 B1058.3 | 15600 |
| | 10 | F9 B5 B1060.2 | 15600 |
| | 11 | F9 B5 B1060.3 | 15600 |

2015 Launch Records

 We used a combinations of the WHERE clause, LIKE, AND, and BETWEEN conditions to filter for failed landing outcomes in drone ship, their booster versions, and launch site names for year 2015



Rank Landing Outcomes Between 2010-06-04 and 2017-03-20


Rank the count of landing outcomes (such as Failure (drone ship) or Success (ground pad))

| Out[19]: | | landingoutcome | count |
|----------|---|------------------------|-------|
| | 0 | No attempt | 10 |
| | 1 | Success (drone ship) | 6 |
| | 2 | Failure (drone ship) | 5 |
| | 3 | Success (ground pad) | 5 |
| | 4 | Controlled (ocean) | 3 |
| | 5 | Uncontrolled (ocean) | 2 |
| | 6 | Precluded (drone ship) | 1 |
| | 7 | Failure (parachute) | 1 |
| | | | |


- We selected Landing outcomes and the COUNT of landing outcomes from the data and used the WHERE clause to filter for landing outcomes BETWEEN 2010-06-04 to 2010-03-20.
- We applied the GROUP BY clause to group the landing outcomes and the ORDER BY clause to order the grouped landing outcome in descending order.




All launch sites global map markers



Markers showing launch sites with color labels




Launch Site distance to landmarks





Pie chart showing the success percentage achieved by each launch site



Pie chart showing the Launch site with the highest launch success ratio



KSC LC-39A achieved a 76.9% success rate while getting a 23.1% failure rate

Scatter plot of Payload vs Launch Outcome for all sites, with different payload selected in the range slider



We can see the success rates for low weighted payloads is higher than the heavy weighted payloads




Classification Accuracy

 The decision tree classifier is the model with the highest classification accuracy

```
models = {'KNeighbors':knn cv.best score ,
              'DecisionTree':tree cv.best score ,
              'LogisticRegression':logreg cv.best score ,
               'SupportVector': svm_cv.best_score_}
bestalgorithm = max(models, key=models.get)
print('Best model is', bestalgorithm,'with a score of', models[bestalgorithm])
if bestalgorithm == 'DecisionTree':
    print('Best params is :', tree cv.best params )
if bestalgorithm == 'KNeighbors':
    print('Best params is :', knn cv.best params )
if bestalgorithm == 'LogisticRegression':
    print('Best params is :', logreg cv.best params )
if bestalgorithm == 'SupportVector':
    print('Best params is :', svm cv.best params )
Best model is DecisionTree with a score of 0.8732142857142856
Best params is : {'criterion': 'gini', 'max_depth': 6, 'max_features': 'auto', 'min_samples_leaf': 2, 'min_samples_split': 5, 'splitter': 'random'}
```

Confusion Matrix

 The confusion matrix for the decision tree classifier shows that the classifier can distinguish between the different classes. The major problem is the false positives .i.e., unsuccessful landing marked as successful landing by the classifier.



Conclusions

We can conclude that:

- The success rate at a launch site increases with the size of the flight quantity.
- Beginning in 2013, the launch success rate will rise through 2020.
- The success rate was highest for ES-L1, GEO, HEO, SSO, and VLEO orbits.Of all the sites, KSC LC-39A had the most prosperous launches.
- The most effective machine learning approach for this task is the decision tree classifier.

