

Who Gets a Place After Dark? From Sisters to Franky Bradley's and the “Straightwashing” of the Gayborhood

Luciano Lu

Executive Summary

This case study uses the closure of Sisters Nightclub—widely described as Philadelphia’s last remaining lesbian bar before it closed in 2013 (McDonald, 2013)—and its replacement by Franky Bradley’s, a mixed LGBTQ-friendly venue that opened in 2015 (Baker, 2015a), to examine a broader shift in Gayborhood nightlife often described as “straightwashing” (McDonald, 2013; Walsh, 2021).” Across evidence from news coverage, archival materials, and scholarly research, this case suggests that shifting social norms, rising operating costs, and neighborhood change have increased the prevalence of mixed LGBTQ-friendly venues, while women-centered spaces have become harder to sustain.

For Philadelphia’s nighttime economy planning, this case highlights a core tension: growing nightlife activity does not automatically preserve the spaces that support marginalized communities. Recommendations include grants and technical assistance support for vulnerable venues, stronger integration of cultural districts into planning&zoning decisions, enforcement of inclusive practices, and anti-displacement measures to protect culturally significant “safe-haven” spaces—advancing the city’s goal of a safer, more inclusive 24-hour economy (Nighttime Economy Office, 2023).

Methods

This case study uses a qualitative “critical case (Flyvbjerg, 2006)” design centered on a single site transition: the closure of Sister and the opening of Franky Bradley’s at the same address. Evidence is compiled through document analysis across three source types: (1) news and local media coverage, (2) archival traces and historical listings (e.g., venue directories and publicly available records), and (3) scholarly research on LGBTQ districts, cultural displacement, and nightlife economies.

Context: Philadelphia's Gayborhood Nightlife History

Philadelphia's "Gayborhood," centered around 13th and Locust Streets in Center City, has long been the heart of the city's LGBTQ nightlife. By the late 20th century, this enclave was home to numerous gay and lesbian bars and clubs, as safe havens and social hubs when homosexuality was stigmatized. Gay and lesbian venues emerged as semi-secret spaces in the mid-20th century and flourished into a more open cluster of bars by the 1970s and 1980s. Notably, lesbian bars once had a strong presence: by the 1980s Philadelphia had several women-focused venues, such as Sneakers, Mamzelle's, Seasons, and Two-Four. These venues offered "a home away from home" for queer women, as one patron described, where they could be themselves without fear (McDonald, 2013).



Figure 1. Mamzelle's, a women-focused nightclub in Philadelphia's Gayborhood, 1985. Photograph courtesy of the John J. Wilcox Jr. LGBT Archives, used via the Encyclopedia of Greater Philadelphia.

However, like many U.S. cities, Philadelphia's LGBTQ neighborhood evolved through cycles of growth and decline. An important concept from urban studies is that of "cultural displacement": as neighborhoods develop and property values rise, the original social or cultural characteristics can diminish even if residents remain (Ghaziani, 2014). In Gayborhood, waves of "integration" into the mainstream economy occurred – part of a broader trajectory seen in gay districts globally. Scholars have noted that gay enclaves often follow a path from marginal spaces to fashionable hotspots, eventually attracting heterosexual patrons, new businesses, and affluent residents.

To the early 2000s brought a development boom to the 13th Street corridor: crime declined and trendy restaurants and boutiques opened. The area was even rebranded by some as "Midtown Village," which signals a shift to a more mainstream identity, though many Philadelphians still proudly call it the Gayborhood. The City acknowledged the area's heritage by installing rainbow street signs and naming an intersection after lesbian activist Barbara Gittings, even as upscale eateries and condos began to coexist with the rainbow flags, a dynamic Ghaziani (2014) describes as gayborhood "mainstreaming".

Sisters Nightclub: Philadelphia's Lesbian Only Bar

Sisters, opened in 1996 at 1320 Chancellor Street, was a pioneering venue: a lesbian-focused nightclub in the heart of the Gayborhood. For 17 years, Sisters was “the spot for lesbians to share drinks, dance or shoot a game of pool” and served as an inclusive social space for queer women and allies. It followed on the heels of earlier lesbian bars like Hepburn’s (closed in 1995), effectively becoming the city’s sole women-oriented gay bar by the late 1990s. Patrons and staff frequently described Sisters as a family-like community – a place of “acceptance and affirmation” during a time when broader society had yet to fully embrace LGBTQ people. Manager Denise Cohen and many staff stayed for years, as the loyalty and personal investment tied to the space (McDonald, 2013).

By the early 2010s, however, Sisters was struggling. A combination of factors contributed to its decline and eventual closure in August 2013. Economic pressures played a major role: the late-2000s recession hit many bars hard, and Cohen noted that Sisters never quite recovered from the 2008 financial crisis. The gender pay gap meant many of Sisters’ core patrons (mostly women) had less disposable income, and eventually lead to more cautious spending on nightlife. As journalist McDonald (2013) observed, *“with women still earning less than men, there was a downturn in how women were spending their money – and how often”* they went out, and regulars became more judicious, often only coming on weekends.

Additionally, citywide changes in nightlife trends left Sisters lagging: other LGBTQ venues invested in flashy renovations, added windows and sidewalk seating to broadened their appeal. For example, Woody’s – historically a gay men’s bar – installed an open-air design with big windows and even hired female bartenders for the first time. Newer bars, like Tabu and Stir, drew diverse patrons with updated concepts. In contrast, Sisters’ décor and offerings grew dated by comparison.



Figure 2. Exterior of Sisters Restaurant & Nightclub at 1320 Chancellor Street, Philadelphia. The lesbian-focused venue operated from 1996 to 2013 in the city's Gayborhood. Source: Yelp listing photograph, reproduced for illustrative purposes.

Crucially, social acceptance and shifting preferences were changing the LGBTQ nightlife scene. By 2013, many queer women no longer felt confined to a “lesbian only” bar – they increasingly socialized in mixed LGBTQ spaces or even in “mainstream” venues where they felt safe and welcome. This “post-gay” trend meant younger LGBTQ people were more comfortable patronizing general nightlife spots, reducing the customer base for identity-specific bars. Ironically, the hard-won acceptance that LGBTQ individuals achieved in society led to an erosion of dedicated queer venues – a point summarized by one nightlife headliner as “the euphoria of general acceptance notwithstanding, it’s still a huge loss...that experience [of a lesbian bar] in Philadelphia is gone (Walsh, 2021).”

Franky Bradley's: An Inclusive Reinvention.

The physical void left by Sisters was quickly filled, but in a very different style. In early 2015, Franky Bradley's – a new restaurant-bar concept by entrepreneur Mark Bee – opened at the 1320 Chancellor location, the original site of Sisters. Franky Bradley's is actually a throwback name: the space had been a famed mid-century restaurant and celebrity haunt called Franky Bradley's decades before it ever became Sisters (Baker, 2015a).

The venue was reimagined with a kitschy-retro, "Mad Men meets the weird" atmosphere – vintage deco, classic cocktails and comfort food, and an upstairs lounge for live entertainment. Importantly, Franky Bradley's was not marketed as a gay or lesbian bar, but as an "all are welcome" nightlife spot. "This is a space for everybody; we don't want to alienate anyone," explained the general manager, acknowledging "there was a loss for the lesbian community when Sisters closed" while emphasizing that in 2015 "I don't think those [gay/straight] terms need to be separated". In practice, the new establishment positioned itself as LGBTQ-friendly but not LGBTQ-specific, aligning with the trend of more integrated nightlife (Baker, 2015a).

Franky Bradley's opening demonstrated both continuity and change in the Gayborhood's culture. On one hand, it maintained the site as a nightlife venue – "the only trace left of Sisters...is the wooden bar counter," but the building continued to echo with music and dancing. The owners were mindful of the legacy: they symbolically "opened up the space" by installing large windows in the once-windowless upstairs, mirroring how Woody's and other gay bars had embraced visibility after decades of discreet facades. They even planned drag shows and cabaret performances, indicating that queer entertainment would have a place on the new stage. On the other hand, the social function of the space changed – from a women-centered safe space to a mixed crowd venue.



Figure 3. Drag performance at Franky Bradley's, illustrating how queer cultural programming is integrated into a mixed-audience nightlife venue. Unlike Sisters' women-centered model, Franky Bradley's hosts LGBTQ-themed performances within an inclusive, non-identity-specific space. Source: Franky Bradley's official website (Franky Bradley's, n.d.).

Franky Bradley's quickly became popular as an offbeat nightlife destination for a diverse urban crowd, LGBT and straight alike. Some former Sisters patrons did find a warm welcome there: within months, Franky Bradley's hosted a one-night reunion event called "Sisters Sirens," bringing back Sisters' former dancers and DJ to perform for a crowd of queer women and allies. Organizers noted the new bar was "even more beautiful and inviting than we could have dreamed" and that management wanted those who loved Sisters to know "there's room here for us" (Middleton, 2015).

Some former Sisters patrons have noted that while Franky's is welcoming, it's "not the same" as having a women-centric community hub. The closure of Sisters prompted community leaders like Denise Cohen to seek alternatives – Cohen launched a new lesbian bar, Toasted Walnut, in 2016 a few blocks away, illustrating ongoing demand for a dedicated women's space (Baker, 2015b). Yet sustaining such a venture proved difficult; Toasted Walnut survived a few years before economic challenges, exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic, forced it to close in 2021 (Walsh, 2021). As of the mid-2020s, Philadelphia once again has no stand-alone lesbian bar, making the inclusive programming at venues like Franky Bradley's all the more vital for LGBTQ women and the community at large (Melamed, 2024).

Broader Trends and Key Take Aways

The Philadelphia story aligns with broader quantitative and qualitative trends observed in LGBTQ nightlife across the country. Research confirms that the number of LGBTQ bars, and lesbian bars in particular, has been dropping for years. One study of national LGBT business listings found that between 2007 and 2019, the total number of gay/queer bars in the U.S. declined by nearly 37%, with lesbian bar listings plummeting by about 52% (Mattson, 2019).

This steep decline provides data backing to the “lesbian bar extinction” narratives that have appeared in media. The same study noted an interesting counterpoint: bars catering to mixed LGBTQ clientele became the largest category of gay bar by the late 2010s (Mattson, 2019). This quantifies the shift that was evident in Philadelphia’s Gayborhood: exclusive spaces gave way to inclusive ones as the dominant model.

The concept of “venue precarity” also emerges strongly from this case. Nightlife establishments are often small businesses with tight profit margins, susceptible to external shocks and structural challenges. In 2013, Sisters’ owners likely calculated that the club was no longer financially viable or the best use of the property. By 2021, Toasted Walnut’s \$11k monthly rent was unmanageable without a steady stream of patrons (Walsh, 2021). This points to a misalignment between cultural value and market forces: LGBTQ venues have immense social value but often lack the economic resilience to withstand rent hikes or downturns.

Finally, cultural and spatial displacement is evident. As the Gayborhood became more upscale and diverse, some traditional LGBTQ institutions closed or relocated. Some LGBTQ residents and businesses migrated to other areas, for example, a number of LGBTQ individuals have moved or hang out in Philadelphia’s East Passyunk neighborhood, a “gay-friendly” but mixed district in South Philly (McDonald, 2013). While the Gayborhood remains an LGBTQ nucleus, its role is evolving. For the city, this raises questions: How to retain the “cultural assets” of a historic gay district while encouraging its growth and integration into the broader economy? How to ensure that inclusive public spaces truly include all – e.g. that queer women, transgender people, and LGBTQ people of color have equal place in the nightlife scene?

Nexus to Philadelphia's Nighttime Economy Strategic Plan

Philadelphia's leadership has recognized that a thriving nighttime economy is key to the city's vibrancy and inclusivity. In 2022, the Department of Commerce established a Nighttime Economy Office and began a planning process called Philadelphia After Dark to strengthen everything between 6:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m (Econsult Solutions, 2025). The resulting Nighttime Economy Impact Study (2025) quantified the enormous economic contribution of nightlife and after-dark industries – 132,000 jobs and \$26 billion in annual output, including sectors like hospitality, arts, and culture (City of Philadelphia, Department of Commerce, 2025). Mayor Cherelle Parker stated that a safe, “more inclusive, and thriving nighttime economy” is a priority, and that data-driven insights will guide initiatives so “everyone benefits” from nightlife growth.

The story of the Gayborhood's lesbian bar closure is a cautionary tale: without intervention, market dynamics can marginalize a segment of the community (queer women) even in a booming nightlife scene. An inclusive approach would recognize LGBTQ venues as important cultural infrastructure. Philadelphia has supported other cultural assets such as music venues, arts spaces, as worthy of support; similarly, LGBTQ night spots could be seen as community anchors that deserve help to thrive.

The case of Sisters highlights how nightlife venues double as community centers for marginalized groups. When such a space vanishes, there is a social void that is not easily filled by general venues. The Strategic Plan can incorporate this understanding by treating certain nightlife venues (e.g. LGBTQ bars, ethnic community clubs) as unique community resources. Concretely, Philadelphia's Nighttime Economy Strategic Plan could classify LGBTQ bars and other culturally specific nightlife venues as critical social infrastructure and target them for protections and support, rather than treating them as interchangeable with generic bars.

Philadelphia has actively marketed itself as an LGBTQ-friendly city. A rich LGBTQ nightlife is part of the city's brand and draws visitors, which feeds into the tourism and hospitality economy. City cultural officials note that music and nightlife are “vital to our identity and economy” and call for deepened investment in the arts as vehicles for expression and empowerment (Department of Commerce, City of Philadelphia, 2025).

Policy Recommendations

1. Support Diverse Nightlife Ventures through Funding and Technical Assistance.

Philadelphia should establish grants, low-interest loans, or incubator programs for nightlife entrepreneurs from underrepresented groups. Awards would prioritize proposals that demonstrate a clear community function—such as lesbian bars, queer and trans nightlife spaces, or cultural clubs serving racial and ethnic minorities—and could be used for build-out costs, first-year rent subsidies, or soundproofing and accessibility improvements. In return, the city retains the social and economic benefits of those venues, such as jobs, tax revenue, and community well-being, and enrich cultural diversity.

2. Incorporate Cultural District Zoning or Incentives in the Gayborhood.

To offset the commercial pressures of gentrification, the city could designate the traditional Gayborhood as a cultural district and “operationalize” its protection: use tax and marketing incentives to encourage landlords to offer affordable leases to LGBTQ-owned businesses, and implement an overlay zone that limits chain expansion while providing flexible, streamlined permitting for live performance and dancing at smaller venues—helping prevent the district from being overtaken by upscale, homogenized development.

3. Strengthen Anti-Discrimination Enforcement and Training.

An inclusive nighttime economy must be safe and welcoming. Philadelphia has already grappled with reports of racial discrimination in Gayborhood bars in recent years, resulting in mandatory anti-bias training for bar staff after 2017 (Philadelphia Commission on Human Relations, 2017). The city should continue to enforce nondiscrimination ordinances in nightlife. Venues that complete and meet the requirements of anti-bias and inclusion training will receive an official “Inclusive Nightlife Trained” poster to display at the entrance, signaling a public commitment to equitable treatment and a welcoming environment. The Nighttime Economy Office can work with the Philadelphia Commission on Human Relations to ensure every nightlife establishment is aware of and complying with standards for equitable treatment.

4. Expand Late-Night Transportation and Safety Measures.

A practical but crucial aspect of an inclusive nightlife policy is enabling people to get to and from venues safely at night. The loss of a central lesbian bar in Center City means queer women often travel to various neighborhoods (or to the suburbs) for events. Philadelphia should bolster 24-hour public transit routes, improve lighting and pedestrian safety in nightlife corridors, and possibly pilot a “Night Shuttle” program that links popular nighttime districts (e.g. Gayborhood, Fishtown, South Philly) during peak weekend hours. Better transit and safety would ensure that those enjoying nightlife – especially women and LGBTQ folks who might feel vulnerable – can travel without incident.

Risks and Equity Considerations

Gentrification and “Boutique” Nightlife: There is a risk that interventions (like marketing the Gayborhood or creating a cultural district) could inadvertently accelerate commercial gentrification, making the area even more attractive to mainstream developers and affluent consumers. This could raise rents further or turn LGBTQ nightlife into a sanitized “Disney-fied” attraction.

Community Trust and Involvement: Historically, marginalized communities can be wary of government involvement, fearing co-optation or broken promises. The LGBTQ community in Philly has its own leadership and networks. It’s crucial that any program be developed in consultation with LGBTQ stakeholders to ensure it meets real needs and that the process is accessible. Equity means prioritizing those most underrepresented – e.g. making sure a trans person of color trying to start a venue has as much voice (or more) in these programs as an established gay bar owner.

Regulatory and Public Safety Balance: Simplifying licenses or extending transit hours can raise concerns from other angles. Neighbors may worry about noise or nuisance with more late-night activity. Public safety officials must balance the vibrant nightlife with controlling excessive disorder. Philadelphia’s plan for nightlife should incorporate the “Good Neighbor” approaches many cities use: helping venues implement soundproofing, staggered closing times, and coordination with police/community so that nightlife expansion doesn’t lead to spikes in noise complaints or DUIs, for example. The recommended Night Shuttle or improved late transit also helps reduce drunk driving. Additionally, continued enforcement against underage drinking and illicit activity remains important; a thriving inclusive scene must also be a *responsible* one.

Post-Pandemic Recovery Uncertainty: The pandemic’s impact lingers, and nightlife habits may have changed (e.g. some people preferring house gatherings or online socializing). There is a chance that even with support, some types of venues might struggle to regain pre-2020 attendance levels. Policy should therefore be adaptive – perhaps supporting multi-use spaces (a café that becomes a bar/club at night, or venues that can host daytime uses) for flexibility. The city’s data-driven approach, using ongoing analysis, can monitor which interventions yield results. For example, if grants are given to five venues, track their revenue and community engagement over time to adjust the program.

Conclusion

What happened at 1320 Chancellor Street is bigger than the rise and fall of two bars. The closure of a beloved lesbian bar signified the end of an era of self-contained queer spaces, while the rise of a thriving mixed-orientation venue heralded a new paradigm of inclusion tempered by loss. This case study has illustrated how gentrification, cultural assimilation, and economic precarity intersect in the nighttime economy, producing both positive outcomes (broader acceptance and innovation) and negative ones (erasure of safe havens and community fragmentation).

For Philadelphia's strategic plan on the nighttime economy, the Gayborhood's evolution offers crucial insights. A successful 24-hour city is not measured by revenue and visitor counts alone, but by how well it sustains the diverse social fabric that makes a city vibrant and just. In implementing the recommendations above, Philadelphia can strive to ensure that it's after-dark growth is inclusive growth – where LGBTQ communities, among others, continue to have ownership and visibility in the urban night.

Ultimately, the goal is a Philadelphia nightlife where “inclusive” is measurable—through who feels welcome, who gets served, and which communities can still afford to create and keep spaces after dark. The lessons of Sisters and Franky Bradley's teach us that policy must adapt to social evolution – but also that deliberate action can help shape a future where everyone has a place at the table or dance floor of our nighttime city.

Reference:

- Baker, B. (2015, January 8). *Franky Bradley's to reopen in shuttered Sisters Nightclub*. PhillyVoice. <https://www.phillyvoice.com/sisters-nightclub-reopens-as-frankie-bradleys/>
- Baker, B. (2015, August 12). *Former Sisters Nightclub manager to open Toasted Walnut Bar & Kitchen on Walnut Street*. PhillyVoice. <https://www.phillyvoice.com/former-sisters-nightclub-toasted-walnut/>
- City of Philadelphia, Department of Commerce. (2025, June 4). *City releases impact studies for nighttime and music economies underscoring significant economic contributions* [Press release]. <https://www.phila.gov/2025-06-04-city-releases-impact-studies-for-nighttime-and-music-economies-underscoring-significant-economic-contributions/>
- City of Philadelphia, Department of Commerce. (2025, June 6). *Full impact study: Nighttime economy in Philadelphia (Year 2025)* [Report]. <https://www.phila.gov/media/20250606144454/Full-Impact-Study-Nighttime-Economy-in-Philadelphia-Year-2025.pdf>
- Econsult Solutions. (2025, April 4). *Key drivers and trends in Philadelphia's nighttime economy*. <https://econsultsolutions.com/philadelphia-after-dark-key-drivers-and-trends-in-philadelphias-nighttime-economy/>
- Flyvbjerg, B. (2006). Five misunderstandings about case-study research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 12(2), 219–245. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800405284363>
- Ghaziani, A. (2014). *There goes the gayborhood?* Princeton University Press.
- Mattson, G. (2019). Are gay bars closing? Using business listings to infer rates of gay bar closure in the United States, 1977–2019. *Socius: Sociological Research for a Dynamic World*, 5, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2378023119894832>
- McDonald, N. H. (2013, August 13). *Saying goodbye to Philly's beloved lesbian nightclub*. The Philadelphia Inquirer. https://www.inquirer.com/philly/entertainment/Saying_goodbye_to_Phillys_beloved_lesbian_nightclub.html
- Melamed, S. (2024, February 29). *Meet the couple trying to open Philly's first lesbian bar since 2021*. PhillyVoice. <https://www.phillyvoice.com/vals-lesbian-bar-interview-queer-community/>
- Middleton, J. (2015, March 17). *Franky Bradley's to resurrect Sisters for one-night-only "Sisters Sirens" reunion*. Philadelphia Magazine. <https://www.phillymag.com/2015/03/17/sisters-sirens-reunite-at-franky-bradleys-for-a-one-night-only-affair/>
- Nighttime Economy Office, City of Philadelphia, Department of Commerce. (2023, December 21). *Nighttime economy in Philadelphia: Year one report* [Report].

https://www.phila.gov/media/20231221120215/Nighttime-Economy-Report-2023_Department-of-Commerce.pdf

Philadelphia Commission on Human Relations. (2017). *Inform, monitor, enforce: Addressing racism and discrimination in Philadelphia's LGBTQ community* [Report].

<https://pchrlgbt.files.wordpress.com/2017/08/pchr-final-lgbtq-report-0617.pdf>

Walsh, M. (2021, February 18). *Philly's only lesbian bar is shutting down, adding to a somber nationwide trend*. Billy Penn. <https://billypenn.com/2021/02/18/lesbian-bar-philadelphia-closing-toasted-walnut-gayborhood-gofundme-denise-cohen/>