

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room G200)**

**Judge:** AJ1 Mark Gooch (Wooster)

**Entry:** 71 Andrew Loznianu

**Rank:** 4

**Speech #1 -- Score:** 5

Aff-hydrogen 3 mins

Pro

- good job citing sources/statistics
- good job explaining legislations
- good analogy
- good job using time

Neg

- get more comfortable with speech so more extemporaneous

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room G200)**

**Judge:** AV10 Melanie Stuthard (Revere HS)

**Entry:** 71 Andrew Loznianu

**Rank:** 2

**Speech #1 -- Score:** 6

Good speed, easy to follow

Good eye contact

Used solid evidence

Like the "snooze button" intro

3 min

Handled cross x

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room G200)**

**Judge:** AF6 Stephen Imler (Northwest High School)

**Entry:** 71 Andrew Loznianu

**Rank:** 5

**Speech #1 -- Score:** 5

Congratulations on your authorship Representative Loznianu. Your quantitative evidence regarding when we will run out of resources was staggering and really helped your case.

Great job with the questioning block.

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. A (Room G200)**

**Judge:** AF6 Stephen Imler (Northwest High School)

**Entry:** 71 Andrew Loznianu

**Rank:** 2

**Speech #1 -- Score:** 5

Love the intro... Great job.

Always good to address other Representatives and what they previously brought to the debate.

Nice job handling the questioning block.

**Speech #2 -- Score:** 5

Speaker Recognition

Presiding preferences are clearly explained at the beginning of the session and executed consistently. The P.O. is universally respected and trusted by his/her peers, and is consistent in recognition (very few errors) and rulings, distributing speeches throughout the room geographically, equally

between schools of the same size, and among individuals.

#### Procedure

The P.O. has command of parliamentary procedure (motions) and uses this almost transparently to run a fair and efficient chamber, seldom consulting written rules and ruling immediately on whether motions pass or fail.

#### Delivery/Presence

The P.O. dynamically displays a command and relates well to the chamber through his/her vocal and physical presence. Word choice is economical and eloquent.

### **63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

#### **Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. A (Room G200)**

**Judge: BN3 Vijaya K Yadlapalli (Olentangy High School)**

**Entry: 71 Andrew Loznianu**

**Rank: 4**

#### **Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

His articulation is good and maintained the eye contact to the audience while representing the bill.

He came up with enough evidences to support the bill.

He could not answer the questions properly with enough details.

#### **Speech #2 -- Score: 4**

He organized the Debate with enough details

### **63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

#### **Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. A (Room G200)**

**Judge: G7 Michelle Leng (Hawken School)**

**Entry: 71 Andrew Loznianu**

**Rank: 2**

#### **Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

great call out of other speakers' facts or logic flaws to support yours. Good pace and smooth delivery, echoed your opening AGD towards the end that completed your speech, eye contacts, command of the room are great. and great Q&A

#### **Speech #2 -- Score: 6**

this is for PO judge.

great explanation in your procedures.

### **63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

#### **Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 140 PHS Congress Final)**

**Judge: AP2 Celine Roulet (New Philadelphia High School)**

**Entry: 71 Andrew Loznianu**

**Rank: 4**

#### **Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Great points on what is necessary to prevent conflict. Great clash and new strong points added to the negation that is essential to the argument on the legislation. You showed well how passing would harm more than anything and show how a country is acting in its own self-interest. Great job with the counterargument.

#### **Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

Excellent POship. You definitely had a fair and efficient chamber. Keep it up. Just work on your mistakes with orders.

### **63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

#### **Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 140 PHS Congress Final)**

**Judge: AJ1 Mark Gooch (Wooster)**

**Entry: 71 Andrew Loznianu**

**Rank: 6**

#### **Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Neg-Turkey 3:00

Positive

- cited supporting evidence
- clear presentation of issues
- reference prior speakers

Negative

- get more comfortable with speech so more extemporaneous

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

PO

Positive

- ran efficient chamber
- good job presenting procedures

Negative

- little heavy on gavel

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 140 PHS Congress Final)**

**Judge: AY1 Diann Barlow (Wayne High School)**

**Entry: 71 Andrew Loznianu**

**Rank: 6**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Good pace of speaking and volume is good. Good eye contact. Referenced other speakers in the chamber. Well prepared for questions and had ready answers. G

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

Good job as PO. You communicated your procedures clearly. Treat your fellow representatives with respect.

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 140 PHS Congress Final)**

**Judge: BN2 Michael Langan (Olentangy High School)**

**Entry: 71 Andrew Loznianu**

**Rank: 5**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Confident

Good use of notes not to read but for guidance

Passionate, but not confrontational

Well spoken

Convincing

Good points made

Appropriate use of statistics

Good reference to your counter arguments/opponents

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

As P.O.

efficient

Fair

Professional

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 140 PHS Congress Final)**

**Judge: XX5 Jared Baldwin (Independent Judges)**

**Entry: 71 Andrew Loznianu**

**Rank: 3**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

Aff.

Opening sets up a logical organizational structure. Argument's logic follows. Evidentiary support is provided to each premise.

There was some stumbling part way through that seemed a little disorganized. This is relatively minor and could be ironed out with additional prep.

Handled questioning incredibly, addressing each argument at its core.

3:00s

**Speech #2 -- Score: 6**

Procedures clearly laid out in the beginning. Procedure was adhered to strictly with no visible mistakes. There was a concerted attempt to be fair to all participants. Efficient session.

## **63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

### **Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. H (Room G209)**

**Judge:** AW1 Jennifer Menegay (Lake High School)

**Entry:** 76 Chad Wagner

**Rank:** 1

**Speech #1 -- Score:** 6

N2

Negation 3:09

Nice composure as you spoke. Nice job working on your points for your peers. Your voice inflection and pace were good. You did a nice job walking your room. Nice eye contact, from time to time you focused on your notes and not the chamber.

Nice cross-confident and worked with each person to bring your point back

**Speech #2 -- Score:** 6

Russian Aggression

Affirmation 2:56

Nice job using your voice inflection as you present your points. Overall nice job. good eye contact, you know your researched points that back your affirmation. Very strong conclusion. Nice job holding the chamber engaged

Questioning

Be careful in responding so as not to come across as condescending.

## **63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

### **Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. H (Room G209)**

**Judge:** AM18 Tiffanie Kestner (Perry)

**Entry:** 76 Chad Wagner

**Rank:** 2

**Speech #1 -- Score:** 6

Introduction is engaging. Your argument and local was clear and easy to follow. Good reference to other arguments and speeches. Well researched arguments.

Good interaction with questions and answer. Well prepared.

**Speech #2 -- Score:** 6

Good advance of arguments and incorporating other arguments that interact with previous speeches. Good use of resources. Confident and good hand gestures. Good use of eye contact.

## **63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

### **Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. H (Room G209)**

**Judge:** W9 Mark Andrews (Solon)

**Entry:** 76 Chad Wagner

**Rank:** 1

**Speech #1 -- Score:** 6

good reference to other reps arguments

excellent argument, delivery, very professional, confident, articulate, great eye contact.

great references, stats leading to argument, very strong argument

nice intro and summation tying in together, very professional

good use of time

very confident in q/a.

**Speech #2 -- Score:** 6

also a base in Canada Alert.

China got there first in the S.china sea - could be a good analogy

oil stats good. claims - how UN cannot evaluate - very good.

good "peace through strength" -

very persuasive  
good speed, calm, confident, articulate, eye contact

questions  
very good defences

## **63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

### **Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. H (Room G209)**

**Judge: BN7 Shahid Azam (Olentangy High School)**

**Entry: 76 Chad Wagner**

**Rank: 2**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

- \* Content Relevance: Content aligns with speech's purpose and topic. Case backed up with good evidence. Well researched topic.
- \* Organization: Information is well structured, good intro, body and conclusion
- \* Clarity and Coherence: Speech flows smoothly with logical transitions
- \* Vocal Quality: Good tone, pitch and volume
- \* Pronunciation and Diction: Clarity in Speech, good pronunciation
- \* Pace: too fast, too slow,
- \* Nonverbal Communication: Good body language, eye contact, facial expression. Try moving around the room.

Answered the questions well.

**Speech #2 -- Score: 6**

PO - Conducted the session very well.

## **63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

### **Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. H (Room G209)**

**Judge: BI1 Gopala Pulipaka (Mason)**

**Entry: 76 Chad Wagner**

**Rank: 1**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

The presentation is strong. good references; good eye contact; Questions are answered adequately. The speaker contributes to the spontaneity of debate, effectively synthesizing response and refutation of previous ideas with new arguments. responds to questions with confidence and clarity

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

The P.O. demonstrates competency in procedure. The P.O. displays a satisfactory command of the chamber in his/her vocal and physical presence.

## **63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

### **Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. H (Room G209)**

**Judge: AW1 Jennifer Menegay (Lake High School)**

**Entry: 76 Chad Wagner**

**Rank: 3**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

Hydro Power  
Affirmation 3:01

You have a solid presence as you speak. You are concise as you lay out your points, studies, and want for affirmation. Nice job with eye contact and keeping your chamber engaged. Overall good job. You know your information and stay confident but respectful

Questioning

Be careful in how you respond to questions asked. You don't want to come across as condescending to your peer when it is not warranted. It was a fine line, just be careful

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

PO Session 2

Nice job keeping the chamber engaged. Nice job respecting your members as you held your rules with the gavel. From time to time you spoke a little fast and didn't wait to see if judges caught everything

overall nice job

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 140 PHS Congress Final)**

**Judge: BN2 Michael Langan (Olentangy High School)**

**Entry: 76 Chad Wagner**

**Rank: 9**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 4**

Confident

Well spoken

Good points

Nice call out of one of your opposing representatives

Nice job in debate defense

As the speech went on the mood turned more to reading than open dialogue

**Speech #2 -- Score: 4**

Confident

Good points

Professional

Need just a little more independence of your paper/speech

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 140 PHS Congress Final)**

**Judge: AJ1 Mark Gooch (Wooster)**

**Entry: 76 Chad Wagner**

**Rank: 7**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Neg-Turkey

Positive

-use of cited supporting evidence

-military implications; immigrants

-good job laying out arguments

-good job responding to prior speaker

-good intro/analogy

Negative

-get more comfortable with speech so more extemporaneous

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

Neg-Kenya 3:00

Positive

-cited supporting evidence

-good intro

-reference prior speaker

-introduced corruption issue

Negative

-get more comfortable with speech so more extemporaneous

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 140 PHS Congress Final)**

**Judge: AY1 Diann Barlow (Wayne High School)**

**Entry: 76 Chad Wagner**

**Rank: 8**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Good eye contact with the chamber. Speakers pace and volume good. Speaker is confident and communicated his ideas well. Well prepared to answer questions and answered with confidence.

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

Referenced other speakers. Speaks clearly and at a good volume and pace.

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 140 PHS Congress Final)**

**Judge: AP2 Celine Roulet (New Philadelphia High School)**

**Entry: 76 Chad Wagner**

**Rank: 7**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 4**

Great first negation speech that starts up some great counterarguments towards the Aff. I do think that it could be set up better to create more clash for the debate overall.

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

Liked your entry. This speech was better set up than your first one considering the clash created and the gaps of the aff argument that you covered. You organized your evidence well. You have a good handle on those beginnings.

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 140 PHS Congress Final)**

**Judge: XX5 Jared Baldwin (Independent Judges)**

**Entry: 76 Chad Wagner**

**Rank: 9**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Neg

Opening creates an organization structure for the remainder of the argument. Argument follows logically and is supported by evidence. Conclusion ends with note to the opening.

Delivery is clear, impassioned, and confident.

Questioning was handled well, but some responses were lacking in their ability to address the substance.

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

Neg.

Opening creates effective organization. Organization is followed and transitions between ideas are seamless. Logic follows. Evidence presented supports premises.

Speech was confident and delivery was crisp.

In response to questioning, some questions were not answered to their core.

3:00s



## **63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

### **Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room G201)**

**Judge: AV9 Claire Jimerson (Revere HS)**

**Entry: 75 Griffin Moore**

**Rank: 2**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

Russia

- Great delivery from the beginning. You deliver your intro extemporaneously which is super important in intros
- You have a very natural, yet confident delivery
- Your points are a bit stock, but you do use this as an opportunity for clash
- Think of your inflection and pace like a roller coaster, where a completely monotoned speech would be a flat roller coaster. You have 'hills' and 'dips' in your 'roller coaster', meaning you have a sense of inflection and change in pace sort of, however, each of these hills / dips are the same, essentially copies of one another. I'm not sure how much sense that makes, but essentially you have inflection and stuff, it's just the same pattern of it throughout
- Good job tying conclusion back to intro

**Speech #2 -- Score: 6**

Hydrogen Power Authorship

- As with your last speech, you have a really good delivery of your intro
- I appreciate you using pass/ fail versus affirm / negate
- I think you were going for predictive clash, but I would take out the "Representative blah blah blah" part
- Body language is a bit unnatural / robotic
- A lot of the delivery comments I have on this speech are the same as those with your previous speech

## **63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

### **Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room G201)**

**Judge: AZ4 Jenna Barbieri (CVCA)**

**Entry: 75 Griffin Moore**

**Rank: 1**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

AFF Speech (Russian Aggression)

Hook: Doomsday clock = 90 seconds

Argument: We must curb Russian aggression to "turn back the clock."

1) For National Security. \*Sources cited to prove Russia currently dominates the arctic circle.

Russia currently outstrips all of Nato.

2) For the resources in the Arctic. \*Sources cited. (contrary to speaker arguing it will harm the environment, gaining resources there will help with green energy)

\*Great diction, and great job citing specific arguments from previous speakers.

Time: 3:09

\*Questions - Clear and passionate answers to questions raised.

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

Authorship Speech: Hydrogen Power

Attempt at humor in Hook

1) For the efficiency of hydrogen fuel

\*Sources cited to support that Hydrogen fuel is more efficient than gasoline.

\*Source cited to support that Hydrogen fuel prices would drop from the much more expensive price they are right now if demand and availability were increased.

2) Reduction in carbon emissions.

\*Sources cited to support that Hydrogen is a no-emission system.

\*A little stumbling over words, but very clear argument and conclusion.

Time: 2:55

\*Questions: Clear and informed answers.

## **63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

### **Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room G201)**

**Judge: Y14 Vijay Goyal (Olentangy Liberty)**

**Entry: 75 Griffin Moore**

**Rank: 6**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Good use of voice modulation  
used facts and excerpts to prove point  
good speech closure

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. B (Room G201)**

**Judge: AV9 Claire Jimerson (Revere HS)**

**Entry: 75 Griffin Moore**

**Rank: 2**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

PO  
- Good efficiency when going over procedures  
- Efficient and consistent throughout!

**Speech #2 -- Score: 6**

Indo-Pacific  
- Most of the feedback I have for you is the same as with your previous speech, particularly with delivery, so see that!  
- Good, relevant clash throughout

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. B (Room G201)**

**Judge: BK4 Dalia Bartosik (Centerville High School)**

**Entry: 75 Griffin Moore**

**Rank: 3**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

PO  
  
Excellent job, you were well prepared to execute PO duties

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

Set up organization of speech in intro  
Great delivery  
Specific sources cited

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. B (Room G201)**

**Judge: AJ1 Mark Gooch (Wooster)**

**Entry: 75 Griffin Moore**

**Rank: 4**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 4**

PO  
  
Positive  
-managed time well  
-ran effective chamber

Negative  
-I missed instructions on procedures?

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

Aff-pacific 3:08  
  
Positive  
-cited supporting evidence; good sources  
-argument re: joining relations with allies already organizing

- reference prior speakers
- good intro/analogy

#### Negative

- get more comfortable with speech so more extemporaneous

## **63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

### **Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. F (Room G206)**

**Judge: Z6 Ronnie Perdue (Canton Central Catholic)**

**Entry: 74 Jackson Moore**

**Rank: 8**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 4**

Hydrogen - Auth

-

Try not to read your intro. Your intro is the most important part of your speech because it determines whether the audience is going to listen to you or not. When we hear 12-15 speeches on the same topic, it gets very boring after awhile, and the judges and rest of the chamber are looking for a reason that your speech stands out. If you deliver your intro from memory, it grasps everyone's attention much better than reading from a paper.

Don't be afraid to get more creative in your intro rather than just jumping right into the substance of your arguments. Tell a brief story, start with a quote, etc. The idea is to try to be more memorable than the other speakers. Giving more of an introduction will help with that.

Too much reading from your manuscript throughout. Try to be a little more extemporaneous/delivery with much more eye contact. Your arguments are good, but that's only half of the battle. You have to also present the arguments well.

Try to avoid rhetorical questions in your speech; it's not a strong device in the congressional context because it gives your opponents an opportunity to answer it in a negative way.

Good volume! Thank you for making your presence known! Keep doing this.

Biggest suggestion for you --> Work on speaking from an outline rather than manuscript. It will help you add more eye contact.

Work on cross-ex a little; not strong to admit that your legislation uses rare minerals that are bad for the environment. Instead of agreeing with that, say something like "Not necessarily."

**Speech #2 -- Score: 4**

Indo - Pacific - Neg

-

Most previous comments apply.

I don't really like generalizations in speeches that say "the affirmation .... " instead, replace that with a specific representative that has already spoken.

Do your best not to stumble on names. Whatever comes out, go with it. Even if you say it incorrectly, nobody's going to know except you.

## **63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

### **Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. F (Room G206)**

**Judge: T8 Brenda Martin (Louisville)**

**Entry: 74 Jackson Moore**

**Rank: 6**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 4**

N2301. Well spoken, good inflection. Easy to hear and understand Rep. Moore. Used numerous sources. Well prepared. Spoke to pass this piece of legislation. Used the word "um" only once. 3:03

Answered questions very well. Obviously thoroughly researched this topic.

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

Spoke in negation of this bill. Cited sources very well. Great inflection and spoken. Speaker was easy to hear and follow. Great flow of information. Try not to rely on your notes so much. Great presentation. 3:03

Answered questions from the chamber very well.

## **63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

### **Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. F (Room G206)**

**Judge: Q4 Judy Karpowicz (Brecksville Broadview Heights)**

**Entry: 74 Jackson Moore**

**Rank: 5**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 4**

1st speech - Authorship. Hydrogen power for motor vehicles  
Well organized, delivered speech, influential

Good question against negate

**Speech #2 -- Score: 4**

1st speech in Negation on 2nd bill

3:03 Strong, human rights points. Good argument against bill -  
Made good argument for China being essential to US/economy.

Good job answering numerous questions.

## **63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023 Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. F (Room G206)**

**Judge: Z6 Ronnie Perdue (Canton Central Catholic)**

**Entry: 74 Jackson Moore**

**Rank: 7**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 4**

Russian Aggression - Aff

-

Most previous comments apply. Nothing fundamentally different here than previous.

**Speech #2 -- Score: 4**

Elderly Driving Aff

-

Most previous comments apply.

## **63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023 Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. F (Room G206)**

**Judge: AV10 Melanie Stuthard (Revere HS)**

**Entry: 74 Jackson Moore**

**Rank: 6**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

-Good speaking voice and inflection

-Strong contentions and evidence

-Good intro and bringing it back in the conclusion

-2:50 min.

**Speech #2 -- Score: 4**

-Good inflection and eye contact

-Good use of the comments and contentions of other reps

-Handled cross x

-2:54 min.

## **63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023 Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. F (Room G206)**

**Judge: XX5 Jared Baldwin (Independent Judges)**

**Entry: 74 Jackson Moore**

**Rank: 5**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

Opening quote leads well into the main topic well and sets out a clear roadmap to the arguments at hand. Argument flows logically. Argument supported by necessary evidence for its more fundamental premises.

Delivery of speech is not only confident but projected authoritatively to the whole room. This is persuasive.

Closing brings the opening back around solidifying the comparison drawn by the rhetoric even further.

Responses to questions are precise and confident. Very impressive.

## **Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

Aff

Opening sets a thesis and structure out of the argument. Argument flows logically. Argument is supported adequately by factual reference during the speech.

Delivery is strong, but occasionally becomes a bit droning or unnatural. Some time dedicated to practice with this will help with the very small improvements that this speech could be use.

Question response was good even against tough opposition.

2:54s

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. E (Room G205)**

**Judge: N4 Nathan Marotta (Stow)**

**Entry: 79 Jenny Baniya**

**Rank: 3**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

AFF - Hydro - 2:57

I like your intro, it was lively and clearly relevant to the legislation.

The organization into your first point of contention was nice, I appreciate it.

Interesting take on your 1st point, not something I would think would be brought up within an authorship, but I like the appeal to American mythos.

Some minor stumbling at the end of your 1st contention.

Eye contact was mostly good, but a little more sustained eye contact at pivotal moments would have been nice.

Good evidence to support your 2nd contention while also maintaining the direct impact.

I would have overall liked your points to relate a little more to the constituents you are here to represent, I understand China is outpacing our development but why does John from West Virginia care?

Nice job in questioning.

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. E (Room G205)**

**Judge: AM16 Eric Itangata (Perry)**

**Entry: 79 Jenny Baniya**

**Rank: 4**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Confident speaker and provided convincing structured arguments. Showed a great room presence.

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. E (Room G205)**

**Judge: XX5 Jared Baldwin (Independent Judges)**

**Entry: 79 Jenny Baniya**

**Rank: 3**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Aff. 1 Strong opening appealing to the grander message of the speech. Use of statistics and testimonial evidence to support argument. Argument follows logically.

Speech is confident and direct in a way that is direct and compelling. Perhaps, you could provide a little more guidance in your opening as to where your argument is headed.

Responses to questions were effective and clear.

2:57s

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. E (Room G205)**

**Judge: AM17 Danielle Montoya (Perry)**

**Entry: 79 Jenny Baniya**

**Rank: 3**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Good eye contact and word flow

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. E (Room G205)**

**Judge: N4 Nathan Marotta (Stow)**

**Entry: 79 Jenny Baniya**

**Rank: 1**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

NEG - Russia

Your intro was very dramatic and successfully grabbed my attention while still being relevant to the legislation itself.

Your 1st contention was well supported with evidence and your speaking style improved as you spoke. The emphasis on specific phrases was very well done and really furthered the emotional impact of your point. The impact of your argument was also effective, nice job.

Your 2nd contention was also good and supported with plenty of evidence. Your speaking style started to get a little bit monotone as you spoke, passionate, but the same passion the entire time. I also liked the angle that this argument took.

Maybe one of your colleagues will bring this up, but why can't we take the navy from the Arctic and sail it to the Pacific? Does this not solve the problem with your 2nd contention?

Nice job overall and effective answers in questioning.

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

POSHIP

Really nice job POing

Made some strange calls in examples of prefacing, but you could have been in the right

Could have had better control of the room, but nice job regardless.

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. E (Room G205)**

**Judge: BG1 Lakshmi Natarajan (Highland)**

**Entry: 79 Jenny Baniya**

**Rank: 1**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 4**

Speech:1 Neg: Russia...

Timing: 2 min 54 secs.

Good start. Speech was organized. Few stammers. Questions were answered with confidence.

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

Speech:2 PO:

Clearly explained the rules. Displays a command and relates well with the chamber.

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 140 PHS Congress Final)**

**Judge: AY1 Diann Barlow (Wayne High School)**

**Entry: 79 Jenny Baniya**

**Rank: 9**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Speaker made eye contact. Speakers pace was good but volume could be a little louder. Referenced other speakers. Spoke with confidence in the subject matter. Was prepared to answer questions and did so with confide.

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 140 PHS Congress Final)**



**Judge: BN2 Michael Langan (Olentangy High School)**

**Entry: 79 Jenny Baniya**

**Rank: 9**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 4**

Confident

Good flow of the speech

Good points made

I would like to see you read less. As the speech went on it became more dependent on reading

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 140 PHS Congress Final)**

**Judge: AP2 Celine Roulet (New Philadelphia High School)**

**Entry: 79 Jenny Baniya**

**Rank: 9**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 4**

Well-written speech and you definitely get a decent defense for the affirmative but I do think that you could improve this by acknowledging the negations arguments and then connecting your aff points. Work on emphasizing certain points from your case.

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 140 PHS Congress Final)**

**Judge: AJ1 Mark Gooch (Wooster)**

**Entry: 79 Jenny Baniya**

**Rank: 9**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 4**

Aff-Turkey 2:44

Positive

-cited supporting evidence

-problems with their membership

Negative

-get more comfortable with speech so more extemporaneous

-use your full time

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 140 PHS Congress Final)**

**Judge: XX5 Jared Baldwin (Independent Judges)**

**Entry: 79 Jenny Baniya**

**Rank: 9**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Aff.

Opening and organizational structure is effective. Argument follows logically. Argument supported by a wealth of evidence

Delivery of information doesn't always seem completely natural. This can be improved on by working on vocal articulation in particular. There is excellent content in the speech, the addition of more natural speaking could propel this to the next level.

Questioning was handled well, but you got a little tripped with some of the questions. Overall, still an excellent performance.

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. G (Room G208)**

Judge: BI1 Gopala Pulipaka (Mason)

Entry: 77 Kristian Leseure

Rank: 2

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

good participation overall; great content and delivery

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

good content and understanding of issue; strong vocal delivery

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. G (Room G208)**

Judge: L3 David Zwick (Medina)

Entry: 77 Kristian Leseure

Rank: 1

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. G (Room G208)**

Judge: AM17 Danielle Montoya (Perry)

Entry: 77 Kristian Leseure

Rank: 2

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. G (Room G208)**

Judge: AM16 Eric Itangata (Perry)

Entry: 77 Kristian Leseure

Rank: 4

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Confident speaker and good room presence. Good delivery to arguments and good use of emphasis. Convincing responses to questions

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. G (Room G208)**

Judge: BN2 Michael Langan (Olentangy High School)

Entry: 77 Kristian Leseure

Rank: 5

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Positive:

Sounds confident

Good use of hands to emphasize points

Good reference used

Nice defense after the speech

Negative:

Some of the speech is too fast: but this was actually effective at points

Some of the speech felt aggressive; but also kind of effective

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

## **Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. G (Room G208)**

Judge: L3 David Zwick (Medina)

Entry: 77 Kristian Leseure

Rank: 2

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

## **Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 140 PHS Congress Final)**

Judge: BN2 Michael Langan (Olentangy High School)

Entry: 77 Kristian Leseure

Rank: 7

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Confident presentation

Good emotional input/passion about the topic

Convincing

Nice quotation of opposing representatives

There are a few moments when you become dependent upon your notes - you are strongest when just speaking independent of notes; this will improve with practice; great job

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

## **Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 140 PHS Congress Final)**

Judge: AP2 Celine Roulet (New Philadelphia High School)

Entry: 77 Kristian Leseure

Rank: 6

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Great job attacking your opponents on opposing sides and using it to support your own case. I think the way you went about doing that was very important for the Negation.

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

## **Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 140 PHS Congress Final)**

Judge: AY1 Diann Barlow (Wayne High School)

Entry: 77 Kristian Leseure

Rank: 9

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Referenced other speakers. Good eye contact and spoke clearly at a good pace and volume. Answered questions with confidence and was prepared for questions.

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

## **Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 140 PHS Congress Final)**

Judge: AJ1 Mark Gooch (Wooster)

Entry: 77 Kristian Leseure

Rank: 9

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Neg-Turkey 2:47

Positive

-responded to prior speakers

-cited supporting evidence

-introduce Iran argument

Negative

-get more comfortable with speech so more extemporaneous

-use full time

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

# **Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 140 PHS Congress Final)**

**Judge: XX5 Jared Baldwin (Independent Judges)**

**Entry: 77 Kristian Leseure**

**Rank: 9**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Neg.

Opening establishes organization for the argument to follow. Argument logically follows. Evidentiary support is added to each pillar to the essential argument.

Speech is confident and direct. There are few identifiable errors.

Responses to questions were effective, but met with occasional stumbling. Overall, still strong performance.

2:47s

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. D (Room G203)**

**Judge: BG1 Lakshmi Natarajan (Highland)**

**Entry: 78 Nadia Farrell**

**Rank: 1**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Speech 1: Aff: Russia...

Timing: 3 min 7 secs

Presentation was organized. Good job including clash. Few times you stammered but corrected quickly. questions were answered with confidence.

**Speech #2 -- Score: 4**

Speech 2: Auth/Aff: Hydrogen...

Timing: 3 min 5 secs

Presentation was organized. Few stammers overall good. Questions were answered with confidence.

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. D (Room G203)**

**Judge: N3 Maria Loznianu (Stow)**

**Entry: 78 Nadia Farrell**

**Rank: 2**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

N23-3 Russia aff

I always love the intro with famous quotes ...so appropriate for this speech.

Excellent speaking and good adding specific clash :)

Resource availability - excellent point and new so late in debate!

Great questions session.

**Speech #2 -- Score: 6**

N23-1 Hydrogen - auth aff 3:05

1. electric vehicle bad - hydrogen good

2. Good explanation of the bill

Excellent eye contact.

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. D (Room G203)**

**Judge: BK4 Dalia Bartosik (Centerville High School)**

**Entry: 78 Nadia Farrell**

**Rank: 3**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Well organized, easy to see where you were going with the argument.

Good source citation

A bit out of breath in vocal delivery. Work on timing breathing to improve

Responded to other speeches

7 seconds over

Cross-x well handled, but be aware you say "for sure" a lot

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

Excellent intro

Good source citation

A little off track with electric vehicles, I didn't see how that added to your argument

Cross-x : you were so well prepared but didn't give numbers for projected production! You would have been exceptional if you gave actual projected production numbers.

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023****Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. D (Room G203)**

**Judge:** N3 Maria Loznianu (Stow)

**Entry:** 78 Nadia Farrell

**Rank:** 3

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

PO 3rd - good job

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

N23-5

Indo-Pacific neg

Just a bit stumbles during starting of the speech, no worries ...you can come back always.

You had a good point, your furthered the debate.

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023****Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. D (Room G203)**

**Judge:** T8 Brenda Martin (Louisville)

**Entry:** 78 Nadia Farrell

**Rank:** 7

**Speech #1 -- Score: 4**

PO, expectations were clearly communicated.

**Speech #2 -- Score: 3**

Spoke in negation of the Indo-Pacific Alliance. Opened with a reference to a quote made by a Representative earlier. Referenced Canada in the speech. It is the opinion of this judge that this Representative created a speech in negation while in the chamber. This shows a great ability of think "on one's feet". Although the question was asked "Can I start again?" This was still a good delivery. If not for the pauses, this would have been scored higher.

Provided satisfactory answers to the questions raised by the chamber.

**63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023****Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. D (Room G203)**

**Judge:** AZ4 Jenna Barbieri (CVCA)

**Entry:** 78 Nadia Farrell

**Rank:** 6

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

Thank you for being a PO!

\*You clearly stated your procedures and kept the session moving! You needed very few corrections and only had minimal hesitation in making decisions - I would have loved you to demonstrate slightly more confidence - you led well!

**Speech #2 -- Score: 3**

Negative (Indo-Pacific)

Hook: "The enemy of my enemy" - referencing previous speech.

Argument:

- 1) China has made illegal claims on India, but India is in the alliance. This will not allow a cohesive alliance.
- 2) Our American economy will suffer with this alliance because China will react.

\*Some stumbling over words/points. Some of your points were unclear.

\* Great job addressing previous speeches!

Time: 3:10.

\*Questions: Your answers were clear!

### **63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

## **Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 140 PHS Congress Final)**

**Judge: AJ1 Mark Gooch (Wooster)**

**Entry: 78 Nadia Farrell**

**Rank: 9**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 4**

Neg-Turkey 2:28

Positive

-cited supporting evidence

-referencing prior speaker

Negative

-get more comfortable with speech so more extemporaneous

-not clear how the Sweden example fits in with argument

-use full time

### **63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

## **Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 140 PHS Congress Final)**

**Judge: AY1 Diann Barlow (Wayne High School)**

**Entry: 78 Nadia Farrell**

**Rank: 9**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Speaks with confidence and clearly with a good pace and good volume. Makes good eye contact. Well prepared for questions and responded with confidence.

### **63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

## **Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 140 PHS Congress Final)**

**Judge: BN2 Michael Langan (Olentangy High School)**

**Entry: 78 Nadia Farrell**

**Rank: 9**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 4**

Passionate speech

Good points

Good flow

Good defense in debate with confidence

### **63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

## **Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 140 PHS Congress Final)**

**Judge: AP2 Celine Roulet (New Philadelphia High School)**

**Entry: 78 Nadia Farrell**

**Rank: 9**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 4**

Great case and shows how time is being wasted and resources are being removed which is detrimentally harmful. You also show the benefits of being on the negation. Work on counterarguments for the negation of the bill to add some clash to the debate.

### **63rd Annual Perry Varsity Speech & Debate Tournament - Nov. 3-4, 2023**

## **Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 140 PHS Congress Final)**

**Judge: XX5 Jared Baldwin (Independent Judges)**

**Entry: 78 Nadia Farrell**

**Rank: 7**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

Neg.

Opening establishes organization for the speech to come. Transitions are clear and there is no difficulty in differentiating each premise. Conclusion follows premises. Evidence is used to support each key premise.

Speech is impassioned, clear, and persuasive. Delivery is has very few errors.

Addressed each question effectively and directly. Confidence and clarity is present in your presentation from start to finish.

2:28s