Code Inspection Report

Anti-Spam Configuration Software Development Project

BSc/MSc in [LEI | LIGE | METI]
Academic Year 2017/2018 - 1º Semester
Software Engineering I

Group 88
68887, Cláudio Gonçalves, IC2
69061, Lígia Cardoso, IC2
69934, António Estrela, IC2

ISCTE-IUL, Instituto Universitário de Lisboa
1649-026 Lisbon
Portugal

November, 25th 2017

Table of Contents

Introduction

O software a ser desenvolvido no projeto de Engenharia de Software I calcula o vetor de pesos para o filtro anti-spam,ou seja, vai calcular um valor ótimo para cada regra do ficheiro rules.cf. Esta configuração vai ser usada para caixas de mail de uso profissional.

Code inspection – Name of the component being inspected

A classe IO serve para ler os ficheiros que são necessários para o funcionamento do software.

Meeting date: *Meeting duration:* *Moderator:* *Producer:* *Inspector:* *Recorder:*	*19/12/2017* *45 minutes* *Lígia Cardoso* *António Estrela* *Cláudio Gonçalves*
Component name (Package/Class/Method):	*antiSpamFilter/IO*
Component was compiled:	
Component was executed:	
Component was tested without errors:	
Testing coverage achieved:	

Code inspection checklist

1. Variable, Attribute, and Constant Declaration Defects (VC)

- ☐ Are descriptive variable and constant names used in accord with naming conventions?
- ☐ Are there variables or attributes with confusingly similar names?
- ☐ Is every variable and attribute correctly typed?
- ☐ Is every variable and attribute properly initialized?
- ☐ Could any non-local variables be made local?
- ☐ Are all for-loop control variables declared in the loop header?
- ☐ Are there literal constants that should be named constants?
- ☐ Are there variables or attributes that should be constants?
- ☐ Are there attributes that should be local variables?
- ☐ Do all attributes have appropriate access modifiers (private, protected, public)?
- ☐ Are there static attributes that should be non-static or vice-versa?

2. Method Definition Defects (FD)

- ☐ Are descriptive method names used in accord with naming conventions?
- ☐ Is every method parameter value checked before being used?
- ☐ For every method: Does it return the correct value at every method return point?
- ☐ Do all methods have appropriate access modifiers (private, protected, public)?
- ☐ Are there static methods that should be non-static or vice-versa?

3. Class Definition Defects (CD)

- ☐ Does each class have appropriate constructors and destructors?

□ Do any subclasses have common members that should be in the superclass?
□ Can the class inheritance hierarchy be simplified?

4. Data Reference Defects (DR)

□ For every array reference: Is each subscript value within the defined bounds ?
□ For every object or array reference: Is the value certain to be non-null?

5. Computation/Numeric Defects (CN)

□ Are there any computations with mixed data types?
□ Is overflow or underflow possible during a computation?
□ For each expressions with more than one operator: Are the assumptions about order of evaluation and precedence correct?
□ Are parentheses used to avoid ambiguity?

6. Comparison/Relational Defects (CR)

□ For every boolean test: Is the correct condition checked?
□ Are the comparison operators correct?
□ Has each boolean expression been simplified by driving negations inward?
□ Is each boolean expression correct?
□ Are there improper and unnoticed side-effects of a comparison?
□ Has an "&" inadvertently been interchanged with a "&&" or a "|" for a "||"?

7. Control Flow Defects (CF)

□ For each loop: Is the best choice of looping constructs used?
□ Will all loops terminate?
□ When there are multiple exits from a loop, is each exit necessary and handled properly?
□ Does each switch statement have a default case?
□ Are missing switch case break statements correct and marked with a comment?
□ Do named break statements send control to the right place?
□ Is the nesting of loops and branches too deep, and is it correct?
□ Can any nested if statements be converted into a switch statement?
□ Are null bodied control structures correct and marked with braces or comments?
□ Are all exceptions handled appropriately?
□ Does every method terminate?

8. Input-Output Defects (IO)

□ Have all files been opened before use?
□ Are the attributes of the input object consistent with the use of the file?
□ Have all files been closed after use?
□ Are there spelling or grammatical errors in any text printed or displayed?
□ Are all I/O exceptions handled in a reasonable way?

9. Module Interface Defects (MI)

□ Are the number, order, types, and values of parameters in every method call in agreement with the called method's declaration?
□ Do the values in units agree (e.g., inches versus yards)?
□ If an object or array is passed, does it get changed, and changed correctly by the called method?

10. Comment Defects (CM)

- □ Does every method, class, and file have an appropriate header comment?
- □ Does every attribute, variable, and constant declaration have a comment?
- □ Is the underlying behavior of each method and class expressed in plain language?
- □ Is the header comment for each method and class consistent with the behavior of the method or class?
- □ Do the comments and code agree?
- □ Do the comments help in understanding the code?
- □ Are there enough comments in the code?
- □ Are there too many comments in the code?

11. Layout and Packaging Defects (LP)

- □ Is a standard indentation and layout format used consistently?
- □ For each method: Is it no more than about 60 lines long?
- □ For each compile module: Is no more than about 600 lines long?

12. Modularity Defects (MO)

- □ Is there a low level of coupling between modules (methods and classes)?
- □ Is there a high level of cohesion within each module (methods or class)?
- □ Is there repetitive code that could be replaced by a call to a method that provides the behavior of the repetitive code?
- □ Are the Java class libraries used where and when appropriate?

13. Storage Usage Defects (SU)

- □ Are arrays large enough?
- □ Are object and array references set to null once the object or array is no longer needed?

14. Performance Defects (PE)

- □ Can better data structures or more efficient algorithms be used?
- □ Are logical tests arranged such that the often successful and inexpensive tests precede the more expensive and less frequently successful tests ?
- □ Can the cost of recomputing a value be reduced by computing it once and storing the results?
- □ Is every result that is computed and stored actually used?
- □ Can a computation be moved outside a loop?
- □ Are there tests within a loop that do not need to be done?
- □ Can a short loop be unrolled?
- □ Are there two loops operating on the same data that can be combined into one?
- □ Are frequently used variables declared register?
- □ Are short and commonly called methods declared inline?

Found defects

Identify and describe found defects, opinions and suggestions.

Found defect Id	Package, Class, Method, Line	Defect category	Description
1	antiSpamFilter,IO	Comment Defects (CM)	Falta de comentários, que indicam o que faz cada método
2	antiSpamFilter,IO, lePesosAutomaticos, Linha 161	Control Flow Defects (CF)	Colocar return a null.

Corrective measures

As correções ao código serão efetuadas pelos membros do grupo.

Conclusions of the inspection process

Se o segundo found defect não for implementado o código pode dar problemas.