

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. C (Room B126)**

Judge: X2 Celine Roulet (New Philadelphia High School)

**Entry: 04 Andrew Brantsch**

**Rank: 1**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

Expressed exactly what the affirmation needed to be passed and acknowledged the negations points and why those were not reasons to pass.

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. C (Room B126)**

Judge: Q1 Eric Langenfeld (CVCA)

**Entry: 04 Andrew Brantsch**

**Rank: 2**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

You are very well spoken, I liked your speed control and your volume is perfect. Great use of cited evidence. Eye contact is a little quick, spend a second or two on each spot.

Continue to work to get off book, you're close now. Good job calling out your fellow reps.

3:08

Awesome responses to questioning, you are very quick and your thoughts are well put together. You are not easily intimidated.

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. C (Room B126)**

Judge: C8 Jacob Zartman (Louisville)

**Entry: 04 Andrew Brantsch**

**Rank: 7**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Space//

You do a great job engaging with previous arguments and effectively clashing with them, but this late in the debate of this piece of legislation there's always room to do so even more. I would also work on humanizing and contextualizing your impacts a bit more. The impacts you have are great, but sometimes lack the framing needed to help them stand out. Remember, your main concern as a Congressional Debater should be the fake people you represent. Cheap power is good - but why is it good?

I also think there's room for more clash, especially this far into the debate of the legislation. Otherwise and overall, well done.

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. C (Room B126)**

Judge: K1 Maria Loznianu (Stow)

**Entry: 04 Andrew Brantsch**

**Rank: 3**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

N23-11 aff carbon tax

1. Lack of harm - good explanation of the bill.

2. Reduction of emissions - good bringing very clear the impact, quantified and qualified.

Good work!!!

**Speech #2 -- Score: 6**

2nd PO good work

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. C (Room B126)**

Judge: T3 Rob Ebersole (Northwest High School)

**Entry: 04 Andrew Brantsch**

**Rank: 6**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 4**

Delivery was good. unable to answer questions

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

Good job as po

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. C (Room B126)**

**Judge: C8 Jacob Zartman (Louisville)**

**Entry: 04 Andrew Brantsch**

**Rank: 4**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Carbon Tax//

Again, you do a great job breaking down your warrants, and frame your impacts very well. I also really appreciate your direct clash with previous arguments, but there's always room to do more this far into the debate of the legislation. My main suggestion is to keep your confidence up in cross-examination. You answered questions well, but without the energy and enthusiasm you brought to your speech. The defense of your arguments should be the most aggressive part of your presentation! Otherwise and overall, well done.

**Speech #2 -- Score: 6**

Presiding Officer 4//

Chamber was run efficiently and authoritatively. Well done!

## Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023

### Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room B125)

Judge: K2 Nathan Marotta (Stow)

Entry: 01 Chad Wagner

Rank: 1

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

AFF - VISA

Some more eye contact during your intro would have been nice, there were also some stumbles in the intro because you were trying to maintain eye contact.

I like your intro, but I think it would have benefitted from some more variety in your speaking, especially a fall and then subsequent rise in the "niche" portion.

Your speech is well organized and easy to follow.

Your 1st contention is good and had ample evidence to support it, additionally the emphasis on different states and their differences was effective speaking. I would have liked some more impact development in this portion though, why do these laws actually hurt our constituents?

Your 2nd contention flowed well supported with evidence and this time you had the impact development, discussing unemployment and the like etc.

Your conclusion was alright.

Your speaking was alright, but a little monotone, I would have really liked to see a little more rise and fall or drama in your speaking beyond a base "I care" tone.

Decent job in questioning, admitted to not knowing stat with Rep. Ohl.

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

NEG - Carbon Tax

I like your intro, but some effective variety at the right points would have been very cool. I also could have used more eye contact in this portion.

Your speech was well organized and easy to follow.

Your 1st contention was effective and well supported with evidence, be careful criticizing the president when we are congress, I don't mind, but it would suck to push someone's buttons the wrong way. I really liked your emotional impact development, very nice job.

Your 2nd contention was also well supported with evidence and the bit about wind-turbines was well done. The emotional appeal on this portion is really good. You repeated yourself a little with the food on the table bit, but maybe that was on purpose, be careful with that.

Some more emotional rise and fall would have been very effective, especially in the intro.

Your conclusion was good tying into your intro.

Nice job in questioning, especially shutting down Rep. McHolm's question.

## Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023

### Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room B125)

Judge: P6 Andrea Ferko (Perry)

Entry: 01 Chad Wagner

Rank: 1

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Your speech was clear and delivered well. I would suggest going over the speech one last time before giving it. The points were well thought out and interesting. Your responses were handled well.

Speech #2 -- Score: 6

Good intro and I like how you referred to constituents. It is important to remember this is Congress and not just a place to read a speech. The speech made the bill about people and not just stats. Excellent job overall.

## Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023

### Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room B125)

Judge: R7 Jim Byrne (Wooster)

**Entry: 01 Chad Wagner**

**Rank: 9**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

Great speech and delivery. Be a little more relaxed, loosen up.

**Speech #2 -- Score: 6**

Great speech and delivery.

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. B (Room B125)**

**Judge: E1 Mason McCarthy (Medina)**

**Entry: 01 Chad Wagner**

**Rank: 3**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

Excellent eye contact and voice inflection. Very clear and concise message with supporting examples. Consistent theme referenced throughout speech. Speech length two minutes 56 seconds.

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

Great control of the room. Clear and concise rules announced at beginning of PO session.

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. B (Room B125)**

**Judge: Q1 Eric Langenfeld (CVCA)**

**Entry: 01 Chad Wagner**

**Rank: 1**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

Nice move to get your speech in! Well done.

Clear and easy to understand. Great use of Modulation and volume. You address points made by your fellow representatives very well. You're still on book, and that would help your delivery and eye contact. Normally I would not give a six to someone who is not memorized, but it's early in the season. 2:56, good use of time.

**Speech #2 -- Score: 6**

PO score.

You run a tight business like chamber, great job. Excellent time control. You have authority and your peers seem to respect it.

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. B (Room B125)**

**Judge: K2 Nathan Marotta (Stow)**

**Entry: 01 Chad Wagner**

**Rank: 1**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

AFF - Space Energy

I see what your intro was trying to do and it sort of worked, but it would have been much better if you had that light-hearted tone to go alongside it and then fell into the serious tone that you need for the topic.

Your speech is well organized and easy to follow.

Your 1st contention is well supported with evidence and the reference to real-world examples was very effective. I could have used some more impact development, I understand that all these things are possible, but what does that mean for our constituent.

Your 2nd contention also had nice evidence and the impact development was a little better, but I still would have liked more. You had a moment where your emotional appeal could have been very good, but you missed out on the delivery.

Your conclusion was funny and a nice tie into your intro.

Your speaking continues to be passionate, but still has no variety in that passion. Having variety, rise and fall, and proper emphasis on the right words, is very effective.

Nice job in questioning.

**Speech #2 -- Score: 6**

POship

Best POship of the day in my opinion

Only 1 missed call in speeches and you caught yourself

Nice control of the room

Minor gap in procedural knowledge

Swag

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room B124)**

**Judge: AA2 Ronnie Perdue (Canton Central Catholic)**

**Entry: 07 Griffin Moore**

**Rank: 1**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Space - Neg

-

Great job not reading your intro. Keep doing this! It really does make a difference.

Excellent eye contact--would like even more of it, though.

Speak up. You're a little difficult to hear, and I'm right beside you.

Great job referencing previous speaker BY NAME, and not generalizing.

Try using some of the legislation in your speech; you make good general arguments, but it would be much stronger if you tied them directly to a provision in the legislation and tell us why your argument is specifically important.

Eye contact fading towards the end--don't get tired towards the end! Keep the energy.

Pro Tip: To go advanced, completely put that notepad down for cross-ex. Makes you look super confident

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

P.O.

-

Good efficiency.

Good job standing/sitting when appropriate.

Excellent job keeping precedence and making accurate calls.

Don't offer 5 seconds as a block.. that's a waste of time. Just move on. (And then later offering 4 seconds.... come on. That's unreasonable.)

I LOVE the hard cutoff. Actually. LOVE when a Rep said, "Can I finish my answer?" and you bluntly said "NO." Good. Good. GOOD.

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room B124)**

**Judge: K1 Maria Loznianu (Stow)**

**Entry: 07 Griffin Moore**

**Rank: 1**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

N23-08 - neg Energy out space

1. Environmental harm

2. Inefficacy of solar panels

Good work on explaining your arguments and supported.

Also loved the direct clash, excellent :)

Good spacing during speaking and excellent eye contact!

**Speech #2 -- Score: 6**

2nd PO good work

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room B124)**

**Judge: T3 Rob Ebersole (Northwest High School)**

**Entry: 07 Griffin Moore**

**Rank: 1**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Great speech ,excellent answers to questions

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

Great job as Po very professional

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. A (Room B124)**

**Judge: AA2 Ronnie Perdue (Canton Central Catholic)**

**Entry: 07 Griffin Moore**

**Rank: 1**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 4**

Carbon Tax

-

Good intro delivery.

Most previous comments apply.

I'm really hoping you cause clash instead of rehash, there's been 10ish speeches before you on this topic.

-->The later we are in the debate, the more clash I should hear.

Probably the best speaker in terms of eye contact, but I'm quite disappointed in that you caused zero clash.

When you have to say "Rep. \_\_\_\_\_ already said this, let me say it again," (not literally, but a variation of that) odds are it's rehash and better replaced with clash.

Clash got a \*little\* better towards the end.

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. A (Room B124)**

**Judge: P6 Andrea Ferko (Perry)**

**Entry: 07 Griffin Moore**

**Rank: 4**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

I was impressed with your first point, but not clear on the impact it would have on the legislation. You spoke clearly and with articulation. I like how you referred back to previous representatives.

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. A (Room B124)**

**Judge: A6 Lakshmi Natarajan (Highland)**

**Entry: 07 Griffin Moore**

**Rank: 4**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Speech 1: Carbon.. Neg.

Timing: 3 mins 2 secs.

Speech was well organized. Good job including clash. Good job connecting with the chamber. Questions answered with confidence.

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room B124)**

**Judge:** K1 Maria Loznianu (Stow)

**Entry:** 08 Jenny Baniya

**Rank:** 5

**Speech #1 -- Score:** 5

N23 08 Space energy aff

Great eye contact and speaking pace

Potential of solar energy -

Good research of the bill, good data to support your arguments.

Just make sure so late in the debate, add more direct clash - clash of ideas.

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room B124)**

**Judge:** T3 Rob Ebersole (Northwest High School)

**Entry:** 08 Jenny Baniya

**Rank:** 9

**Speech #1 -- Score:** 5

Good delivery speaks with confidence and very knowledgeable. good answers to questions

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room B124)**

**Judge:** AA2 Ronnie Perdue (Canton Central Catholic)

**Entry:** 08 Jenny Baniya

**Rank:** 9

**Speech #1 -- Score:** 4

Space - Aff

-

15 people have spoken on this legislation already; keep in mind that we've heard quite literally every argument on this topic at this point. Being such a late speaker (granted, through no fault of your own, it's the preset, which I hate) your speech should be CLASH BASED.

Make sure you're causing clash. Clash is the refutation of other speakers' arguments. Unless you are delivering the first affirmative speech, you should \*always\* be doing this. The later in the debate that you speak, the more clash we should hear. To effectively cause clash, you have to do three things. First, tell me the name of a representative who spoke. Second, tell me what their argument was briefly. Finally, tell me what your response to that argument is. Why is your point stronger? Why is their point incorrect? When we don't do things like this, it diminishes the "debate" aspect of this activity.

Speak louder. You're very soft spoken.

All of your arguments have been introduced by other representatives. Make sure you don't rehash arguments. Rehash means mentioning the same argument someone else already presented. Instead, there's a few things you could do. Replace the argument with clash (refuting other arguments from earlier speakers), or you could have extra contentions that you prepare throughout the week in case someone takes the one you wanted to present. Another option would be not speaking on the topic and giving one of the first speeches on whatever topic is next.

Spoke on topic last without causing clash.

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. A (Room B124)**

**Judge:** AA2 Ronnie Perdue (Canton Central Catholic)

**Entry:** 08 Jenny Baniya

**Rank:** 9

**Speech #1 -- Score:** 4

Carbon Tax



-  
Most previous comments apply.

Try not to read your intro. Your intro is the most important part of your speech because it determines whether the audience is going to listen to you or not. When we hear 12-15 speeches on the same topic, it gets very boring after awhile, and the judges and rest of the chamber are looking for a reason that your speech stands out. If you deliver your intro from memory, it grasps everyone's attention much better than reading from a paper.

A lot of reliance on your notepad. Try to be a little more extemp/add more eye contact.

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. A (Room B124)**

**Judge: A6 Lakshmi Natarajan (Highland)**

**Entry: 08 Jenny Baniya**

**Rank: 8**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 4**

Speech 1: Carbon.. Neg.

Timing: 2 mins 55 secs.

Your speech was well organized. You did stutter few times. Good job including clash. You are well connected with the chamber. Answered questions with confidence.

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. A (Room B124)**

**Judge: P6 Andrea Ferko (Perry)**

**Entry: 08 Jenny Baniya**

**Rank: 9**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 4**

Speak a little slower. Good eye contact and hand gestures. Have confidence in your speech and speaking abilities. I was a little confused with your point of human exploitation.

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room B125)**

**Judge: K2 Nathan Marotta (Stow)**

**Entry: 06 Kristian Leseure**

**Rank: 3**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

AFF - VISA

Nice intro, the quote was relevant and effective. Quotes are a little lazy, but I do not really care, in a final round or something like that try to be a little more unique.

Your speech was well organized and easy to follow.

Your 1st contention was effective and was supported well with evidence. I could have used a little more impact development, but still okay.

Some minor stumbles, but nothing bad.

Nice transition from your 1st contention into the 2nd.

Your 2nd contention was also well supported with evidence and I liked your clash with Rep. Ohl. I would have really liked the impact to be stretched to a personal level. I get it that we get jobs, the impact development is there, but reference it emotionally to get that WOW factor from the judges.

"Stand with me" HA (he's so me)

Your speaking is very passionate and has some variety, but so many more highs and so many more lows would have absolutely made the delivery of this speech better and highlighted its impact in the round.

Nice job in questioning

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room B125)**

**Judge: R7 Jim Byrne (Wooster)**

**Entry: 06 Kristian Leseure**

**Rank: 6**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

Great speech, voice inflections are good but you movements are a little stiff. more natural movements shows confidence. Great job.

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room B125)**

**Judge: P6 Andrea Ferko (Perry)**

**Entry: 06 Kristian Leseure**

**Rank: 6**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Thank you for waiting for me to be ready. Speak a little slower so you can be understood better. Closing of was delivered with passion, so I increased your score. Good job with questions, you did not hesitate indicating you know the topic.

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. B (Room B125)**

**Judge: K2 Nathan Marotta (Stow)**

**Entry: 06 Kristian Leseure**

**Rank: 3**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

NEG - Carbon Tax

Nice Whiplash intro, one of my favorite movies. The intro was also emotional and hit well with how it tied to the topic.

Your speech is well organized and easy to follow.

Some minor stumbles in your 1st contention.

1st contention was well supported with evidence and had really nice clash with many representatives, this is what these late round speeches should look like. A little bit of re-hash, but all of your clash makes it sound much better than otherwise.

Your 2nd contention had a little more re-hash than your prior contention, but you supported with impact development and clash that prior speakers were lacking which again, makes it sound better.

Your speaking had a little more variety than your last speech, other speaking comments still apply, nice job

Good job in questioning, shut down Rep. Hetrick very well.

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

AFF - Space Energy

I like your intro, but again I think quotes are a little lazy, please do not overdo them. The delivery of this quote was good.

Your speech was well organized and easy to follow.

Your 1st contention was well supported with evidence and had some nice impact development, but more would have been nice. This contention also suffered from lots of re-hash, but you did cover the topic from a different angle which was good. Vital clash missing here.

Your 2nd contention was still well supported with evidence, but this time we had lots of clash to help keep your speech relevant, nice job. I still would have liked to see proper impact development, but the development you had was good.

Speaking style notes are as on last ballot (no time to type it again)

I liked your conclusion, is this a "For All Mankind" reference?

Nice job in questioning.

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. B (Room B125)**

**Judge: Q1 Eric Langenfeld (CVCA)**

**Entry: 06 Kristian Leseure**

**Rank: 6**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Very good volume and speed, good vocal control. Your gestures lack clarity--they seem random. It might make more sense if you get off book. Your movement around the room is well done.

Good job addressing former rep's mention of the Sweden example.

2:57, good use of time.

You do a great job with questioning--you have great poise, responses are quick and well thought out.

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

Great speech, good stats, you have great presence.

Work to get off book. Your movement and eye contact will improve dramatically.

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. B (Room B125)**

**Judge: E1 Mason McCarthy (Medina)**

**Entry: 06 Kristian Leseure**

**Rank: 5**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Addresses several arguments from those in the affirmative and why their arguments are misguided. Heavy reading with some eye contact. Good voice inflection. Speech length two minutes 57 seconds. Excellent question response firm and assertive in answers.

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

Excellent voice inflection not dull or monotonous. Heavy reading with moderate eye contact. Excellent job and bringing up specific arguments from the negative and using specific facts and statistics to combat those arguments. Good theme referenced throughout. Speech time two minutes 57 seconds.

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. C (Room B126)**

Judge: C8 Jacob Zartman (Louisville)

**Entry: 05 Nadia Farrell**

**Rank: 4**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

Presiding Officer 1//

Excellent job! Chamber was run efficiently and authoritatively. A few quick suggestions: slow down and project your voice just a tad more when presiding to take even greater control of the room. Otherwise, well done.

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. C (Room B126)**

Judge: X2 Celine Roulet (New Philadelphia High School)

**Entry: 05 Nadia Farrell**

**Rank: 6**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Great Poship. You held an efficient chamber. Just be more confident and bold when introducing yourself as PO.

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. C (Room B126)**

Judge: Q1 Eric Langenfeld (CVCA)

**Entry: 05 Nadia Farrell**

**Rank: 8**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

Good job overall!

Please take time to explain how you are selecting your speakers. Good use of time control, very tight.

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. C (Room B126)**

Judge: T3 Rob Ebersole (Northwest High School)

**Entry: 05 Nadia Farrell**

**Rank: 1**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

Great delivery lots of facts very well spoken .knowledgeable and able to flow through the topic with ease.

Good ability to answer all questions with facts

**Speech #2 -- Score: 6**

Great delivery and excellent use of facts to advance the discussion.

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**  
**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. C (Room B126)**

Judge: K1 Maria Loznianu (Stow)

**Entry: 05 Nadia Farrell**

**Rank: 4**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 5**

N23-11 aff Carbon tax

US despise having the biggest economy we don't have a policy.

Good clash of ideas.

Previous attempt.

Work on inflections and showing passion, as boring as climate change can be :)

**Speech #2 -- Score: 5**

N23-10 visa neg

1. Fundamental changes to the application process

2. False claims

Good speaking pace, good eye contact.

Arguments somewhat not supported

**Highland Veteran's Day Tournament - Nov. 11, 2023**

**Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. C (Room B126)**

**Judge: C8 Jacob Zartman (Louisville)**

**Entry: 05 Nadia Farrell**

**Rank: 2**

**Speech #1 -- Score: 6**

Carbon Tax//

You are a very strong speaker, but working to drop your paper a bit and read a smidge less will make your delivery even more dynamic and engaging. I'm also very impressed with how comprehensively you break down your impacts, I think there's even more room to humanize them in your framing, but it's still very effective. Take for example one thing you said, "The legislation does not offer solvency to the American people." Is that correct? Sure. But it doesn't sound quite right in Congressional Debate. "The legislation does nothing to save the American people from the horrors of climate change" is alternative with much stronger framing. Overall and otherwise, well done!

**Speech #2 -- Score: 6**

Visa Access//

Another strong speech. I'm sure most of my comments would be similar to those I've already shared, but I'll note that more nuance in your speaking cadence would make your already powerful rhetoric even more effective. Otherwise and overall, very well done.