

**Norton Speech & Debate Tournament - Jan. 27, 2024**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. C (Room M247)**

**Judge: L4 Jason Blocker (New Philadelphia High School)**

**Entry: 02 Andrew Loznianu**

**Rank: 1**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Well ordered speech, clear and convincing. Worked prior speeches and statements in a useful fashion into speech.

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

Speech was well ordered and supported, given in a clear fashion, and with appropriate volume. Responded to questions well

**Norton Speech & Debate Tournament - Jan. 27, 2024**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. C (Room M247)**

**Judge: I4 Shelly Green (Hoover)**

**Entry: 02 Andrew Loznianu**

**Rank: 2**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

Solid introduction

Nice voice intonation, eye contact and hand gestures

Excellent use of clash

Nice speech timing

Solid answers to questions and need to pass the bill

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

Engaging introduction

Good use of clash

Speech is well organized

Good speech length

**Norton Speech & Debate Tournament - Jan. 27, 2024**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. C (Room M247)**

**Judge: U1 Ronnie Perdue (Canton Central Catholic)**

**Entry: 02 Andrew Loznianu**

**Rank: 2**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Doxing - Aff

-

INTRO:

- Does the speaker give an introduction? Yes.

- Was the introduction creative? Yes.

- Did the speaker read the introduction or speak the introduction? A bit of both. Work on not using notes, at least just for the first few sentences.

- Did the introduction capture the audience's attention? Yes.

ARGUMENTS:

- Did the speaker use 'sign post' with the word "contention" "argument" or similar? No.

- Was the tagline of each contention clear so that the audience knows what the speaker is talking about? Yes.

- Have I already heard the exact same contention already? No.

- How creative was the argument? Was it the first thing a person would think of, or did the speaker think more deeply into the topic? Definitely deeper thought involved here than most others.

- Depth of the argument? Good.

- Sources? Good.

- Did the speaker use the legislation in their speech to show how they are solving their problem on aff, or did they mention a problematic provision on neg? No.

- Refutation of other arguments if not the first aff speech? Good.

PRESENTATION:

- Was the speech stylistically appropriate? Yes.

- Was the speaker's eye contact strong? Medium. A lot of notepad.

- Did the speaker adapt to what has already happened in the room around them, or did they merely recite a manuscript? Yes! Very good. Superior here!
- Confidence? Good.
- Fluency? Good.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS?:

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

Amtrak

-

Seemed a little off your game on this one. Previous comments mostly apply.

**Norton Speech & Debate Tournament - Jan. 27, 2024**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. C (Room M247)**

**Judge: AJ10 Taryn Douglas (Perry)**

**Entry: 02 Andrew Loznianu**

**Rank: 3**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

PO

Good explanation of your rules, but it is a bit long winded.

Good job citing statistics and good job keeping things flowing.

You were firm with timing.

**Speech #2 -- Score: 6**

Topic- Organ Donation

Good use of humor in your interactions.

I would like to see a better connection to your beginning introduction with the topic at issue.

Good use of citations in supporting your position. Good familiarity with your sources and citations- you did not stumble when relaying them.

You have a good voice with good use of inflection and pauses.

Your speech is well written with good transitions.

Good directness in answering your questions.

**Norton Speech & Debate Tournament - Jan. 27, 2024**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. C (Room M247)**

**Judge: U1 Ronnie Perdue (Canton Central Catholic)**

**Entry: 02 Andrew Loznianu**

**Rank: 1**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

P.O.

-

THANK YOU -- for not audibly hitting the gavel to explain your procedure. You know I hate that.

Standing and sitting at the exact times you should.

Remarkable speed.

Great job.

Opinion: You asked for feedback at the beginning, so although this is fairly nitpicky, I'm only writing it because you asked.

Two or three times, at the beginning of cross-ex, you called a speaker, then someone who would've been next stood up. You paused questioning to quickly let the person who was late standing speak. In Ronnie's view, if you're too slow, you lose. They need to now wait until question block two.

--> Not a scoring issue, you asked... I probably wouldn't have even written this otherwise.

**Speech #2 -- Score: 6**

Organs

**Norton Speech & Debate Tournament - Jan. 27, 2024**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. C (Room M247)**

**Judge: X4 Mary Schirripa (Medina)**

**Entry: 02 Andrew Loznianu**

**Rank: 1**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

PO very nice job

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

**Norton Speech & Debate Tournament - Jan. 27, 2024**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. D (Room M248)**

Judge: X4 Mary Schirripa (Medina)

Entry: 04 Chad Wagner

Rank: 5

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

**Norton Speech & Debate Tournament - Jan. 27, 2024**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. D (Room M248)**

Judge: AC3 Jason McCormick (Wadsworth)

Entry: 04 Chad Wagner

Rank: 9

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

3m 8s. Well-researched speech. Points on comparing the US to Japan was new information to this debate session. Good speaking rate and pacing. Good volume. Ran into gavel time. Good handling of Q&A.

**Norton Speech & Debate Tournament - Jan. 27, 2024**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. D (Room M248)**

Judge: Z6 Bruce Carter (Highland)

Entry: 04 Chad Wagner

Rank: 6

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Time: 3:08 Using Japan history very nice. Nice delivery and pace of argument. Q&A: Nice job.

**Norton Speech & Debate Tournament - Jan. 27, 2024**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. D (Room M248)**

Judge: AC3 Jason McCormick (Wadsworth)

Entry: 04 Chad Wagner

Rank: 2

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

Good speech. Well organized. Strongly delivered and well-paced. Good handling of Q&A.

Speech #2 -- Score: 6

Well-researched speech with good points. Brought up a key point not addressed on economic impacts. Good speech, well delivered. Good handling of Q&A - you know the material.

**Norton Speech & Debate Tournament - Jan. 27, 2024**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. D (Room M248)**

Judge: L4 Jason Blocker (New Philadelphia High School)

Entry: 04 Chad Wagner

Rank: 1

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Well ordered and supported speech

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

Speech was clear and supported, did well working in prior speech and questions.

**Norton Speech & Debate Tournament - Jan. 27, 2024**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. D (Room M248)**

Judge: N2 Andrea Offenbecheran (Alliance High School)

Entry: 04 Chad Wagner

Rank: 7

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

A clear intro that had facts and emotion.

You have a voice and eye contact that kept our interest.

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

Strong new arguments and clash in moving the debate forward.

Confident speaking, eye contact, movement.

Keep it slow and steady...

**Norton Speech & Debate Tournament - Jan. 27, 2024**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. B (Room M246)**

**Judge:** T1 Cindy Weygandt (CVCA)

**Entry:** 03 Jackson Moore

**Rank:** 9

**Speech #1 -- Score:** 6

Great intro, you drew me into the subject. By using your facts so well, you were very convincing. You had good eye contact and body language. You spoke clearly and confidently. You had good poise and your passion was great and furthered your point of view. Your conclusion was great by bringing the intro and conclusion together. You wrote a great speech and delivered it well.

You answered your questions well and with respect

**Norton Speech & Debate Tournament - Jan. 27, 2024**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. B (Room M246)**

**Judge:** U3 Jamie Dye (Canton Central Catholic)

**Entry:** 03 Jackson Moore

**Rank:** 3

**Speech #1 -- Score:** 5

Good volume. Uses a varying tone that keeps audience's attention. Makes eye contact and speaks to the audience. Refers to others arguments to counter them in his argument. Brings up a new focus and cites sources supporting the focus point of the argument. Clear request for action favorable to position. Quick and logic response to questions, including citing back to arguments focus.

**Norton Speech & Debate Tournament - Jan. 27, 2024**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. B (Room M246)**

**Judge:** AB9 Julia Bonneau (Revere HS)

**Entry:** 03 Jackson Moore

**Rank:** 7

**Speech #1 -- Score:** 5

You are very smart and bring up great points of evidence. You also ask excellent cross examination questions. Definitely take the time to write more speeches throughout the week because you are really good.

If you find yourself struggling with some words consistently, consider taking the time to practice it out loud during recess sessions.

Good job bringing up the minority argument when fighting in negation. Good job.

Good job mentioning your other constituents. Consider quoting something they've said to bring your speech to the next level.

Good job bringing your intro into your conclusion. Consider bringing it into your transitions as well.

Good job keeping answers concise and strong in cross ex.