# Machine Learning Engineer Nanodegree Capstone Proposal

Lee Burke

November 24th, 2017

## 1 Domain Background

Natural language processing is an essential but difficult application of machine learning. Language is as complex as the meaning which it conveys; as our understanding of the world is contingent on incomplete and shifting knowledge, so too is our language a fuzzy shadow of crisp logical formalism. Indeed, teaching a machine to fully parse language is perhaps equivalent to teaching it to comprehend, like a child learning to speak. Clearly, the automatic extraction of semantic features from raw text is difficult.

Nonetheless, much progress has been made. In 1963, Mosteller and Wallace used Bayesian statistics to analyze the authorship of *The Federalist Papers* [6]. Decades years later, these foundations are still recognizable (e.g., the hierarchical Bayesian approach of Latent Dirichlet Allocation in [2]). The data revolution (see, e.g., [5]) has leveraged these statistical techniques to power new technologies: everyone has a virtual assistant in their pocket capable of responding to commands.

This project will explore modern techniques for language processing, including word2vec, doc2vec and related technologies, and older tools like LDA. It will bring these tools to bear on the automatic classification of text in the same vein as Mosteller and Wallace's research decades ago. More recent similar projects include attempts to automatically categorize Hacker News articles<sup>1</sup> and a current Kaggle.com playground competition to identify the authors of horror story snippets <sup>2</sup>.

In particular, the semantic and syntactic qualities of various Reddit.com communities will be compared. Reddit is one of the most-trafficked domains on the internet, with thousands of interconnected message boards and millions of submissions per day[3]. Analysis is made interesting by the organically user-generated structure of the site, the semi-anonymous nature of Reddit user accounts, and the free-wheeling nature of casual conversation. Surfing Reddit is like walking through the biggest crowd on Earth, listening to everyone else's conversations.

#### 2 Problem Statement

This project will cluster subreddits in an effort to find similar communities. This is an unsupervised learning problem that could fit into a larger recommender system based on user activity. If we can extract relevant (and quantifiable) information from the subreddits and consider some metric of similarity, it can be seen immediately that the problem is quantifiable, measurable, and replicable.

# 3 Datasets and Inputs

Reddit provides an API for accessing its data, and this is the best way to get small amounts of up-to-date data. However, Reddit enforces a 30 request per minute limit, so trolling through millions of posts may be difficult.

<sup>1</sup> https://techcrunch.com/2017/05/14/building-a-smarter-hacker-news/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>https://www.kaggle.com/c/spooky-author-identification

Luckily, the website pushshift io offers massive monthly data dumps of Reddit comments. This source will provide the corpus for training and testing the model, using the Google BigQuery platform (up to 1 TB of data processing for free per month) to filter on time and subreddit<sup>3</sup>. The data will then be exported for local processing.

The goal is to use the big data to build a database of subreddit features, then to use the API to retrieve a given subreddit's current data in order to recommend similar subreddits.

### 4 Solution Statement

The problem will be approached in two steps: (1) feature extraction from the subreddits and (2) clustering based on those features. In particular, only the comments of subreddit submissions will be considered (which assumes the culture of a subreddit exists in conversations around submissions, not in the submissions themselves). The comments will be analyzed using some natural language processing tools, and the results of that analysis will be used to find clusters of subreddits.

### 5 Benchmark Model

The simplest model takes word frequencies, then finds a linear discriminant or applies Naive Bayes to classify each document (each of these are used in the famous Mosteller and Wallace paper[6]). A simple implementation of this method with multinomial Naive Bayes is shown in Listing 1, where X contains comments and y is a label encoding of the subreddit names.

A more modern alternative is FastText from Facebook Research<sup>4</sup>: This extremely fast method has proven to be competitive with much more complex models at the forefront of contemporary research.

Listing 1: Benchmark model

```
from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import CountVectorizer
from sklearn.naive_bayes import MultinomialNB
from sklearn.pipeline import Pipeline
from sklearn.model_selection import cross_validate

vectorizer = CountVectorizer()
clf = MultinomialNB()
counts_multinomialNB = Pipeline([('counts', vectorizer), ('MultiNB', clf)])
scores = cross_validate(counts_multinomialNB, X, y)
```

#### 6 Evaluation Metrics

The obvious metrics are cost and accuracy: we want to classify the comments correctly as cheaply as possible. Cost will be measured as speed for both transformation/training and predicting, while accuracy will be defined to be the number of correct predictions divided by the total number of predictions. Furthermore, it is often interesting to compare f-scores among algorithms, which more clearly show the tradeoff between precision and recall. The usual f1 score is defined as 2PR/(P+R) where P is precision and R is recall. For the multi-class case, we may simply take the average of the score for each class, weighted by the size of the class.

 $<sup>^3\</sup>mathrm{See}$  https://pushshift.io/using-bigquery-with-reddit-data/

<sup>4</sup>https://github.com/facebookresearch/fastText

Qualitative analysis may also be interesting, so visualization of word/document embeddings will be explored, as well as interpretability thereof: can we say with confidence why a given comment is classified a certain way?

## 7 Project Design

The project will proceed in two phases. First, feature extraction tools will be compared using a supervised problem: given a corpus of comments from popular subreddits, compute the likelihood that a new comment belongs to any given subreddit (or simply assign each comment to a subreddit, as this is a classification and not a regression problem). Visualization and qualitative analysis of extracted features will also be performed. Once an appropriate set of features is identified, the unsupervised problem will transform comments from a particular subreddit and then find similar subreddits. More complex recommender systems will not be considered

The project will explore and compare many modern tools for feature extraction:

- Bag-of-Words count matix, including n-grams
- tf-idf frequencies (nonlinear transformation of count matrix)
- Latent semantic analysis (SVD of count matrix)
- Latent Dirichlet allocation (Bayesian extension of a probabilistic LSA)
- word2vec (deep learning tool for dense word embeddings).

Some of these are tools for word embedding: mapping each word of a document to a point in some vector space. There are several options to aggregate the embeddings of each word in a document:

- Simple averaging techniques
- Training a convnet from scratch on word2vec inputs
- doc2vec (deep learning tool for dense sentence embeddings)
- Transfer learning from pre-trained deep networks.

These feature extractors will be tuned and then benchmarked for time and accuracy using a few popular classifiers, including

- Multinomial Naive Bayes
- Approximate nearest neighbors (e.g., Annoy[1])
- xgboost or other vanilla classification methods.

Parallelization and other big data techniques may be explored for some of these tools, as time allows. Most of these tools are easily implemented in scikit-learn[7], gensim[8], or keras[4].

### References

- [1] Erik Bernhardsson. Annoy. https://pypi.python.org/pypi/annoy. [Online; accessed 24-November-2017].
- [2] David M Blei et al. "Latent Dirichlet Allocation". In: Journal of Machine Learning Research 3 (2003), pp. 993–1022. ISSN: 15324435. DOI: 10.1162/jmlr.2003.3.4-5.993. arXiv: 1111.6189v1.
- [3] Upvoted: The Official Reddit Blog. Reddit in 2015. https://redditblog.com/2015/12/31/reddit-in-2015/. [Online; accessed 24-November-2017]. 2017.
- [4] François Chollet et al. Keras. https://github.com/fchollet/keras. 2015.
- [5] Tony Hey, Stewart Tansley, and Kristin Tolle, eds. *The Fourth Paradigm: Data-Intensive Scientific Discovery.* Redmond, Washington: Microsoft Research, 2009. URL: http://research.microsoft.com/en-us/collaboration/fourthparadigm/.
- [6] Frederick Mosteller and David L. Wallace. "Inference in an Authorship Problem". In: 58.302 (1963),
   pp. 275–309. ISSN: 1537274X. DOI: 10.1080/01621459.1963.10500849.
- [7] F. Pedregosa et al. "Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python". In: Journal of Machine Learning Research 12 (2011), pp. 2825–2830.
- [8] Radim Řehůřek and Petr Sojka. "Software Framework for Topic Modelling with Large Corpora". English. In: *Proceedings of the LREC 2010 Workshop on New Challenges for NLP Frameworks*. http://is.muni.cz/publication/884893/en. Valletta, Malta: ELRA, May 2010, pp. 45–50.