

# SUNY Potsdam

## Spring 2022 Course Surveys

**Course:** 87084\_EVAL\_CIS303.001\_grabowlm\_SPRING22: Course Evaluation - ANALYSIS OF ALGORITHMS - Grabowski - Spring 2022

**Instructor:** Laura Grabowski \*

**Response Rate:** 2/4 (50.00 %)

### 1 - Reason for taking the course (complete all that apply):

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	
Major-required	(6)	2	100.00%		
Major-elective	(5)	0	0.00%		
Minor-required	(4)	0	0.00%		
Minor-elective	(3)	0	0.00%		
General Education Requirement	(2)	0	0.00%		
Free Elective	(1)	0	0.00%		
<b>Response Rate</b>		2/4 (50%)			

### 2 - Class Year:

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Freshman	(6)	0	0.00%			4.00		
Sophomore	(5)	1	50.00%					
Junior	(4)	0	0.00%					
Senior	(3)	1	50.00%					
Graduate	(2)	0	0.00%					
Other	(1)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
2/4 (50.00%)				4.00	1.41	4.00		

### 3 - Expected grade:

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
4.0	(13)	0	0.00%			9.00		
3.7	(12)	0	0.00%					
3.3	(11)	1	50.00%					
3.0	(10)	0	0.00%					
2.7	(9)	0	0.00%					
2.3	(8)	0	0.00%					
2.0	(7)	1	50.00%					
1.7	(6)	0	0.00%					
1.3	(5)	0	0.00%					
1.0	(4)	0	0.00%					
0.0	(3)	0	0.00%					
S	(2)	0	0.00%					
U	(1)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
2/4 (50.00%)				9.00	2.83	9.00		

### 4 - I attended class:

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Always	(5)	1	50.00%			4.00		
Frequently	(4)	0	0.00%					
Moderately	(3)	1	50.00%					
Occasionally	(2)	0	0.00%					
Rarely	(1)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
2/4 (50.00%)				4.00	1.41	4.00		

# SUNY Potsdam

## Spring 2022 Course Surveys

**Course:** 87084\_EVAL\_CIS303.001\_grabowlm\_SPRING22: Course Evaluation - ANALYSIS OF ALGORITHMS - Grabowski - Spring 2022

**Instructor:** Laura Grabowski \*

**Response Rate:** 2/4 (50.00 %)

### 5 - How many hours outside of class did you devote to this course on average per week?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
9+	(5)	1	50.00%			4.00		
6-8	(4)	0	0.00%					
4-5	(3)	1	50.00%					
2-3	(2)	0	0.00%					
0-1	(1)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
2/4 (50.00%)				4.00		1.41		4.00

### 6 - Rate the level of your participation in this course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Very active	(5)	0	0.00%			2.50		
Active	(4)	0	0.00%					
Moderate	(3)	1	50.00%					
Minimal	(2)	1	50.00%					
Not active	(1)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
2/4 (50.00%)				2.50		0.71		2.50

### 7 - What overall rating would you give yourself as a student in this course?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Excellent	(5)	0	0.00%			3.50		
Good	(4)	1	50.00%					
Adequate	(3)	1	50.00%					
Poor	(2)	0	0.00%					
Very Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
2/4 (50.00%)				3.50		0.71		3.50

### 8 - Laura Grabowski demonstrates competence in the subject area. -

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	2	100.00%			5.00		
Agree	(4)	0	0.00%					
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Does not apply	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
2/4 (50.00%)				5.00		0.00		5.00

# SUNY Potsdam


## Spring 2022 Course Surveys

**Course:** 87084\_EVAL\_CIS303.001\_grabowlm\_SPRING22: Course Evaluation - ANALYSIS OF ALGORITHMS - Grabowski - Spring 2022


**Instructor:** Laura Grabowski \*

**Response Rate:** 2/4 (50.00 %)


### 9 - Laura Grabowski's teaching is effective. -

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	0	0.00%					
Agree	(4)	1	50.00%					
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	50.00%					
Does not apply	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
2/4 (50.00%)				2.50	2.12	2.50		


### 10 - Laura Grabowski is concerned with students' progress in the course. -

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	0	0.00%					
Agree	(4)	1	50.00%					
Neutral	(3)	1	50.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Does not apply	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
2/4 (50.00%)				3.50	0.71	3.50		

### 11 - Laura Grabowski is available to students outside of class -

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	0	0.00%					
Agree	(4)	1	50.00%					
Neutral	(3)	1	50.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Does not apply	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
2/4 (50.00%)				3.50	0.71	3.50		

### 12 - Examinations relate well to the stated course objectives.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	0	0.00%					
Agree	(4)	1	50.00%					
Neutral	(3)	1	50.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Does not apply	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
2/4 (50.00%)				3.50	0.71	3.50		

# SUNY Potsdam


## Spring 2022 Course Surveys

**Course:** 87084\_EVAL\_CIS303.001\_grabowlm\_SPRING22: Course Evaluation - ANALYSIS OF ALGORITHMS - Grabowski - Spring 2022


**Instructor:** Laura Grabowski \*

**Response Rate:** 2/4 (50.00 %)


### 13 - The work required in this course relates well to the stated course objectives.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	0	0.00%					
Agree	(4)	1	50.00%					
Neutral	(3)	1	50.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Does not apply	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
2/4 (50.00%)				3.50		0.71		3.50


### 14 - Laura Grabowski evaluates student performance fairly. -

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	0	0.00%					
Agree	(4)	1	50.00%					
Neutral	(3)	1	50.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Does not apply	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
2/4 (50.00%)				3.50		0.71		3.50

### 15 - I learned a great deal in this course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	0	0.00%					
Agree	(4)	1	50.00%					
Neutral	(3)	1	50.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Does not apply	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
2/4 (50.00%)				3.50		0.71		3.50

### 16 - Overall rating of Laura Grabowski: -

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Excellent	(5)	0	0.00%					
Good	(4)	1	50.00%					
Adequate	(3)	0	0.00%					
Poor	(2)	0	0.00%					
Very Poor	(1)	1	50.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
2/4 (50.00%)				2.50		2.12		2.50

# SUNY Potsdam

## Spring 2022 Course Surveys

**Course:** 87084\_EVAL\_CIS303.001\_grabowlm\_SPRING22: Course Evaluation - ANALYSIS OF ALGORITHMS - Grabowski - Spring 2022

**Instructor:** Laura Grabowski \*

**Response Rate:** 2/4 (50.00 %)

### 17 - THE COURSE: Please comment on course content, requirement or any other aspect of the course.

Response Rate	2/4 (50%)
---------------	-----------

• This course follows the trend that almost every single CIS course of the departments tends to, which admittedly is a bit of a trap. The resources (the textbook, slides, and notes) due to how they are presented over the period of the course makes a scenario where one cannot confidently study the material. Which is unfortunate, because if you do not understand the concepts during class time you need to rely on these things for reference. It becomes even worst when, due to how the material is discussed, online resources become at best misleading or at worst wrong. The programming assignments, while it is clear they are in the curriculum(it's CIS after all), they very much took away from my experience rather than enrich it taking the course. These assignments became a distraction to actively learning the material for exams or assignments, essentially taking a life of its own as if it was a second course. My suggestion would be the focus on the problems and conceptual aspects of the class. Additionally, consider a different way we can see them in action for a live program.

• this is the type of class that you have to take more than once to really understand the topics well. the four of us in the class didn't always have a great idea of what was going on at first. though this is a difficult class, others and myself find ourselves stressing more than we should over programming and problem assignments. i liked how every assignment was due on Thursday night and how we could ask for an extension until Monday; really helpful. midterm and final review in class were also extremely helpful. could've used more examples to help my understanding when going over a certain topic. only really got just below a surface level understanding of everything; needed more time, a different approach, or more in depth review.

### 18 - THE INSTRUCTOR: Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of Laura Grabowski. Would you take another course from this instructor? -

Response Rate	2/4 (50%)
---------------	-----------

• Professor Grabowski is rather intelligent and knows what they are talking about. However, I personally find them intimidating and rather difficult to approach for assistance. This very often led to me speaking to other professors or tutors who at times could not recall the material themselves, for assistance in specific concepts covered in the class. Due to this, I struggled throughout the course. I learned a lot of the material by banging my head against the textbook or suffering with fellow classmates just to stay afloat gradewise. We studied together, found resources to help each other, even at times gave each other answers just so we understand what we misunderstood from lectures or the book. Personally, I have no clue what to specifically suggest to this professor in regards to this course. There is definitely something that did not sit right with me during the course, specifically engaging with the material. If there was a honest suggestion I could give, maybe look into different ways we can engage with the information that is not distracting from the main goal- instead of just telling us we're responsible for the material (it's not really helpful and we know this.)

• i like how available the professor was in office hours. in class, when someone asked a question that we already went over or a question to help them understand what we just went over, the response from the professor was a little harsh and judgmental sometimes, made me a little hesitant to ask questions.

# SUNY Potsdam

## Spring 2022 Course Surveys

**Course:** 87465\_EVAL\_CIS405.001\_grabowlm\_SPRING22: Course Evaluation - SOFTWARE ENGINEERING - Grabowski - Spring 2022

**Instructor:** Laura Grabowski \*

**Response Rate:** 2/4 (50.00 %)

### 1 - Reason for taking the course (complete all that apply):

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	
Major-required	(6)	2	100.00%		
Major-elective	(5)	0	0.00%		
Minor-required	(4)	0	0.00%		
Minor-elective	(3)	0	0.00%		
General Education Requirement	(2)	0	0.00%		
Free Elective	(1)	0	0.00%		
<b>Response Rate</b>	2/4 (50%)				

### 2 - Class Year:

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Freshman	(6)	0	0.00%		
Sophomore	(5)	0	0.00%		
Junior	(4)	1	50.00%		
Senior	(3)	1	50.00%		
Graduate	(2)	0	0.00%		
Other	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>
2/4 (50.00%)				3.50	0.71
					<b>Median</b>
					3.50

### 3 - Expected grade:

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
4.0	(13)	1	50.00%		
3.7	(12)	1	50.00%		
3.3	(11)	0	0.00%		
3.0	(10)	0	0.00%		
2.7	(9)	0	0.00%		
2.3	(8)	0	0.00%		
2.0	(7)	0	0.00%		
1.7	(6)	0	0.00%		
1.3	(5)	0	0.00%		
1.0	(4)	0	0.00%		
0.0	(3)	0	0.00%		
S	(2)	0	0.00%		
U	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>
2/4 (50.00%)				12.50	0.71
					<b>Median</b>
					12.50

### 4 - I attended class:

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Always	(5)	2	100.00%		
Frequently	(4)	0	0.00%		
Moderately	(3)	0	0.00%		
Occasionally	(2)	0	0.00%		
Rarely	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>
2/4 (50.00%)				5.00	0.00
					<b>Median</b>
					5.00

# SUNY Potsdam

## Spring 2022 Course Surveys

**Course:** 87465\_EVAL\_CIS405.001\_grabowlm\_SPRING22: Course Evaluation - SOFTWARE ENGINEERING - Grabowski - Spring 2022

**Instructor:** Laura Grabowski \*

**Response Rate:** 2/4 (50.00 %)

### 5 - How many hours outside of class did you devote to this course on average per week?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
9+	(5)	0	0.00%			4.00		
6-8	(4)	2	100.00%					
4-5	(3)	0	0.00%					
2-3	(2)	0	0.00%					
0-1	(1)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
2/4 (50.00%)				4.00		0.00		4.00

### 6 - Rate the level of your participation in this course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Very active	(5)	2	100.00%			5.00		
Active	(4)	0	0.00%					
Moderate	(3)	0	0.00%					
Minimal	(2)	0	0.00%					
Not active	(1)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
2/4 (50.00%)				5.00		0.00		5.00

### 7 - What overall rating would you give yourself as a student in this course?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Excellent	(5)	1	50.00%			4.50		
Good	(4)	1	50.00%					
Adequate	(3)	0	0.00%					
Poor	(2)	0	0.00%					
Very Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
2/4 (50.00%)				4.50		0.71		4.50

### 8 - Laura Grabowski demonstrates competence in the subject area. -

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	2	100.00%			5.00		
Agree	(4)	0	0.00%					
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Does not apply	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
2/4 (50.00%)				5.00		0.00		5.00

# SUNY Potsdam



## Spring 2022 Course Surveys

**Course:** 87465\_EVAL\_CIS405.001\_grabowlm\_SPRING22: Course Evaluation - SOFTWARE ENGINEERING - Grabowski - Spring 2022



**Instructor:** Laura Grabowski \*

**Response Rate:** 2/4 (50.00 %)



### 9 - Laura Grabowski's teaching is effective. -

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	2	100.00%					
Agree	(4)	0	0.00%					
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Does not apply	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
2/4 (50.00%)				5.00		0.00		5.00



### 10 - Laura Grabowski is concerned with students' progress in the course. -

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	2	100.00%					
Agree	(4)	0	0.00%					
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Does not apply	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
2/4 (50.00%)				5.00		0.00		5.00

### 11 - Laura Grabowski is available to students outside of class -

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	2	100.00%					
Agree	(4)	0	0.00%					
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Does not apply	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
2/4 (50.00%)				5.00		0.00		5.00

### 12 - Examinations relate well to the stated course objectives.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	1	50.00%					
Agree	(4)	0	0.00%					
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Does not apply	(0)	1	50.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
2/4 (50.00%)				5.00		0.00		5.00



# SUNY Potsdam



## Spring 2022 Course Surveys

**Course:** 87465\_EVAL\_CIS405.001\_grabowlm\_SPRING22: Course Evaluation - SOFTWARE ENGINEERING - Grabowski - Spring 2022



**Instructor:** Laura Grabowski \*

**Response Rate:** 2/4 (50.00 %)



### 13 - The work required in this course relates well to the stated course objectives.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	2	100.00%					
Agree	(4)	0	0.00%					
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Does not apply	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
2/4 (50.00%)				5.00		0.00		5.00



### 14 - Laura Grabowski evaluates student performance fairly. -

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	2	100.00%					
Agree	(4)	0	0.00%					
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Does not apply	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
2/4 (50.00%)				5.00		0.00		5.00

### 15 - I learned a great deal in this course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	2	100.00%					
Agree	(4)	0	0.00%					
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Does not apply	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
2/4 (50.00%)				5.00		0.00		5.00

### 16 - Overall rating of Laura Grabowski: -

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Excellent	(5)	2	100.00%					
Good	(4)	0	0.00%					
Adequate	(3)	0	0.00%					
Poor	(2)	0	0.00%					
Very Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
2/4 (50.00%)				5.00		0.00		5.00

# SUNY Potsdam

## Spring 2022 Course Surveys

---

**Course:** 87465\_EVAL\_CIS405.001\_grabowlm\_SPRING22: Course Evaluation - SOFTWARE ENGINEERING - Grabowski - Spring 2022

**Instructor:** Laura Grabowski \*

**Response Rate:** 2/4 (50.00 %)

---

17 - THE COURSE: Please comment on course content, requirement or any other aspect of the course.	
<b>Response Rate</b>	2/4 (50%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• This course was a great course, I do wish we had a larger class but that was outside of anyone's control. This semester has been hard for various reasons and the course had to change to accomodate that which changed the workflow for the rest of the semester, I've seen this change in all of my classes but the organization of this course has allowed me to stay much more on track as compared to my others.</li><li>• I had a lot of fun working on the project and really enjoyed the course in general</li></ul>	

18 - THE INSTRUCTOR: Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of Laura Grabowski. Would you take another course from this instructor? -	
<b>Response Rate</b>	1/4 (25%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• I would absolutely take another course from this instructor, she genuinely cares about her students and their mental health while also pushing for students to succeed in the course which is a great balance to have. She offered so much more involvement than our team took which I regret, I think it would have been very helpful if we took her up on her offer to sit in on a sprint R&amp;R even just so she could see what our process was.</li></ul>	

# SUNY Potsdam

## Spring 2022 Course Surveys

**Course:** 88823\_EVAL\_WAYS103.007\_grabowlm\_SPRING22: Course Evaluation - ALGORITHMS OF OPRESSION - Grabowski - Spring 2022



**Instructor:** Laura Grabowski \*

**Response Rate:** 14/21 (66.67 %)

### 1 - Reason for taking the course (complete all that apply):

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	
Major-required	(6)	5	35.71%		
Major-elective	(5)	0	0.00%		
Minor-required	(4)	0	0.00%		
Minor-elective	(3)	0	0.00%		
General Education Requirement	(2)	11	78.57%		
Free Elective	(1)	0	0.00%		
<b>Response Rate</b>		14/21 (66.67%)			

### 2 - Class Year:

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Freshman	(6)	14	100.00%								
Sophomore	(5)	0	0.00%								
Junior	(4)	0	0.00%								
Senior	(3)	0	0.00%								
Graduate	(2)	0	0.00%								
Other	(1)	0	0.00%								
				0	25	50	100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median			
14/21 (66.67%)				6.00		0.00		6.00			

### 3 - Expected grade:

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
4.0	(13)	3	21.43%	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div>11.29</div></div>			
3.7	(12)	4	28.57%	<div><div></div></div>				
3.3	(11)	3	21.43%	<div><div></div></div>				
3.0	(10)	2	14.29%	<div><div></div></div>				
2.7	(9)	2	14.29%	<div><div></div></div>				
2.3	(8)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
2.0	(7)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
1.7	(6)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
1.3	(5)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
1.0	(4)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
0.0	(3)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
S	(2)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
U	(1)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
				02550100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD		Median	
14/21 (66.67%)				11.29	1.38		11.50	

### 4 - I attended class:

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means							
Always	(5)	10	71.43%	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div><div>4.71</div></div>							
Frequently	(4)	4	28.57%	<div><div></div></div>								
Moderately	(3)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>								
Occasionally	(2)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>								
Rarely	(1)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>								
					0	25	50	100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median				
14/21 (66.67%)				4.71		0.47		5.00				

# SUNY Potsdam


## Spring 2022 Course Surveys

**Course:** 88823\_EVAL\_WAYS103.007\_grabowlm\_SPRING22: Course Evaluation - ALGORITHMS OF OPRESSION - Grabowski - Spring 2022


**Instructor:** Laura Grabowski \*

**Response Rate:** 14/21 (66.67 %)


### 5 - How many hours outside of class did you devote to this course on average per week?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
9+	(5)	0	0.00%			2.36		
6-8	(4)	0	0.00%					
4-5	(3)	5	35.71%	■				
2-3	(2)	9	64.29%	■				
0-1	(1)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
14/21 (66.67%)				2.36		0.50		2.00


### 6 - Rate the level of your participation in this course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Very active	(5)	3	21.43%	■		3.79		
Active	(4)	7	50.00%	■				
Moderate	(3)	2	14.29%	■				
Minimal	(2)	2	14.29%	■				
Not active	(1)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
14/21 (66.67%)				3.79		0.97		4.00

### 7 - What overall rating would you give yourself as a student in this course?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Excellent	(5)	3	21.43%	■		4.07		
Good	(4)	9	64.29%	■				
Adequate	(3)	2	14.29%	■				
Poor	(2)	0	0.00%					
Very Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
14/21 (66.67%)				4.07		0.62		4.00

### 8 - Laura Grabowski demonstrates competence in the subject area. -

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	8	57.14%	■		4.50		
Agree	(4)	5	35.71%	■				
Neutral	(3)	1	7.14%	■				
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Does not apply	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
14/21 (66.67%)				4.50		0.65		5.00

# SUNY Potsdam






## Spring 2022 Course Surveys

**Course:** 88823\_EVAL\_WAYS103.007\_grabowlm\_SPRING22: Course Evaluation - ALGORITHMS OF OPRESSION - Grabowski - Spring 2022






**Instructor:** Laura Grabowski \*

**Response Rate:** 14/21 (66.67 %)




### 9 - Laura Grabowski's teaching is effective. -

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	6	42.86%					
Agree	(4)	3	21.43%					
Neutral	(3)	4	28.57%					
Disagree	(2)	1	7.14%					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Does not apply	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
14/21 (66.67%)				4.00	1.04	4.00		






### 10 - Laura Grabowski is concerned with students' progress in the course. -

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	8	57.14%					
Agree	(4)	2	14.29%					
Neutral	(3)	3	21.43%					
Disagree	(2)	1	7.14%					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Does not apply	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
14/21 (66.67%)				4.21	1.05	5.00		

### 11 - Laura Grabowski is available to students outside of class -

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	8	57.14%					
Agree	(4)	6	42.86%					
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Does not apply	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
14/21 (66.67%)				4.57	0.51	5.00		

### 12 - Examinations relate well to the stated course objectives.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	6	42.86%					
Agree	(4)	3	21.43%					
Neutral	(3)	2	14.29%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Does not apply	(0)	3	21.43%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
14/21 (66.67%)				4.36	0.81	5.00		

# SUNY Potsdam

## Spring 2022 Course Surveys

**Course:** 88823\_EVAL\_WAYS103.007\_grabowlm\_SPRING22: Course Evaluation - ALGORITHMS OF OPRESSION - Grabowski - Spring 2022

**Instructor:** Laura Grabowski \*

**Response Rate:** 14/21 (66.67 %)

### 13 - The work required in this course relates well to the stated course objectives.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	7	50.00%						
Agree	(4)	6	42.86%						
Neutral	(3)	1	7.14%						
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%						
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%						
Does not apply	(0)	0	0.00%						
				02550100	Question				
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median	
14/21 (66.67%)				4.43		0.65		4.50	

### 14 - Laura Grabowski evaluates student performance fairly. -

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	7	50.00%						
Agree	(4)	4	28.57%						
Neutral	(3)	2	14.29%						
Disagree	(2)	1	7.14%						
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%						
Does not apply	(0)	0	0.00%						
				02550100	Question				
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median	
14/21 (66.67%)				4.21		0.97		4.50	

### 15 - I learned a great deal in this course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	7	50.00%						
Agree	(4)	4	28.57%						
Neutral	(3)	1	7.14%						
Disagree	(2)	2	14.29%						
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%						
Does not apply	(0)	0	0.00%						
				02550100	Question				
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median	
14/21 (66.67%)				4.14		1.10		4.50	

### 16 - Overall rating of Laura Grabowski: -

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Excellent	(5)	7	50.00%						
Good	(4)	5	35.71%						
Adequate	(3)	2	14.29%						
Poor	(2)	0	0.00%						
Very Poor	(1)	0	0.00%						
				02550100	Question				
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median	
14/21 (66.67%)				4.36		0.74		4.50	

# SUNY Potsdam

## Spring 2022 Course Surveys

**Course:** 88823\_EVAL\_WAYS103.007\_grabowlm\_SPRING22: Course Evaluation - ALGORITHMS OF OPRESSION - Grabowski - Spring 2022

**Instructor:** Laura Grabowski \*

**Response Rate:** 14/21 (66.67 %)

### 17 - THE COURSE: Please comment on course content, requirement or any other aspect of the course.

Response Rate	5/21 (23.81%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• This course was very writing intensive and presentation intensive but it helped me learn as a writer and a public speaker.</li><li>• I felt neutral about this course, Im not well interested in stuff like bias or most of topics covered, but I also liked the algorithms aspect. It made a wierd combination for me. I felt lost on some assignments where I felt over prepared but lacked a distinct goal for the presentation assignemts. I did like the reflection assignments.</li><li>• course was alright</li><li>• The course was good. I wish the course had more talks and debates.</li><li>• WAYS 103 opened my eyes to flaws in many of our institutions and how AI is now contributing to these problems. I thought that this was a very interesting course, and I feel as though I am a far more confident public speaker and discussion member as a result of this class.</li></ul>	



### 18 - THE INSTRUCTOR: Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of Laura Grabowski. Would you take another course from this instructor? -

Response Rate	5/21 (23.81%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• I would take another course with Dr. Grabowski</li><li>• I think one of my main struggles with Prof. Grabowski is struggling to keep up. The class is early in the morning and Im just waking up usually which I can imagine my fellow classmates being in the same situation. Profm Grabowski talks quite a bit and I feel as if some things are repeated but reworded. I struggle to keep and process the info. But other than that I think Prof. Grabowski is an excellent teacher.</li><li>• i wouldn't take another course with the instructor</li><li>• I wish the instructor spent more time doing things in the class other than just presentations or talking to us for the entire 50 minutes. I would take another course from this instructor.</li><li>• Dr. Grabowski was a very solid instructor for this course. As someone who is chair of the Computer Science department and knows a lot about AI, Dr. Grbowski has a very good understanding of what she is teaching us in class. I do believe that she is the right person to teach this course. One thing I would say is that sometimes the class felt as though it dragged, that may be just because it is a gen-ed requirement so not everyone may want to participate, but sometimes not everything is completely clear from the instructor. Overall, however, this was a very good class and Dr. Grabowski is a very personable figure, I would definitely take another class with her if given the opportunity.</li></ul>	