# The caret Package

Max Kuhn max.kuhn@pfizer.com

December 3, 2008

## 1 Model Training and Parameter Tuning

caret has several functions that attempt to streamline the model building and evaluation process.

The train function can be used to

- evaluate, using resampling, the effect of model tuning parameters on performance
- choose the "optimal" model across these parameters
- estimate model performance from a training set

To optimize tuning parameters of models, train can be used to fit many predictive models over a grid of parameters and return the "best" model (based on resampling statistics). See Table 1 for the models currently available.

As an example, the multidrug resistance reversal (MDRR) agent data is used to determine a predictive model for the "ability of a compound to reverse a leukemia cell's resistance to adriamycin" (Svetnik et al, 2003). For each sample (i.e. compound), predictors are calculated that reflect characteristics of the molecular structure. These molecular descriptors are then used to predict assay results that reflect resistance.

The data are accessed using data(mdrr). This creates a data frame of predictors called mdrrDescr and a factor vector with the observed class called mdrrClass.

To start, we will:

- use unsupervised filters to remove predictors with unattractive characteristics (e.g. spare distributions or high inter-predictor correlations)
- split the entire data set into a training and test set

• center and scale the training and test set using the predictor means and standard deviations from the training set

See the package vignette "caret Manual – Data and Functions" for more details about these operations.

```
> print(ncol(mdrrDescr))
[1] 342
> nzv <- nearZeroVar(mdrrDescr)</pre>
> filteredDescr <- mdrrDescr[, -nzv]</pre>
> print(ncol(filteredDescr))
[1] 297
> descrCor <- cor(filteredDescr)</pre>
> highlyCorDescr <- findCorrelation(descrCor, cutoff = 0.75)</pre>
> filteredDescr <- filteredDescr[, -highlyCorDescr]</pre>
> print(ncol(filteredDescr))
[1] 50
> set.seed(1)
> inTrain <- sample(seq(along = mdrrClass), length(mdrrClass)/2)</pre>
> trainDescr <- filteredDescr[inTrain, ]</pre>
> testDescr <- filteredDescr[-inTrain, ]</pre>
> trainMDRR <- mdrrClass[inTrain]</pre>
> testMDRR <- mdrrClass[-inTrain]</pre>
> print(length(trainMDRR))
[1] 264
> print(length(testMDRR))
[1] 264
> preProcValues <- preProcess(trainDescr)
> trainDescr <- predict(preProcValues, trainDescr)</pre>
> testDescr <- predict(preProcValues, testDescr)
```

To estimate model performance across the tuning parameters "leave group out cross-validation" (LGOCV) can be used. This technique is repeated splitting of the data into training and test sets (without replacement). If the resampling method is not specified, simple bootstrapping is used. To train a support vector machine classification model (radial basis function kernel) on these multidrug resistance reversal agent data, we can first setup a control object that specifies the type of resampling used, the number of data splits (30), the proportion of data in the sub-training sets (75%) and whether the iterations should be printed as they occur. In this case, we need to specify the proportion of samples used in each resampled training set. We also set the seed.

```
> fitControl <- trainControl(method = "LGOCV", p = 0.75, number = 30,
      returnResamp = "all", verboseIter = FALSE)
> set.seed(2)
```

The first two arguments to train are the predictor and outcome data objects, respectively. The third argument, method, specifies the type of model. For this model, the tuning parameters are the cost value (the C argument in kernlab's ksvm function) and the radius of the RBF (the sigma argument to the kernel function). The tuneLength argument sets the size of the grid used to search the tuning parameter space and trControl is the control parameter for the train function.

```
> svmFit <- train(trainDescr, trainMDRR, method = "svmRadial",
      tuneLength = 4, trControl = fitControl)
> svmFit
Call:
train.default(x = trainDescr, y = trainMDRR, method = "svmRadial",
    trControl = fitControl, tuneLength = 4)
264 samples
50 predictors
summary of leave group out cross-validation (30 reps) sample sizes:
    198, 198, 198, 198, 198, 198, ...
LGOCV resampled training results across tuning parameters:
                                  Accuracy SD
                                               Kappa SD
                                                         Selected
  sigma
          C
               Accuracy
                         Kappa
  0.0222
          0.1
                                               0.0533
               0.581
                         0.0522
                                  0.0212
  0.0222
          1
                                               0.0846
               0.837
                         0.665
                                  0.0406
  0.0222
          10
               0.807
                         0.609
                                  0.0562
                                               0.114
  0.0222
```

100

0.807

0.609

0.109

0.0533

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This is optional; to use the default specifications, the control object does not need to be specified

Accuracy was used to select the optimal model using the largest value.

The final values used in the model were C = 1 and sigma = 0.0222.

There are two tuning parameters for this model: sigma is a parameter for the kernel function that can be used to expand/contract the distance function and C is the cost parameter that can be used as a regularization term that controls the complexity of the model. For this model, the function sigest in the kernlab package is used to provide a good estimate of the sigma parameter, so that only the cost parameter is tuned. This tuning scheme is the default, but can be modified (details are below).

The column labeled "Accuracy" is the overall agreement rate averaged over cross-validation iterations. The agreement standard deviation is also calculated from the cross-validation results. The column "Kappa" is Cohen's (unweighted) Kappa statistic averaged across the resampling results

For regression models (i.e. a numeric outcome), a similar table would be produced showing the average root mean squared error and average  $R^2$  value statistic across tuning parameters, otherwise known as  $Q^2$  (see the note below related to this calculation).

caret works with specific models (see Table 1). For these models, train can automatically create a grid of tuning parameters. By default, if p is the number of tuning parameters, the grid size is  $3^p$ . For example, regularized discriminant analysis (RDA) models have two parameters (gamma and lambda), both of which lie on [0,1]. The default training grid would produce nine combinations in this two-dimensional space.

Alternatively, the grid can be specified by the user. The argument tuneGrid can take a data frame with columns for each tuning parameter (see Table 1 for specific details). The column names should be the same as the fitting function's arguments with a period preceding the name. For our RDA example, the names would be .gamma and .lambda. train will tune the model over each combination of values in the rows.

For a gradient boosting machine (GBM) model, the amount of "shrinkage" in a gradient boosting model is fixed at 0.1 and the other meta–parameters can be manually specified:

```
> gbmFit <- train(trainDescr, trainMDRR, "gbm", tuneGrid = gbmGrid, trControl = fitControl,
> gbmGrid <- expand.grid(.interaction.depth = c(1, 3), .n.trees = c(100, 300),
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          verbose = FALSE, trControl = fitControl, tuneGrid = gbmGrid)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  train.default(x = trainDescr, y = trainMDRR, method = "gbm",
                                       500), shrinkage = 0.1)
                                                                                                                                                         verbose = FALSE)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       50 predictors
                                                                               > set.seed(3)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      264 samples
                                                                                                                                                                                                > gbmFit
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               Call:
```

LGOCV resampled training results across tuning parameters:

summary of leave group out cross-validation (30 reps) sample sizes:

198, 198, 198, 198, 198, 198, ...

Selected	*					
	0.0958	0.0831	0.0862	0.0864	0.0835	0.0861
Accuracy SD	0.0479	0.0416	0.0431	0.0427	0.0417	0.043
Kappa	0.611	0.587	0.573	0.598	0.59	0.59
Accuracy	0.81	0.798	0.791	0.804	0.799	0.799
shrinkage	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
n.trees	100	300	200	100	300	200
interaction.depth	1			က	က	က

Accuracy was used to select the optimal model using the largest value.

The final values used in the model were interaction.depth = 1, n.trees = 100 and shrinkage = 0.1.

Some notes about the use of train:

- There is a formula interface (e.g. train(y ., data = someData) that can be used. One of the issues with a large number of predictors is that the objects related to the formula which are saved can get very large. In these cases, it is best to stick with the non-formula interface described above.
- The function determines the type of problem (classification or regression) from the type of the response given in the y argument.
- The ... option can be used to pass parameters to the fitting function. For example, in random forest models, you can specify the number of trees to be used in the call to train. In the example above, the default trace for a gbm model was turned off using the verbose argument to gbm.
- $\bullet$  For regression models, the classical  $R^2$  statistic cannot be compared between models that contain an intercept and models that do not. Also, some models do not have an intercept only null model.

To approximate this statistic across different types of models, the square of the correlation between the observed and predicted outcomes is used. This means that the  $\mathbb{R}^2$  values produced by train will not match the results of 1m and other functions.

Also, the correlation estimate does not take into account the degrees of freedom in a model and thus does not penalize models with more parameters. For some models (e.g random forests or on–linear support vector machines) there is no clear sense of the degrees of freedom, so this information cannot be used in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  if we would like to compare different models.

- The nearest shrunken centroid model of Tibshirani et al (2003) is specified using method = "pam". For this model, there must be at least two samples in each class. train will ignore classes where there are less than two samples per class from every model fit during bootstrapping or cross—validation (this model only).
- For recursive partitioning models, an initial model is fit to all of the training data to obtain the possible values of the maximum depth of any node (maxdepth). The tuning grid is created based on these values. If tuneLength is larger than the number of possible maxdepth values determined by the initial model, the grid will be truncated to the maxdepth list.

The same is also true for nearest shrunken centroid models, where an initial model is fit to find the range of possible threshold values, and MARS models (see the details below).

• For multivariate adaptive regression splines (MARS), the earth package is used with a model type of mars or earth is requested. The tuning parameters used by train are degree and nprune. The parameter nk is not automatically specified and, if not specified, the default in the earth function is used.

For example, suppose a training set with 40 predictors is used with degree = 1 and nprune = 20. An initial model with nk = 41 is fit and is pruned down to 20 terms. This number includes the intercept and may include "singleton" terms instead of pairs.

Alternate model training schemes can be used by passing nk and/or pmethod to the earth function.

Also, there may be cases where the message such as "specified 'nprune' 29 is greater than the number of available model terms 24, forcing 'nprune' to 24" show up after the model fit. This can occur since the earth function may not actually use the number of terms in the initial model as specified by nk. This may be because the earth function removes terms with linear dependencies and the forward pass counts as if terms were added in pairs (although singleton terms may be used). By default, the train function fits and initial MARS model is used to determine the number of possible terms in the training set to create the tuning grid. Resampled data sets may produce slightly different models that do not have as many possible values of nprune.

- For the glmboost and gamboost functions from the mboost package, an additional tuning parameter, prune, is used by train. If prune = "yes", the number of trees is reduced based on the AIC statistic. If "no", the number of trees is kept at the value specified by the mstop parameter. See the mboost package vignette for more details about AIC pruning.
- For some models (pls, plsda, earth, rpart, gbm, gamboost, glmboost, blackboost, ctree, pam, superpc, enet and lasso), the train function will fit a model that can be used to derive predictions for some sub-models. For example, for MARS (via the earth function), for a fixed degree, a model with a maximum number of terms will be fit and the predictions of all of the requested models with the same degree and smaller number of terms will be computed using update.earth instead of fitting a new model. When the verboseIter option is used, a line is printed for the "top-level" model (instead of each model in the tuning grid).
- There are print and plot methods. See Figures 1 and 2 for examples. This is also a function, resampleHist, that will plot a histogram or density plot of the resampled performance estimates for the optimal model. Figure 2 shows and example of this type of plot for the support vector machine example.
- Using the first set of tuning parameters that are optimal (in the sense of accuracy or mean squared error), train automatically fits a model with these parameters to the entire training data set. That model object is accessible in the finalModel object within train. For example, gbmFit\$finalModel is the same object that would have been produced using a direct call to the gbm function.

There is additional functionality in train that is described in the next section.

Table 1: Models used in train

Model	method Value	Package	Tuning Parameters			
"Dual-Use Models"						
Generalized linear model	glm	stats	None			
Recursive Partitioning	rpart	rpart	maxdepth			
recensive randoming	ctree	party	mincriterion			
	ctree2	party	maxdepth			
Boosted Trees		- •				
Doosted Trees	gbm	gbm	interaction.depth,			
	h l - al-h - a-+	l	n.trees, shrinkage			
	blackboost	gbm	maxdepth, mstop			
O(1 - D (-1 M - 1-1-	ada	ada	maxdepth, iter, nu			
Other Boosted Models	glmboost	mboost	mstop			
D 1 D	gamboost	mboost	mstop			
Random Forests	rf	randomForest	mtry			
	cforest	party	mtry			
Bagged Trees	treebag	ipred	None			
Neural Networks	nnet	nnet	decay, size			
Partial Least Squares	pls	pls, caret	ncomp			
Sparse Partial Least Squares	spls	spls	K, eta, kappa			
Support Vector Machines (RBF kernel)	svmRadial	kernlab	sigma, C			
Support Vector Machines (polynomial kernel)	svmPoly	kernlab	scale, degree, C			
Gaussian Processes (RBF kernel)	gaussprRadial	kernlab	sigma			
Gaussian Processes (polynomial kernel)	gaussprPoly	kernlab	scale, degree			
T	Regressio		N			
Linear Least Squares	lm	stats	None			
Multivariate Adaptive Regression Splines	earth, mars	earth	degree, nprune			
Bagged MARS	bagEarth	$\mathtt{caret},\mathtt{earth}$	degree, nprune			
M5 Rules	M5Rules	RWeka	pruned			
Elastic Net	enet	elasticnet	lambda, fraction			
The Lasso	lasso	elasticnet	fraction			
Projection Pursuit	ppr	stats	nterms			
Regression						
(continued on next page)						

Table 1: Models used in train

Model	method Value	Package	Tuning Parameters				
Penalized Linear Models Regression Splines	penalized	penalized	lambda1, lambda2				
Relevance Vector Machines (RBF kernel)	rvmRadial	kernlab	sigma				
Relevance Vector Machines (polynomial kernel)	rvmPoly	kernlab	scale, degree				
Supervised Principal Components	superpc	superpc	n.components, threshold				
$Classification \ Models$							
Linear Discriminant Analysis	lda	MASS	None				
Quadratic Discriminant Analysis	qda	MASS	None				
Stabilised Linear Discriminant Analysis	slda	ipred	None				
Shrinkage Linear Discriminant Analysis	sda	sda	diagonal				
Sparse Linear Discriminant Analysis	sparseLDA	sparseLDA	NumVars, lambda				
Stepwise Diagonal Discriminant Analysis	sddaLDA, sddaQDA	SDDA	None				
Regularized Discriminant Analysis	rda	klaR	lambda, gamma				
Mixture Discriminant Analysis	mda	mda	subclasses				
Penalized Discriminant	pda	mda	lambda				
Analysis	pda2	mda	df				
Flexible Discriminant Analysis (MARS basis)	fda	mda, earth	degree, nprune				
Bagged FDA	bagFDA	$\mathtt{caret},\mathtt{earth}$	degree, nprune				
Logistic/Multinomial Regression	multinom	nnet	decay				
LogitBoost	logitboost	caTools	nIter				
Logistic Model Trees	LMT	RWeka	iter				
C4.5 decision trees	J48	RWeka	C				
Least Squares Support Vector Machines (RBF kernel)	lssvmRadial	kernlab	sigma				

(continued on next page)

Table 1: Models used in train

Model	method Value	Package	Tuning Parameters
k Nearest Neighbors Nearest Shrunken Centroids Naive Bayes Generalized Partial Least Squares Learned Vector Quantization	knn3 pam nb gpls lvq	caret pamr klaR gpls class	k threshold usekernel K.prov





Figure 1: Examples of output from plot.tain. top left a plot produced using plot(svmFit) showing the relationship between SVM cost parameter and the resampled classification accuracy. Although this model has two tuning parameters, a constant value for the parameter sigma was used. top right the same plot but the xTrans argument was used to log-transform the cost parameter. bottom left a plot produced using plot(gbmFit) showing the relationship between the number of boosting iterations, the interaction depth and the resampled classification accuracy bottom right the same plot, but the Kappa statistic is plotted using plot(gbmFit metric = "Kappa")






Figure 2: More examples. top: A plot produced using plot(gbmFit metric = "Kappa", plot-Type = "level") showing the relationship (using a levelplot) between the number of boosting iterations, the interaction depth and the resampled estimate of the Kappa statistic. bottom: A plot of the resampling estimates of performance from the optimal support vector machine model produced using resampleHist(svmFit, type = "density", layout = c(2, 1), adjust = 1.5).

# 2 Customizing the Tuning Process

There are a few ways to customize the process of selecting tuning/complexity parameters. First, as previously shown with the boosted tree code, you can choose specific values of the tuning parameter (instead of the defaults).

Secondly, the user can change the metric used to determine the best settings. By default, RMSE and  $R^2$  are computed for regression while accuracy and Kappa are computed for classification. Also by default, the parameter values are chosen using RMSE and accuracy, respectively for regression and classification. The metric argument of the train function allows the user to control which the optimality criterion is used. For example, in problems where there are a low percentage of samples in one class, using metric = "Kappa" can improve quality of the final model.

If none of these parameters are satisfactory, the user can also compute custom performance metrics. The trainControl function has a argument called summaryFunction that specifies a function for computing performance. The function should have these arguments:

- data is a reference for a data frame or matrix with columns called obs and pred for the observed and predicted outcome values (either numeric data for regression or character values for classification). Currently, class probabilities are not passed to the function. The values in data are the held-out predictions (and their associated reference values) for a single combination of tuning parameters.
- lev is a character string that has the outcome factor levels taken from the training data. For regression, a value of NULL is passed into the function.
- model is a character string for the model being used (i.e. the value passed to the method value of train).

The output to the function should be a vector of numeric summary metrics with non–null names.

As an example, classification accuracy in two–class problems can be decomposed into sensitivity and specificity. We can use these values to tune the parameters using the following function:

```
> newSummary <- function(data, lev, model) {
+    out <- c(sensitivity(data[, "pred"], data[, "obs"], lev[1]),
+        specificity(data[, "pred"], data[, "obs"], lev[2]))
+        names(out) <- c("Sens", "Spec")
+        out
+ }</pre>
```

To rebuild the support vector machine model using this criterion, we can see the relationship between the tuning parameters and sensitivity/specificity via the following code:

```
> fitControl$summaryFunction <- newSummary
> set.seed(2)
> svmNew <- train(trainDescr, trainMDRR, method = "svmRadial",
+ metric = "Spec", tuneLength = 4, trControl = fitControl)
> svmNew

Call:
train.default(x = trainDescr, y = trainMDRR, method = "svmRadial",
    metric = "Spec", trControl = fitControl, tuneLength = 4)

264 samples
50 predictors

summary of leave group out cross-validation (30 reps) sample sizes:
    198, 198, 198, 198, 198, ...
```

LGOCV resampled training results across tuning parameters:

```
С
                                         Spec SD
sigma
              Sens
                      Spec
                               Sens SD
                                                   Selected
0.0222
        0.1
              1
                      0.0471
                               0
                                         0.0483
0.0222
        1
              0.904
                      0.753
                               0.053
                                         0.0825
0.0222
        10
              0.812
                      0.8
                               0.0686
                                         0.0884
0.0222
        100
              0.812
                      0.8
                               0.0613
                                         0.0837
```

Spec was used to select the optimal model using the largest value.

The final values used in the model were C = 100 and sigma = 0.0222.

Based on this model and the original SVM model, 60% accuracy can be achieved by being very biased towards sensitivity.

The third method for customizing the tuning process is to modify the algorithm that is used to select the "best" parameter values, given the performance numbers. By default, the train function chooses the model with the largest performance value (or smallest, for mean squared error in regression models). Other schemes for selecting model can be used. Breiman et al (1984) suggested the "one standard error rule" for simple tree—based models. In this case, the model with the best performance value is identified and, using resampling, we can estimate the standard error of performance. The final model used was the simplest model within one standard error of the (empirically) best model. With simple trees this makes sense, since these models will start to overfit as they become more and more specific to the training data.

train allows the user to specify alternate rules for selecting the final model. The argument selectionFunction can be used to supply a function to algorithmically determine the final model.

There are three existing functions in the package: best is chooses the largest/smallest value, oneSE attempts to capture the spirit of Breiman et al (1984) and tolerance selects the least complex model within some percent tolerance of the best value. See ?best for more details.

User-defined functions can be used, as long as they have the following arguments:

- x is a data frame containing the tune parameters and their associated performance metrics. Each row corresponds to a different tuning parameter combination
- metric a character string indicating which performance metric should be optimized (this is passed in directly from the metric argument of train.
- maximize is a single logical value indicating whether larger values of the performance metric are better (this is also directly passed from the call to train).

The function should output a single integer indicating which row in x is chosen.

As an example, if we chose the previous SVM model on the basis of specificity, we would choose a cost value of 100, the most complex model. Lower cost values would produce approximately the same performance with less complex models (with the exception of cost = 0.1). The tolerance function could be used to find a less complex model based on  $(x - x_{best})/x_{best} \times 100$ , which is the percent difference. For example, to select cost values based on 2% and 6% losses of performance:

```
> whichTwoPct <- tolerance(svmNew$results, "Spec", 2, TRUE)
> cat("best model within 2 pct of best:\n")
best model within 2 pct of best:
> svmNew$results[whichTwoPct, ]
       sigma C
                     Sens Spec
                                   SensSD
                                              SpecSD
3 0.02222875 10 0.8117117 0.8 0.06862484 0.08844885
> whichSixPct <- tolerance(svmNew$results, "Spec", 6, TRUE)
> cat("\n\nbest model within 6 pct of best:\n")
best model within 6 pct of best:
> svmNew$results[whichSixPct, ]
       sigma C
                    Sens
                              Spec
                                       SensSD
                                                SpecSD
2 0.02222875 1 0.9036036 0.7528736 0.05296353 0.082542
```

The main issue with these functions is related to ordering the models from simplest to complex. In some cases, this is easy (e.g. simple trees, partial least squares), but in most cases, the ordering of models is subjective. For example, is a boosted tree model using 100 iterations and a tree depth of 2 more complex than one with 50 iterations and a depth of 8? The package makes some choices regarding the orderings. In the case of boosted trees, the package assumes that increasing the number of iterations adds complexity at a faster rate than increasing the tree depth, so models are ordered on the number of iterations then ordered with depth. See ?best for more examples for specific models.

Finally, the function trainControl, generates parameters that further control how models are resampled with possible values:

- method: The resampling method: boot, cv, LOOCV, LGOCV and oob. The last value, out-of-bag estimates, can only be used by random forest, bagged trees, bagged earth, bagged flexible discriminant analysis, or conditional tree forest models. GBM models are not included (the gbm package maintainer has indicated that it would not be a good idea to choose tuning parameter values based on the model OOB error estimates with boosted trees). Also, for leave—one—out cross—validation, no uncertainty estimates are given for the resampled performance measures.
- number: Either the number of folds or number of resampling iterations
- verboseIter: A logical for printing a training log.
- returnData: A logical for saving the data
- p: For leave-group out cross-validation: the training percentage
- index: a list with elements for each resampling iteration. Each list element is the sample rows used for training at that iteration. When these values are not specified, caret will generate them.
- summaryFunction: previously mentioned
- selectionFunction: previously mentioned
- returnResamp: a character string containing one of the following values: "all", "final" or "none". This specifies how much of the resampled performance measures to save.

## 3 Extracting Predictions and Class Probabilities

As previously mentioned, objects produced by the train function contain the "optimized" model in the finalModel sub-object. Predictions can be made from these objects as usual. In some cases, such as pls or gbm objects, additional parameters from the optimized fit may need to be specified. In these cases, the train objects uses the results of the parameter optimization to predict new samples.

For example, we can load the Boston Housing data:

```
> library(mlbench)
> data(BostonHousing)
> bhDesignMatrix <- model.matrix(medv ~ . - 1, BostonHousing)
split the data into random training/test groups:
> set.seed(4)
> inTrain <- createDataPartition(BostonHousing$medv, p = 0.8, list = FALSE,
      times = 1)
> trainBH <- bhDesignMatrix[inTrain, ]</pre>
> testBH <- bhDesignMatrix[-inTrain, ]</pre>
> preProc <- preProcess(trainBH)</pre>
> trainBH <- predict(preProc, trainBH)</pre>
> testBH <- predict(preProc, testBH)</pre>
> trainMedv <- BostonHousing$medv[inTrain]</pre>
> testMedv <- BostonHousing$medv[-inTrain]
fit partial least squares and multivariate adaptive regression spline models:
> set.seed(5)
> plsFit <- train(trainBH, trainMedv, "pls", tuneLength = 10, trControl = trainControl(verboseIter
> set.seed(5)
> marsFit <- train(trainBH, trainMedv, "earth", tuneLength = 10,
      trControl = trainControl(verboseIter = FALSE))
To obtain predictions for the PLS model, predict.mvr can be used. In this case, the number of
components must be manually specified or all of the sub-models are predicted:
```

```
[1] 99 1 9
```

> dim(plsPred1)

Alternatively, predict.train can be used to get a vector of predictions for the optimal model only:

> plsPred1 <- predict(plsFit\$finalModel, newdata = as.matrix(testBH))</pre>

```
> plsPred2 <- predict(plsFit, newdata = testBH)
> length(plsPred2)
```

[1] 99

For multiple models, the objects can be grouped using a list and predicted simultaneously:

```
> bhModels <- list(pls = plsFit, mars = marsFit)
> bhPred1 <- predict(bhModels, newdata = testBH)
> str(bhPred1)

List of 2
$ pls : num [1:99] 30.2 21.9 16.1 16 15.8 ...
$ mars: num [1:99] 34.4 20.2 18.2 14.4 15.7 ...
```

In some cases, observed outcomes and their associated predictions may be needed for a set of models. In this case, extractPrediction can be used. This function takes a list of models and test and/or unknown samples as inputs and returns a data frame of predictions:

```
> testPred <- subset(allPred, dataType == "Test")</pre>
> head(testPred)
     obs
             pred model dataType
408 34.7 30.15640
                     pls
                             Test
409 21.7 21.87263
                    pls
                             Test
410 20.2 16.06634
                    pls
                             Test
411 15.2 16.01122
                    pls
                             Test
412 15.6 15.80842
                     pls
                             Test
413 14.5 17.94325
                    pls
                             Test
> by(testPred, list(model = testPred$model), function(x) postResample(x$pred,
      x$obs))
model: earth
    RMSE Rsquared
4.678437 0.793299
model: pls
     RMSE Rsquared
5.5016752 0.7286127
```

> allPred <- extractPrediction(bhModels, testX = testBH, testY = testMedv)

The output of extractPrediction is a data frame with columns:

• obs, the observed data

- pred, the predicted values from each model
- model, a character string ("rpart", "pls" etc.)
- dataType, a character string for the type of data:
  - "Training" data are the predictions on the training data from the optimal model,
  - "Test" denote the predictions on the test set (if one is specified),
  - "Unknown" data are the predictions on the unknown samples (if specified). Only the predictions are produced for these data. Also, if the quick prediction of the unknowns is the primary goal, the argument unkOnly can be used to only process the unknowns.

Some classification models can produce probabilities for each class. The functions predict.train and predict.list can be used with the type = "probs" argument to produce data frames of class probabilities (with one column per class). Also, the function extractProbs can be used to get these probabilities from one or more models. The results are very similar to what is produced by extractPrediction but with columns for each class. The column pred is still the predicted class from the model.

## 4 Evaluating Models

A function, postResample, can be used obtain the same performance measures as generated by train.

caret also contains several functions that can be used to describe the performance of classification models. The functions sensitivity, specificity, posPredValue and negPredValue can be used to characterize performance where there are two classes. By default, the first level of the outcome factor is used to define the "positive" result, although this can be changed.

The function confusionMatrix can also be used to summarize the results of a classification model:

```
> mbrrPredictions <- extractPrediction(list(svmFit), testX = testDescr,
+ testY = testMDRR)
> mbrrPredictions <- mbrrPredictions[mbrrPredictions$dataType ==
+ "Test", ]
> sensitivity(mbrrPredictions$pred, mbrrPredictions$obs)

[1] 0.8066667
> confusionMatrix(mbrrPredictions$pred, mbrrPredictions$obs)
```

Confusion Matrix and Statistics

#### Reference

Prediction Active Inactive
Active 121 25
Inactive 29 89

Accuracy : 0.7955

95% CI: (0.7417, 0.8424)

No Information Rate : 0.5682 P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 6.255e-15

Kappa: 0.5849

Sensitivity: 0.8067 Specificity: 0.7807 Pos Pred Value: 0.8288 Neg Pred Value: 0.7542

The "no–information rate" is the largest proportion of the observed classes (there were more actives than inactives in this test set). A hypothesis test is also computed to evaluate whether the overall accuarcy rate is greater than the rate of the largest class.

When there are three or more classes, confusionMatrix will show the confusion matrix and a set of "one-versus-all" results. For example, in a three class problem, the sensitivity of the first class is calculated against all the samples in the second and third classes (and so on).

#### **ROC Curves**

The function  $roc^2$  can be used to calculate the sensitivity and specificity used in an ROC plot. For example, using the previous support vector machine fit to the MBRR data, the predicted class probabilities on the test set can used to create an ROC curve. The area under the ROC curve, via the trapezoidal rule, is calculated using the aucRoc function.

```
> mbrrProbs <- extractProb(list(svmFit), testX = testDescr, testY = testMDRR)
> mbrrProbs <- mbrrProbs[mbrrProbs$dataType == "Test", ]
> mbrrROC <- roc(mbrrProbs$Active, mbrrProbs$obs)
> aucRoc(mbrrROC)
```

[1] 0.8724269

See Figure 4 for an example.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>I'm looking into using the ROCR package for ROC curves, so don't get too attached to these functions

#### Plotting Predictions and Probabilities

Two functions, plotObsVsPred and plotClassProbs, are interfaces to lattice to plot model results. For regression, plotObsVsPred plots the observed versus predicted values by model type and data (e.g. test). See Figures 5 and 4 for examples. For classification data, plotObsVsPred plots the accuracy rates for models/data in a dotplot.

To plot class probabilities, plotClassProbs will display the results by model, data and true class (for example, Figure 3).

### 5 References

Breiman, Friedman, Olshen, and Stone. (1984) Classification and Regression Trees. Wadsworth.

Svetnik, V., Wang, T., Tong, C., Liaw, A., Sheridan, R. P. and Song, Q. (2005), "Boosting: An ensemble learning tool for compound classification and QSAR modeling," *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, 45, 786–799.

Tibshirani, R., Hastie, T., Narasimhan, B., Chu, G. (2003), "Class prediction by nearest shrunken centroids, with applications to DNA microarrays," *Statistical Science*, 18, 104–117.




Figure 3: The predicted class probabilities from a support vector machine fit for the MBRR test set. This plot was created using plotClassProbs(mbrrProbs).



Figure 4: An ROC curve from the predicted class probabilities from a support vector machine fit for the MBRR test set.




Figure 5: The results of using <code>plotObsVsPred</code> to show plots of the observed median home price against the predictions from two models. The plot shows the training and test sets in the same Lattice plot