

**Copley Invitational Part 2 - Dec. 8, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room 170)**

**Judge: E2 Abigail Meighen (Northwest High School)**

**Entry: 17 Andrew Brantsch**

**Rank: 9**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 4**

Secure American Oil

Good spinning on the economic benefits and clash overall.

Stuttering/stammering, long.

Questioning was awkward and not handled well. You interrupted Arnold before he even asked a question.

**Copley Invitational Part 2 - Dec. 8, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room 170)**

**Judge: N8 Andrea Ferko (Perry)**

**Entry: 17 Andrew Brantsch**

**Rank: 4**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

Your actual speaking skills were good. Liked your eye contact and hand gestures. Voice inflections made it easier to follow and pay attention. Speech was well organized.

**Copley Invitational Part 2 - Dec. 8, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room 170)**

**Judge: C2 Jennifer Yokley (Lake High School)**

**Entry: 17 Andrew Brantsch**

**Rank: 8**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

American Oil (7)

Good job including prior points from affirmations and presenting counter argument.

Prepared with independent data to support arguments.

Prepared for questions.

**Copley Invitational Part 2 - Dec. 8, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room 170)**

**Judge:** N8 Andrea Ferko (Perry)

**Entry:** 16 Andrew Loznianu

**Rank:** 1

**Speech #1 -- Score:** 6

Delivery of speech was very good, you spoke slowly so you could be understood, looked up to make eye contact and actually look at people and hand gestures looked natural. Speech well organized.

Would like to see you be more engaged in session by asking questions.

**Speech #2 -- Score:** 6

You recovered well from technical issues during your PO. You did a good job keeping order in the session

**Copley Invitational Part 2 - Dec. 8, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room 170)**

**Judge:** E2 Abigail Meighen (Northwest High School)

**Entry:** 16 Andrew Loznianu

**Rank:** 2

**Speech #1 -- Score:** 4

Secure American Oil

Yay clash. Elaboration on other points made and clash with Coleman + Leseure.

A tad bit messy and long.

Questioning was awkward.

**Speech #2 -- Score:** 4

PO-ship

You made a handful of mistakes.

For instance: Preusser had one more question than you were tracking because he asked a 10 second long block right after Tillison at the end of Moore's PO-ship & you weren't paying attention to a statement being made during questioning.

**Copley Invitational Part 2 - Dec. 8, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room 170)**

**Judge:** C2 Jennifer Yokley (Lake High School)

**Entry:** 16 Andrew Loznianu

**Rank:** 1

**Speech #1 -- Score:** 6

American Oil (7)

Great intro

Showed knowledge of topic.

Very well spoken

Spent very little time looking at notes

Prepared for questions

**Speech #2 -- Score:** 6

PO

Good job keeping order and being efficient.

You did a good job of adjusting to challenges.

**Copley Invitational Part 2 - Dec. 8, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room 170)**

**Judge: E2 Abigail Meighen (Northwest High School)**

**Entry: 23 Chad Wagner**

**Rank: 8**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Good intro haha.

Yay a new-ish contention on Ukraine & Europe and an actual new contention about drilling.

I like your "off-shore drilling as an actual solution" contention.

Good handling of Q.

**Copley Invitational Part 2 - Dec. 8, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room 170)**

**Judge: C2 Jennifer Yokley (Lake High School)**

**Entry: 23 Chad Wagner**

**Rank: 9**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

American Oil (7)

"Lack of Understanding" was a unique approach and worked well.

Good points and good job appealing to the idea of doing right by our allies.

I like that you made good eye contact with the chamber and used your notes for support.

Good poise and responses during questioning.

**Copley Invitational Part 2 - Dec. 8, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room 170)**

**Judge: N8 Andrea Ferko (Perry)**

**Entry: 23 Chad Wagner**

**Rank: 2**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

You made excellent and original points. Using recognizable names gave the speech points of interest made it easier to pay attention. Great job answering questions. Good Job!

**Copley Invitational Part 2 - Dec. 8, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room 172)**

**Judge: A4 Maria Loznianu (Stow)**

**Entry: 21 Jackson Moore**

**Rank: 8**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

D23-12 ICE neg

Immediate change - good explanation

Denies gov duties good data to support your arguments.

Don't forget to add clash.

**Copley Invitational Part 2 - Dec. 8, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room 172)**

**Judge: H1 Marlena Rozner (Our Lady of the Elms)**

**Entry: 21 Jackson Moore**

**Rank: 3**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

Great rhetorical skills--good vocal quality and excellent vocabulary.

Immediately began arguing with multiple relevant statistics and examples. The way Rep Moore brought up the reformation of the Social Security Admin as a previous example was relevant and persuasive.

Clear argumentation. Answered questions effectively especially Rep Baniya's.

3:03

**Copley Invitational Part 2 - Dec. 8, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room 172)**

**Judge: G3 Jim Byrne (Wooster)**

**Entry: 21 Jackson Moore**

**Rank: 1**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

Great speech and delivery, very natural and engaging. Your question handling showed your knowledge of the issue.

**Copley Invitational Part 2 - Dec. 8, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room 172)**

**Judge:** A4 Maria Loznianu (Stow)

**Entry:** 22 Jenny Baniya

**Rank:** 5

**Speech #1 -- Score:** 5

D23-12 ICE aff

Good examples of abuses.

money - excess of waste - good arguments so late in debate.

So late in the debate you need to have more clash.

**Copley Invitational Part 2 - Dec. 8, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room 172)**

**Judge:** H1 Marlena Rozner (Our Lady of the Elms)

**Entry:** 22 Jenny Baniya

**Rank:** 6

**Speech #1 -- Score:** 5

Good vocal quality. There were some fluency breaks but they were not excessively distracting.

Rep Baniya has excellent writing skills. The statistics and stories of ICE detention centers were new to the argument and advanced the debate. Especially the wrongdoings that stood in the way of the legal immigration process... very good.

Answering period was not quite as potent as the speech itself, but still good job!

**Copley Invitational Part 2 - Dec. 8, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room 172)**

**Judge:** G3 Jim Byrne (Wooster)

**Entry:** 22 Jenny Baniya

**Rank:** 9

**Speech #1 -- Score:** 5

Your speech and argument was great. Your delivery is flat and your movements looked scripted. Relax, you have this subject down so relax and tell your story. Great job.

**Copley Invitational Part 2 - Dec. 8, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room 170)**

**Judge:** E2 Abigail Meighen (Northwest High School)

**Entry:** 19 Kristian Leseure

**Rank:** 4

**Speech #1 -- Score:** 5

Secure American Oil

Good clash and handling of cross.

**Speech #2 -- Score:** 4

Abolish ICE

Your claims of lack of abuse are ignoring the several pieces of evidence provided about sexual and physical abuse.

I don't see how your second contention tracks.

**Copley Invitational Part 2 - Dec. 8, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room 170)**

**Judge:** N8 Andrea Ferko (Perry)

**Entry:** 19 Kristian Leseure

**Rank:** 9

**Speech #1 -- Score:** 4

Use speech skills. Inflections, eye contact, etc. so that your speech isn't "flat". Make interesting to listen to so people have an easier time paying attention. I like how you were engaged by asking questions during the session.

**Speech #2 -- Score:** 5

Dragging out the ends of words didn't make sense to me. You did it too much at first and then not at all in the middle and then a little towards the end. Not sure what you are trying to accomplish with it. Speech was a little difficult to follow.

**Copley Invitational Part 2 - Dec. 8, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room 170)**

**Judge:** C2 Jennifer Yokley (Lake High School)

**Entry:** 19 Kristian Leseure

**Rank:** 9

**Speech #1 -- Score:** 6

American Oil (7)

Good points of contention & supporting evidence

Good summarization

Prepared to respond to questions

**Speech #2 -- Score:** 5

Abolish ICE (12)

Good emotion.

Your rate was good - I like how it varied slightly throughout the piece to emphasize your content.

Good responses to questions showed you were well-prepared.

**Copley Invitational Part 2 - Dec. 8, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room 172)**

**Judge: H1 Marlena Rozner (Our Lady of the Elms)**

**Entry: 20 Lukas Prominski**

**Rank: 9**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Voice could have been louder, but pacing was good. Increasing vocal inflection would connect better with the chamber.

Clearly, Rep Prominski studied the legislation well and understood the issues. I think the second point of argument was not exactly relevant--it brought up statistics that had not much to do with the legislation. Even if the FBI is committing more crimes, that's not what this bill is about.

Answers to questions were decent.

2:47

**Copley Invitational Part 2 - Dec. 8, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room 172)**

**Judge: G3 Jim Byrne (Wooster)**

**Entry: 20 Lukas Prominski**

**Rank: 6**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

Your speech and arguments are good. Your delivery is good but a little flat. maybe read less. Great job.

**Copley Invitational Part 2 - Dec. 8, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room 172)**

**Judge: A4 Maria Loznianu (Stow)**

**Entry: 20 Lukas Prominski**

**Rank: 3**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

D23-12 ICE neg

Good intro in direct clash! Loved it!

Also you had good data to support your arguments.

Just a bit of increase eye contact would've give the 6 from me!

**Copley Invitational Part 2 - Dec. 8, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room 172)**

**Judge:** A4 Maria Loznianu (Stow)

**Entry:** 18 Nadia Farrell

**Rank:** 1

**Speech #1 -- Score:** 5

D23-12 ICE neg

Super duper intro ...short and catchy :)

Just a bit struggling at the beginning.

**Speech #2 -- Score:** 6

D23-08 Worker rights neg

Decreased productivity - excellent data supporting your argument! Yes finally I heard some common sense and logical argument.

**Copley Invitational Part 2 - Dec. 8, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room 172)**

**Judge:** G3 Jim Byrne (Wooster)

**Entry:** 18 Nadia Farrell

**Rank:** 9

**Speech #1 -- Score:** 6

Really like your speech. You're relaxed but need to slow down a bit to keep the flow going. Your handling of the question showed your knowledge of the subject, nice job.

**Speech #2 -- Score:** 6

Great speech, much better flow. Nice job.

**Copley Invitational Part 2 - Dec. 8, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room 172)**

**Judge:** H1 Marlana Rozner (Our Lady of the Elms)

**Entry:** 18 Nadia Farrell

**Rank:** 8

**Speech #1 -- Score:** 4

OK vocal quality. Could have been louder, but used inflection well!

I think the first point--"eliminating ICE would open our borders--" was not exactly logical. I understand the point of view but this felt somewhat irrelevant to the bill. Good use of statistics from reputable sources.

Good presentation but the argumentation was not as solid as some other speeches. Answered the first question well, the second was not as effective.

3:07

**Speech #2 -- Score:** 5

Good vocal quality--solid and very understandable.

Rep Farrell brought up unique points of the argument in this bill. Good sources cited and the representative was clearly paying close attention to the debate. Good job!

The first answer in the questioning period brought up cyber-loafing again, but I think this isn't altogether true for things like the healthcare industry.

3:03