

Convex Optimization - Homework 1

Louis Martinez louis.martinez@telecom-paris.fr

Exercise 1

1)

Let x and y two elements of the rectangle, and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. By definition of the rectangle we have the following inequalities:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha \le x \le \beta \\ \alpha \le y \le \beta \end{cases}$$

By summing both lines we get:

$$\lambda \alpha + (1 - \lambda)\alpha \le \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \le \lambda \beta + (1 - \lambda)\beta$$
$$\alpha < \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y < \beta$$

So $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$ is an element of the rectangle.

The rectangle is convex.

2)

We define the real function $f: x \mapsto \frac{1}{x}$. Let (x_1, x_2) and (y_1, y_2) two elements of the hyperbolic set. By definition of the set we have:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \ge f(x_2) \\ y_1 \ge f(y_2) \end{cases}$$

Let $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $z = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$.

$$\begin{split} z_1 &= \lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)y_1 \geq \lambda f(x_2) + (1-\lambda)f(y_2) & \text{ Definition of the set} \\ &\geq f(\lambda x_2 + (1-\lambda)y_2) = f(z_2) & \text{ Because } f \text{ is convex} \end{split}$$

So z is an element of the hyperbolic set.

The hyperbolic set is convex.

$$\{x \mid \|x - x_0\|_2 \leq \|x - y\|_2, \forall y \in S\} = \bigcap_{u \in S} \{x \mid \|x - x_0\|_2 \leq \|x - y\|_2\}$$

For a fixed y, these sets define half spaces, which are convex sets. So we have an intersection of convex sets.

$$\{x \mid ||x - x_0||_2 \le ||x - y||_2, \forall y \in S\}$$
 is convex.

4)

Let's fix $n \in \mathbb{N}, S = \{0,1\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $T = \{\frac{1}{2}\} \subset \mathbb{R}$. We can rewrite the set as:

$$\{x \mid \operatorname{dist}(x,S) \leq \operatorname{dist}(x,T)\} = \left\{x \mid x \leq \tfrac{1}{4} \text{ or } x \geq \tfrac{3}{4}\right\}$$

This set is not convex.

5)

$$\{x\mid x+S_2\subseteq S_1\}=\bigcap\nolimits_{y\in S_2}\{x\mid x+y\in S_1\}$$

For $y \in S_2$ fixed, we can define $f_y: x \mapsto x - y$, affine in x. So

$$\forall y \in S_2, f_y(S_1) = S_1 - y$$

is convex.

 $\{x\mid x+S_2\subseteq S_1\}$ is convex as an intersection of convex sets.

Exercise 2

1)

f is twice differentiable on $\mathrm{dom} f = \mathbb{R}^2_{++}$. The Hessian matrix of f is:

$$\nabla^2 f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This matrix is neither positive semi-definite (for instance $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \nabla^2 f(x) \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^T = -1 < 0$) nor negative semi-definite

f is neither convex nor concave.

2)

f is twice differentiable on $\mathrm{dom} f = \mathbb{R}^2_{++}$. The Hessian matrix of f is:

$$\nabla^2 f(x) = \frac{1}{x_1 x_2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{x_1^2} & \frac{1}{x_1 x_2} \\ \frac{1}{x_1 x_2} & \frac{2}{x_2^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

This matrix is semi definite positive. Indeed, for any $u=(u_1,u_2)\in\mathbb{R}^2$ we have:

$$u^T \nabla^2 f(x) u = 2 \frac{\left(u_1 x_2 + u_2 x_1\right)^2}{x_1^3 x_2^3} > 0 \qquad \text{because } (x_1, x_2) > 0$$

f is convex.

3)

f satisfies the same conditions as the previous questions. Its Hessian matrix is:

$$\nabla^2 f(x) = \frac{1}{x_2^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 2\frac{x_1}{x_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

This matrix is neither positive nor negative semi definite. Indeed:

$$\frac{x_2^2}{2} (u^T \nabla^2 f(x) u) = u_2^2 \frac{x_1}{x_2} - u_1 u_2$$

. With $(u_1,u_2)=(0,1)$ this quantity is positive. But with $u_1=1$ and $u_2<\frac{x_2}{x_1}$, it's negative.

f is neither convex nor concave.

4)

f satisfies the same conditions as the previous questions. Its Hessian matrix is:

$$\nabla^2 f(x) = \alpha (1-\alpha) \begin{pmatrix} -x_1^{\alpha-2} x_2^{1-\alpha} & x_1^{\alpha-1} x_2^{-\alpha} \\ x_1^{1-\alpha} x_2^{-\alpha} & -x_1^{\alpha} x_2^{-\alpha-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

For any $u = (u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we get:

$$\frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \big(u^T \nabla^2 f(x) u \big) = - \bigg(u_1 x_1^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} x_2^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} - u_2 x_1^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} x_2^{\frac{-\alpha-1}{2}} \bigg)^2 \leq 0$$

So $u^T \nabla^2 f(x) u$ is negative semi-definite.

f is concave

Exercise 3

1)

Let $V \in S^n$ and $X \succ 0$. We define $g: t \mapsto f(X + tV)$

$$\begin{split} f(X+tV) &= \operatorname{Tr} \left((X+tV)^{-1} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Tr} \left(\left[X^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(I + tX^{-\frac{1}{2}} V X^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) X^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^{-1} \right) & \text{(Factorization)} \\ &= \operatorname{Tr} \left(X^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(I + tX^{-\frac{1}{2}} V X^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{-1} X^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) & \text{(Properties of inverse)} \\ &= \operatorname{Tr} \left(X^{-1} \left(I + tX^{-\frac{1}{2}} V X^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{-1} \right) & \text{(Properties of Tr)} \\ &= \operatorname{Tr} \left(X^{-1} \left(I + tP\Lambda P^T \right)^{-1} \right) & \text{(Since } X^{-\frac{1}{2}} V X^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ is symmetric)} \end{split}$$

with $\Lambda=\operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,...,\lambda_n)$ where $(\lambda_i)_{1\leq i\leq n}$ are the eigenvalues of $X^{-\frac{1}{2}}VX^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$

$$f(X+tV) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(X^{-1}P(I+t\Lambda)^{-1}P^{T}\right) \qquad \text{(Factorization with } I = PP^{T})$$

$$= \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(P^{T}X^{-1}P\right)(I+t\Lambda)^{-1}\right) \qquad \text{(Factorization with } I = PP^{T})$$

$$f(X+tV) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(P^{T}X^{-1}P\right)_{ii} \left(\frac{1}{1+t\lambda_{i}}\right)$$

 $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n, t \mapsto \frac{1}{1+\lambda_i}$ is convex so g is convex as a weighted sum of convex functions.

f is convex

2)

Let $X \in S^n_{++}(\mathbb{R})$. $\forall (y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have:

$$\|X^{-\frac{1}{2}}y-X^{\frac{1}{2}}z\|^2=y^TX^{-1}y+z^TXz-2y^Tz\geq 0$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{2}y^T X^{-1} y \ge y^T z - \frac{1}{2}z^T X z$$

We conclude that $\frac{1}{2}y^TX^{-1}y$ is an upper bound of $y^Tz-\frac{1}{2}z^TXz$. this upper bound is reached by choosing $z=X^{-1}y$. Hence:

$$\frac{1}{2}y^T X^{-1} y = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} y^T z - \frac{1}{2} z^T X z$$

The right hand-side of the equality is affine in y and X.

f is convex

3)

Let $X \in S^n$. We denote by $\|X\|_* = \sigma_{\max}(X)$ the spectral norm of X where $\sigma_{\max}(X)$ is the largest singular value of X. We can show that $X \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(X)$ is the dual norm of the spectral norm: Formally speaking, let's show that:

$$\sup_{\sigma_{\max}(Y) \leq 1} |\langle X, Y \rangle| = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(X)$$

• First we define $X=U\Sigma V^T$ the SVD (U and V are unitary matrices and $\Sigma=\mathrm{diag}\big(\sigma_1(X),...,\sigma_{n(X)}\big)$) of X, and $Y=UV^T=UIV^T$. With this definition, Y is unitary so $\sigma_{\mathrm{max}}(Y)=1$. We have:

$$\begin{split} \langle Y, X \rangle &= \langle UV^T, U\Sigma V^T \rangle \\ &= \operatorname{Tr} \big(VU^T U\Sigma V^T \big) \qquad \text{(by definiton)} \\ &= \operatorname{Tr} \big(V^T VU^T U\Sigma \big) \qquad \left(\operatorname{Tr} (AB) = \operatorname{Tr} (BA) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(X) \qquad \qquad (UU^T = I \text{ and } VV^T = I) \end{split}$$

So

$$\sup_{\sigma_{\max}(\tilde{Y}) \leq 1} |\langle \tilde{Y}, X \rangle| \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(X)$$

• We now prove the other inequality:

$$\begin{split} \sup_{\sigma_{\max}(\tilde{Y}) \leq 1} \langle \tilde{Y}, X \rangle &= \sup_{\sigma_{\max}(\tilde{Y}) \leq 1} \operatorname{Tr} \big(\tilde{Y}^T U \Sigma V^T \big) \\ &= \sup_{\sigma_{\max}(\tilde{Y}) \leq 1} \operatorname{Tr} \big(V^T \tilde{Y}^T U \Sigma \big) \qquad (\operatorname{Tr}(AB) = \operatorname{Tr}(BA)) \\ &= \sup_{\sigma_{\max}(\tilde{Y}) \leq 1} \langle U^T \tilde{Y} V, \Sigma \rangle \\ &= \sup_{\sigma_{\max}(\tilde{Y}) \leq 1} \sum_{i=1}^n \big(U^T \tilde{Y} V \big)_{ii} \sigma_i(X) \\ &= \sup_{\sigma_{\max}(\tilde{Y}) \leq 1} \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^T \tilde{Y} v_i \sigma_i(X) \\ &\leq \sup_{\sigma_{\max}(\tilde{Y}) \leq 1} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{\max} \big(\tilde{Y} \big) \sigma_i(X) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(X) \end{split}$$

So

$$\sup_{\sigma_{\max}\left(\tilde{Y}\right) \leq 1} |\langle \tilde{Y}, X \rangle| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i}(X)$$

With both previous points we finally have:

$$\sup_{\sigma_{\max}\left(\tilde{Y}\right)\leq 1} |\langle \tilde{Y},X\rangle| = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(X)$$

This shows that $f:X\mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n\sigma_i(X)$ is the dual norm of the spectral, so it's a norm.

f is convex.