

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room MS 304)

Judge: AE1 Ronnie Perdue (Canton Central Catholic)

Entry: 51 Andrew Brantsch

Rank: 2

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

AI - Aff

-

Work on delivery of intro without using notes; especially when it's something not particularly difficult. Reciting the US Marine's hymn is not something I would say is overly difficult to remember.

Make sure you don't rehash arguments. Rehash means mentioning the same argument someone else already presented. If you find yourself needing to use a phrase like "Representative _____ already mentioned this, butâ€¦" or something similar to that, odds are it is rehash and you probably shouldn't say it. Instead, there's a few things you could do. Replace the argument with clash (refuting other arguments from earlier speakers), or you could have extra contentions that you prepare throughout the week in case someone takes the one you wanted to present. Another option would be not speaking on the topic and giving one of the first speeches on whatever topic is next.

--> To be fair, you did a great job convincing the chamber to let you give a speech when it wasn't your turn. This on top of PO'ing is probably going to set you high up.

Make sure you're causing clash. Clash is the refutation of other speakers' arguments. Unless you are delivering the first affirmative speech, you should *always* be doing this. The later in the debate that you speak, the more clash we should hear. To effectively cause clash, you have to do three things. First, tell me the name of a representative who spoke. Second, tell me what their argument was briefly. Finally, tell me what your response to that argument is. Why is your point stronger? Why is their point incorrect? When we don't do things like this, it diminishes the "debate" aspect of this activity.

--> The later you speak (~50min here of debate already heard) the more clash I should hear. In your case, I didn't hear any.

--> One very minor clash point after I wrote that.

Fun full-circle ending.

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

P.O.

-

Be a little more efficient with your procedure. It took kind of a long time, and there were things we did not need.

-->Not really a scoring issue, just something to consider.

Good job standing/sitting at the appropriate and correct times.

During questioning, work on pointing your gavel at the next questioner. It lets the questioner know to be ready.

--> You did better with this after I wrote it. Well done.

Work on calling questions a little faster

--> not a big deal given the chambers are massive today.

When voting on legislation -- Try to be a little quicker. It's not something I care to be 100% perfect... like if you miscount by 1 or 2, at least as far as I'm concerned, it's not a big deal.

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room MS 304)

Judge: AK5 Eric Langenfeld (CVCA)

Entry: 51 Andrew Brantsch

Rank: 4

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

The Marine corps hymn opening is a nice touch. Well done. You have a passionate way of presenting.

The eye contact is not really happening the way it should, you spend a lot of time looking at your notes. Work to get off book, it will improve your score. I'm giving you a 5 but it really should be lower based on that issue. Connect with your audience.

You have great volume, vocal variety, and your voice carries well. You also have fantastic hair, and that never hurts.

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

PO, great job.

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room MS 304)

Judge: H1 Meg Korff (Hudson Middle School)

Entry: 51 Andrew Brantsch

Rank: 9

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Nice intro, very engaging. Your eye contact was great, it was obvious you were very prepared. Nice pacing and volume. The only critique I have is to slow down just a bit in order for your points to "sink in". Speech time 3:04.

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

PO- Nicely done, kept everything moving smoothly and on time

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. A (Room MS 304)

Judge: AE1 Ronnie Perdue (Canton Central Catholic)

Entry: 51 Andrew Brantsch

Rank: 3

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Data - Aff

-

Work on delivering intro without notes

--> Stating the premise of "Clue" is not so difficult that you can't do it.

Most previous comments apply. Good overall.

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. A (Room MS 304)

Judge: L5 Lakshmi Natarajan (Highland)

Entry: 51 Andrew Brantsch

Rank: 4

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Speech 1: Aff: Data Harvesting

Timing: 3 mins 10 secs

Your speech was well organized. Stuttered few times. Good job handling questions.

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. A (Room MS 304)

Judge: X4 Shemsa Al-Abid (Northwest High School)

Entry: 51 Andrew Brantsch

Rank: 9

Speech #1 -- Score: 3

Data Harvesting - Aff - 3:10

- good eye contact and level of confidence

- good intro and conclusion

- points a little weak and lost

- Questioning - your voice disappeared during questioning. knowing the type of data Russia has acquired.

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. C (Room MS 421)

Judge: V7 Mike Du (MIDDLE SCHOOL -- Revere MS)

Entry: 49 Andrew Loznianu

Rank: 1

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

PO:

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

AI: aff

good intro

good research material and data

handled cross with confidence and knowledge

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. C (Room MS 421)

Judge: O3 Raphaelito Miguel Nicolas (New Philadelphia High School)

Entry: 49 Andrew Loznianu

Rank: 1

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Good work being PO

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

Great work delivering your speech. Great work in cross x.

Time 3:12

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. C (Room MS 421)

Judge: B2 Diana Helfrich (Medina)

Entry: 49 Andrew Loznianu

Rank: 3

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Your voice, volume, speed and hand gestures are excellent. You use emphasis effectively to make your points more clear. Your body language is relaxed and confident.

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

PO You controlled the floor well, organized and clear.

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. C (Room MS 421)

Judge: O3 Raphaelito Miguel Nicolas (New Philadelphia High School)

Entry: 49 Andrew Loznianu

Rank: 3

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

Great work delivering your speech. Great work in cross x.

Time 2:58

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. C (Room MS 421)

Judge: V11 Arushi Sen (MIDDLE SCHOOL -- Revere MS)

Entry: 49 Andrew Loznianu

Rank: 7

Speech #1 -- Score: 4

new points and referencing

good eye contact
positive attitude

2:50 minutes

answered was not to the point

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. C (Room MS 421)

Judge: AE4 Jared Baldwin (Canton Central Catholic)

Entry: 49 Andrew Loznianu

Rank: 2

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

Neg

Opening creates a clear path for the argument to follow. Logic follows and key points are supported by evidence. The argument wisely (as the final argument to be heard) elects to spend its time rebutting the opposition as to allow those criticism to be the final words hanging in the air.

Delivery of information is not only confident but includes masterful use of vocal inflection.

Responses to questions are stiff, effective, and directly on point to the discussion.

2:58s

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. C (Room MS 421)

Judge: V7 Mike Du (MIDDLE SCHOOL -- Revere MS)

Entry: 52 Griffin Moore

Rank: 9

Speech #1 -- Score: 4

AI AFF:

good material and data

could use more practice so read less from paper

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. C (Room MS 421)

Judge: O3 Raphelito Miguel Nicolas (New Philadelphia High School)

Entry: 52 Griffin Moore

Rank: 4

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Great work delivering your speech. Great work in cross x

Time 3:03

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. C (Room MS 421)

Judge: B2 Diana Helfrich (Medina)

Entry: 52 Griffin Moore

Rank: 6

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Very clear speaking voice, excellent volume and hand gestures. Great job answering questions, answering clearly and concisely.

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. C (Room MS 421)

Judge: O3 Raphelito Miguel Nicolas (New Philadelphia High School)

Entry: 52 Griffin Moore

Rank: 2

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

Great work delivering your speech. Great work in cross x but try not to use filler words like ums.

Time 3:02

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

great work being PO

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. C (Room MS 421)

Judge: V11 Arushi Sen (MIDDLE SCHOOL -- Revere MS)

Entry: 52 Griffin Moore

Rank: 4

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

new points

strong presentation

good eye contract

3:02 seconds

chamber's answer confident

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. C (Room MS 421)

Judge: AE4 Jared Baldwin (Canton Central Catholic)

Entry: 52 Griffin Moore

Rank: 1

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

Aff

Opening provides a clear thesis and appeals to effective rhetoric. Argument is structured in a clear roadmap and follows its structure throughout.

Content aggressively pursues the strongest arguments presented by opponents during the discussion and does so effectively.

Speech is confident and features very few stumbles. Articulation and inflection to punctuate points is powerful and well executed.

Taking on tough questions directly, relentlessly, and sharply. Class work.

3:02s

Speech #2 -- Score: 6

PO

Layout of procedure was clear at the beginning and made sure that everyone understood. Rulings were administered fairly throughout, and the floor was run efficiently.

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room MS 404)

Judge: F2 Maria Loznianu (Stow)

Entry: 54 Jackson Moore

Rank: 5

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

N23-13 AI neg

Work on increasing your eye contact during intro, if possible.

I liked your tone and pace during your speech. Good work bringing the current affairs into the speech.

Would've strengthen your speech some data to support your arguments.

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room MS 404)

Judge: AD4 Brianna DiMichele (GlenOak)

Entry: 54 Jackson Moore

Rank: 3

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

AI: good intro to draw listeners in, fully explained points with most updated information, good pace which allowed emphasis on important points being made, questions were answered with confidence

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room MS 404)

Judge: X4 Shemsa Al-Abid (Northwest High School)

Entry: 54 Jackson Moore

Rank: 9

Speech #1 -- Score: 3

AI - Negate - 2:43

US/China Treaty

Questions - good job maintaining your stance

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. B (Room MS 404)

Judge: M1 Mike Wasowski (A.I.Root Middle School)

Entry: 54 Jackson Moore

Rank: 9

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

very good argument against. good pacing and delivery

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. B (Room MS 404)

Judge: F2 Maria Loznianu (Stow)

Entry: 54 Jackson Moore

Rank: 5

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

N23-15 Neg Youth Athlete Protection Act

Good intro confident :)

Not enough funding - good supported argument, easy to follow.

Ability - crucial issues - lack of trainers and resources.

Work on your confidence, good job otherwise!

You had a great day today, good questions and active in the room!

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. B (Room MS 404)

Judge: W10 Ashley Cosgrave (Perry)

Entry: 54 Jackson Moore

Rank: 9

Speech #1 -- Score: 4

Great inflection and appeal to pathos. You tend to mumble / get quieter towards the end of your sentences which can make it either hard to understand your point or take away from the magnitude of your impacts. Your second contention is weaker than your first. You need to further elaborate on what ability means. By my understanding, you are saying that if someone sucks at basketball, they shouldn't be able to play it. People can get better at sports with practice in school sports. Additionally, participation does not mean inclusion on Division 1 teams. It just means inclusion on a sports team. So someone who sucks could be put on their novice team or division 3. Confused on the point your trying to make, and I think fellow representatives are as well. I think you can forgo this contention and replace it with something better. Good responses to questions.

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room MS 404)

Judge: AD4 Brianna DiMichele (GlenOak)

Entry: 50 Kristian Leseure

Rank: 2

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

AI: Good pace, clear speaking voice with variations to emphasis your points, well researched to back up your speech, good eye contact, you answered questions well and clearly

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

Data: Overall good job! you clearly made your points, and vocal variety added to the emphasis. The speech was well researched and organized. good eye contact. Watch just walking to walk-make it look more intentional. good job answering questions- you were confident and clearly understood what you were speaking on

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room MS 404)

Judge: F2 Maria Loznianu (Stow)

Entry: 50 Kristian Leseure

Rank: 1

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

N23-13 AI aff

Future of warfare - stop ignoring it

The NEED of AI - best defense.

At times your pace of speaking got too fast and you were slurring the words.

Application of AI - what can do to protect us.

Good data supporting your argument.

Speech #2 -- Score: 6

N23 - 17 Data harvesting aff

Pure profits and advertising

You had a good speaking pace and inflections during speaking.

Good job taking the first aff - you presented very well during questioning.

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room MS 404)

Judge: X4 Shemsa Al-Abid (Northwest High School)

Entry: 50 Kristian Leseure

Rank: 2

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

AI - Affirmation - 2:57

Behind in technology. Advancement.

nice job pointing out other reps arguments

Questions - good job over speaking the questions

Speech #2 -- Score: 4

Data Harvesting - Affirmation - 2:45

- driving profit and Targeting

- Good intro

- good eye contact

- Questioning - good job. Try to be more assertive.

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. B (Room MS 404)

Judge: F2 Maria Loznianu (Stow)

Entry: 50 Kristian Leseure

Rank: 1

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

N23-15 neg Youth Athlete Protection Act

Lack of need - it's already done - citing two sources :)

Good direct clash - one.

No clear definition of terms in the bill -

Good Q&A

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. B (Room MS 404)

Judge: M1 Mike Wasowski (A.I.Root Middle School)

Entry: 50 Kristian Leseure

Rank: 5

Speech #1 -- Score: 4

good delivery. good titanic analogy

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. B (Room MS 404)

Judge: W10 Ashley Cosgrave (Perry)

Entry: 50 Kristian Leseure

Rank: 9

Speech #1 -- Score: 4

I think it is very difficult to prove that it is being solved in the status quo. Rather than solved, I would say that there is already laws and legislation in place providing these provisions. Proving that this legislation is pointless, not necessarily that it is solved. Especially when there are multiple pieces of evidence saying otherwise that have been brought up previously. I think you need to address these ahead of time in your speech. When you use statistics make sure that you are elaborating and show the magnitude of those statistics.

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room MS 304)

Judge: AE1 Ronnie Perdue (Canton Central Catholic)

Entry: 48 Nadia Farrell

Rank: 5

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

AI - NEg

-

Try not to read your intro. Your intro is the most important part of your speech because it determines whether the audience is going to listen to you or not. When we hear 12-15 speeches on the same topic, it gets very boring after awhile, and the judges and rest of the chamber are looking for a reason that your speech stands out. If you deliver your intro from memory, it grasps everyone's attention much better than reading from a paper.

Don't be afraid to get more creative in your intro rather than just jumping right into the substance of your arguments. Tell a brief story, start with a quote, etc. The idea is to try to be more memorable than the other speakers. Giving more of an introduction will help with that.

Try using some of the legislation in your speech; you make good general arguments, but it would be much stronger if you tied them directly to a provision in the legislation and tell us why your argument is specifically important.

Too much reading from your manuscript throughout. Try to be a little more extemporaneous/delivery with much more eye contact. Your arguments are good, but that's only half of the battle. You have to also present the arguments well.

Outstanding amount of research, evidence, etc. -- arguments are very well thought-out, explained with good analysis.

Make sure you're causing clash. Clash is the refutation of other speakers' arguments. Unless you are delivering the first affirmative speech, you should *always* be doing this. The later in the debate that you speak, the more clash we should hear. To effectively cause clash, you have to do three things. First, tell me the name of a representative who spoke. Second, tell me what their argument was briefly. Finally, tell me what your response to that argument is. Why is your point stronger? Why is their point incorrect? When we don't do things like this, it diminishes the "debate" aspect of this activity.

--> Unless you are the very first speaker on the topic, you need to have this in your speech somewhere.

Great cross-ex answers.

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

Youth Athlete

-

Most previous comments apply

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room MS 304)

Judge: AK5 Eric Langenfeld (CVCA)

Entry: 48 Nadia Farrell

Rank: 2

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

Nice use of statistics. I like your argument here. The speech is very well written, I was persuaded!

You are doing a much better job with eye contact than last week, good job. You have great control, good volume, you project well and are very clear and easy to understand. You speak quickly but do not seem rushed, which is fantastic.

3:02

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

I didn't follow your first argument about the funding. It just didn't make a lot of sense to me. Regarding the water quality, don't you believe that schools have a responsibility to provide clean water to their students regardless of athletics? Look this speech over for clarity.

Great job as before with movement, gestures, great vocal tone and projection, you sound fantastic. You are easy to listen to.

3:08.

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room MS 304)

Judge: H1 Meg Korff (Hudson Middle School)

Entry: 48 Nadia Farrell

Rank: 4

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

Nice job- good eye contact and volume. It was obvious that you were very prepared and had done your research; nice use of data and facts. It was obvious how prepared you were based on your answers during the Q and A. The only critique I have is to slow down just a bit, you had really good points but sometimes they were lost in the speed in which you were speaking.

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

"it's not just a possibility; it's a definite probability"- nice line. Your delivery was on point, very engaging. Again, I'd like you to slow down just a bit so that your facts/points can really sink in. Nice job appealing to your fellow representatives. Speech 3:08.

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. A (Room MS 304)

Judge: AE1 Ronnie Perdue (Canton Central Catholic)

Entry: 48 Nadia Farrell

Rank: 4

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Data Harvesting

-

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. A (Room MS 304)

Judge: L5 Lakshmi Natarajan (Highland)

Entry: 48 Nadia Farrell

Rank: 6

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Speech 1: Aff: Data Harvesting

Timing: 2 mins 59 secs

Your speech was well organized. Stuttered few times. Good job including clash. You handled questions with confidence.

Copley Invitational - Nov. 18, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. A (Room MS 304)

Judge: X4 Shemsa Al-Abid (Northwest High School)

Entry: 48 Nadia Farrell

Rank: 1

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Data Harvesting - Aff - 2:59

- Intro relatable and catching - Advertising
- new point - selling data via medical field
- Slow down. you have good points but you run through your speech
- good level of confidence
- conclusion brings back the original catch from Intro
- Questioning - good job bringing the points back to your speech.