

# Effect of Intensive Glycemic Control on Progression of Retinopathy in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes

STAT/BIOSTAT 7XX

Invalid Date

## Instructions

This exam is **open-book** but must be completed **independently**.

You may not discuss the problems with anyone other than the instructor:

**Email:** [lmiao@biostat.wisc.edu](mailto:lmiao@biostat.wisc.edu)

The **Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes (ACCORD)** trial was conducted from **1999 to 2012** to evaluate the health effects of specific strategies for managing blood glucose levels, serum lipid levels, and blood pressure in patients with type 2 diabetes. Here, we focus on the glycemia substudy, which investigated whether **intensive glycemic control** slows **progression of diabetic retinopathy** compared to standard control.

Diabetic retinopathy is a major **microvascular complication of diabetes** and a leading cause of blindness in the U.S. The study enrolled **10,251 diabetic patients**, with **5,128 randomized** to intensive control and **5,123 to standard control**, followed over **7 years** for indications of **retinopathy progression** (e.g., loss of vision, cataract extraction, vitrectomy, etc.).

Our primary research question is:

- *Does intensive glycemic control reduce the risk of retinopathy progression compared to standard glycemic control?*

Also of interest are risk factors such as **age, sex, race, medical history, and clinical measurements like HbA1c**.

## Data Description

The dataset `accord_glyc.txt` contains the following variables:

- **MaskID**: Patient identifier
  - **time**: Time (years) from randomization to retinopathy progression or censoring
  - **status**: Event indicator (1 = retinopathy progression, 0 = censored)
  - **trt**: Treatment group (1 = intensive glycemic control, 0 = standard glycemic control)
  - **female**: Sex (1 = female, 0 = male)
  - **age**: Baseline age (years)
  - **race**: Race (White, Black, Hispanic, Other)
  - **hba1c**: Glycosylated hemoglobin (%)
  - **cvd**: History of cardiovascular disease (1 = yes, 0 = no)
  - **chol**: Total cholesterol (mg/dL)
  - **ldl**: Low-density lipoprotein (mg/dL)
  - **hdl**: High-density lipoprotein (mg/dL)
- 

## Exam Questions

### 1. Descriptive Statistics (20 points)

Summarize key baseline characteristics **by treatment group** and **overall**, including:

- Demographic variables: **Sex, age, and race**
  - Medical history: **Cardiovascular disease (CVD)**
  - Biochemical measurements: **HbA1c, total cholesterol, LDL, HDL, etc.**
  - **Calculate the event rates** of retinopathy progression in each treatment arm.
-

## 2. Graphical Analysis (20 points)

To explore treatment effects visually:

- **Compute and plot Kaplan-Meier survival curves** for retinopathy progression-free probabilities, stratified by:
    - **Treatment group**
    - **Sex**
    - **Race**
  - **Interpretation:**
    - Which **sex and race group** appears to benefit most from intensive glycemic control?
- 

## 3. Multiple Regression Analysis (40 points)

Construct a **Cox proportional hazards model** to analyze how intensive glycemic control and other factors affect **retinopathy progression**, adjusting for key covariates.

### Steps to Follow:

1. **Covariate Selection:** Based on results from **Questions 1 & 2**, choose relevant risk factors.
2. **Proportionality Assumption:**
  - Check whether each covariate satisfies the **proportional hazards assumption**.
  - If violated, apply appropriate corrections (e.g., time-dependent covariates, stratification).
3. **Functional Form of Continuous Covariates:**
  - Check whether continuous variables (e.g., age, HbA1c) are correctly specified.
  - Consider transformations (e.g., splines, quadratic terms) if necessary.
4. **Statistical Inference:**

- Interpret the effects of **intensive glycemic control** and **other significant risk factors**.
  - Perform a formal **global test** for race group differences (degrees of freedom: 3).
- 

#### 4. Reporting Findings (20 points)

Write a **short press release (3–4 sentences)** summarizing the **most important findings** in **nontechnical language**, suitable for a general audience.

Ensure the summary is:

- **Concise**
  - **Scientifically accurate**
  - **Understandable to non-experts**
- 

#### Grading Rubric

Question	Points	Key Aspects for Full Credit
<b>1</b>	20	Correct summary of patient characteristics and event rates
<b>2</b>	20	Proper Kaplan-Meier plots and clear interpretation
<b>3</b>	40	Well-specified Cox model, assumption checks, and interpretation
<b>4</b>	20	Clear and engaging press release summary

---