

## Notes on Problem Set 3

1. The message is **God does not play dice**. This is a famous quote from Einstein, who was expressing his dissatisfaction with quantum theory. The quote is very famous, but unfortunately gives a distorted view of Einstein's real concerns about quantum mechanics. However, this is a topic for a different course.

3. Complete the proof of the  $\gcd$  recursion theorem (Theorem 1.6 in the number theory notes).

**Proof** We're given  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ ,  $d' = \gcd(b, a \bmod b)$ . We've already shown that  $d \leq d'$ , and want now to show that  $d' \leq d$ .

By the division algorithm,

$$a = \lfloor a/b \rfloor b + a \bmod b.$$

Thus  $a$  is a linear combination of  $b$  and  $a \bmod b$ . Since  $d' | b$  and  $d' | a \bmod b$ , it follows that  $d' | a$ . Therefore,  $d'$  is a common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ . Since  $d$  is the *greatest* common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ , we must have  $d' \leq d$ .

4. Complete the proof of Theorem 1.13 in the notes: If there are integers  $x, y$  such that  $ax + by = 1$ , then  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ .

**Proof** Let  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ . Then  $d | a$  and  $d | b$ . Therefore  $d$  divides  $ax + by$ , which is a linear combination of  $a$  and  $b$ . Since  $ax + by = 1$ , this means that  $d | 1$ . But the only divisors of 1 are  $\pm 1$ ; since  $d$  is the *greatest* common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ , we must have  $d = 1$ .

**Note** It's tempting to try to apply Theorem 1.9 here, and argue something like this: "Theorem 1.9 says that  $\gcd(a, b) = ax + by$ ;  $ax + by = 1$ ; therefore,  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ ." The problem with this argument is that 1.9 tells us only that for *some*  $u, v$ ,  $\gcd(a, b) = au + bv$ . We're given that  $ax + by = 1$ . But why should  $u$  and  $v$  be the same as  $x$  and  $y$ ?

In other words, 1.9 does not say that *every* linear combination of  $a$  and  $b$  equals  $\gcd(a, b)$ . For example,  $3(1) + 5(2) = 13$ . Therefore, there exist  $x, y$  such that  $3x + 5y = 13$ . But it doesn't follow that  $\gcd(3, 5)$  equals 13.

What problem 4 is saying is that 1 is a special case; if  $ax + by = 1$ , then we can infer that  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ . But this does not follow from Theorem 1.9.

5. Prove the following: If  $a|c$ ,  $b|c$ , and  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , then  $ab|c$ .

**Proof** Since  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , there are integers  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $ax + by = 1$ . Multiplying both sides of this equation by  $c$ , we obtain

$$c = cax + cby. \quad (1)$$

Since  $a|c$  and  $b|c$ , there are integers  $u$  and  $v$  such that  $c = au$  and  $c = bv$ . Substituting these equations into the right hand side of equation (1) yields

$$c = abvx + abuy. \quad (2)$$

Since  $ab$  divides the right hand side of (2), we must have  $ab|c$ .

6. Prove that if  $m \perp n$ ,  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ , and  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ , then  $a \equiv b \pmod{mn}$ .

**Proof** Since  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ ,  $a - b = km$  for some integer  $k$ .

Since  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ ,  $a - b = ln$  for some integer  $l$ .

Therefore,  $km = ln$ . By the definition of divisibility, this means that  $m$  is a divisor of  $ln$ . Since  $m \perp n$ , Theorem 1.14 tells us that  $m$  must be a divisor of  $l$ ; that is,  $l = jm$  for some  $j$ .

So we have

$$a - b = ln = jmn.$$

Thus  $mn$  is a divisor of  $a - b$ , implying (once again by our workhorse basic fact (i)) that  $a \equiv b \pmod{mn}$ .

**A second proof** (A number of you noticed that you could use problem 5 to prove this one. This fact didn't occur to me when I made up the problem set; it was not my intention to give you problems that were so closely related.) By basic fact (i):

$$\begin{aligned} a \equiv b \pmod{m} &\implies m|(a - b) \\ a \equiv b \pmod{n} &\implies n|(a - b) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $m \perp n$ , problem 5 implies that  $mn|(a - b)$ . Therefore, by the basic fact,  $a \equiv b \pmod{mn}$ .

7. Let  $a$  be a non-negative integer,  $b, c, M$  positive integers. Let  $d = \gcd(c, M)$ . Prove:

If there exists a  $k$  such that  $a + kc \equiv b \pmod{M}$ , then  $d \mid (b - a)$ .

**Proof** Assume that such a  $k$  does indeed exist. Then by basic fact (i) of modular equivalence, there is some  $x$  such that

$$(a + kc) - b = xM;$$

that is,

$$a - b = xM - kc. \tag{3}$$

Now let  $d = \gcd(c, M)$ . Then  $d$  is a common divisor of  $c$  and  $M$ . Therefore,  $d$  divides the right hand side of (3). Therefore,  $d$  divides the left hand side; that is,  $d$  divides  $a - b$ .