Notes on Problem Set 4

3. Stinson, problem 6.17, p.250

We're given

$$y_1 = x^{b_1} \bmod n \tag{1}$$

$$y_2 = x^{b_2} \bmod n \tag{2}$$

$$c_1 = b_1^{-1} \bmod b_2 \tag{3}$$

$$c_2 = (c_1b_1 - 1)/b_2 (4)$$

$$x_1 = y_1^{c_1} (y_2^{c_2})^{-1} \bmod n (5)$$

The fourth equation tells us that

$$c_1b_1 - c_2b_2 = 1$$

Now plug the definitions of y_1 and y_2 into (5), which gives us

$$x_1 = x^{b_1c_1}(x^{b_2c_2})^{-1} \mod n$$

= $x^{b_1c_1-b_2c_2} \mod n$
= $x^1 \mod n$
= x .

[Note: Since

$$c_1 = b_1^{-1} \bmod b_2$$

$$y_1 = x^{b_1} \bmod n,$$

it is very tempting to conclude that

$$y_1^{c_1} \equiv (x^{b_1})^{b_1^{-1} \mod b_2} \equiv x^1 \equiv x \pmod{n}.$$

However, the exponent for the second term here is $b_1 \cdot (b_1^{-1} \mod b_2)$; and this is not the same as $(b_1 \cdot b_1^{-1}) \mod b_2$. For example, $2^{-1} \mod 5 = 3$. Therefore,

$$2 \cdot (2^{-1} \bmod 5) = 2 \cdot 3 = 6.$$

It would be OK if the exponent looked like this: $(b_1 \cdot (b_1^{-1} \mod b_2)) \mod b_2$. But this isn't what we have.]

4. Recall our discussion of partial information on RSA. We defined the functions,

$$parity(x^e \bmod n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \bmod n \text{ is even} \\ 1 & \text{if } x \bmod n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

$$half(x^e \bmod n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \bmod n < n/2 \\ 1 & \text{if } x \bmod n > n/2 \end{cases}$$

Here e and n are the usual RSA public key parameters. x is an RSA plaintext message, which means that it's an integer less than n.

Prove the following:

if
$$half(x^e \mod n) = 1$$
, then $parity((2x)^e \mod n) = 1$.

Proof

We're given that $half(x^e \mod n) = 1$. By definition of half, $x \mod n > n/2$. Combining this with the fact that x < n gives us that n < 2x < 2n. Therefore, $2x \mod n = 2x - n$. Since 2x is even and n is odd, it follows that $2x \mod n$ is odd. And this means, by the definition of parity, that $parity((2x)^e \mod n = 1)$.

6. Suppose that p is prime, r > 0, $a^r \equiv 1 \mod p$, and gcd(r, p - 1) = d. Prove that $a^d \equiv 1 \mod p$.

Proof Since gcd(r, p - 1) = d, there exist integers x and y such that d = rx + (p - 1)y. Therefore,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} a^d & \equiv & a^{rx+(p-1)y} \\ & \equiv & a^{rx}a^{(p-1)y} \\ & \equiv & a^{(p-1)y} \bmod p & (\text{since } a^r \equiv 1 \bmod p) \end{array}$$

We'd like now to apply the FLT to $a^{(p-1)y} \mod p$. But in order to justify applying the FLT here, we have to establish that p does not divide a. This almost follows just from the assumption that $a^r \equiv 1 \mod p$: we'd like to say that if p did divide a, then $a^r \mod p$ would be 0. However, this isn't quite right, since if r were 0, then $a^r \equiv 1 \mod p$ even if p does divide q. This is why we need the assumption that $q = 1 \mod p$, does imply that q does not divide q.

Therefore, we can apply the FLT to the last line of the above calculation to obtain

$$a^d \equiv a^{(p-1)y} \mod p$$

 $\equiv 1 \pmod p$ (since $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \mod p$, by the FLT)

7. Prove that if p is prime and $x^2 \equiv 1 \mod p$, then

$$x \equiv 1 \mod p$$
 or $x \equiv -1 \mod p$.

Proof By basic fact (i), $x^2 \equiv 1 \mod p$ implies that

$$p|(x^2-1).$$

In other words,

$$p|(x-1)(x+1).$$

Therefore, it follows by Theorem 2.2 in the number theory notes that p|(x-1) or p|(x+1) (if a prime divides a product, it must divide one of the terms in that product). In the first case, it follows from basic fact (i) that

$$x \equiv 1 \bmod p$$
;

in the second case, it follows from basic fact (i) that

$$x \equiv -1 \mod p$$
.

8. Prove that if $x \equiv y \mod \phi(m)$, then for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}_m^*$, $a^x \equiv a^y \pmod m$.

Proof By basic fact (i),

$$\phi(m)|(x-y).$$

Therefore, for some k, $x = y + k \cdot \phi(m)$. So we have

$$a^x \equiv a^{y+k\cdot\phi(m)}$$

 $\equiv a^y \cdot a^{k\cdot\phi(m)}$
 $\equiv a^y \cdot 1$ by Euler's Theorem
 $\equiv a^y \mod m$