

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. C (Room 2410)

Judge: B5 Nathan Marotta (Stow)

Entry: 26 Andrew Brantsch

Rank: 2

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Try to maintain eye contact a little more during the intro of your speech, especially the more personal parts of that story. The intro itself was okay, but it did not really have anything that really stood out to me, it lacked much of a hook to get my attention.

Your contentions are well supported with evidence and actually explaining how much more these cars are than regular cars is very effective. At the end of this contention you use the line "robbed of their life" and on the delivery of it you almost yelled which was by itself a little shocking, but also seemed even more extreme considering you were not angry prior to that moment, the tone shift was drastic. The impact in this section was very good. Your 2nd contention was a little bit weaker than your first, but still effective, the impact was a little lacking in this section.

Nice job referencing your intro in the conclusion.

Your speaking style is alright, but I still wish there were more lows to the actual tone and pitch itself, allow your voice to lower and calm and have those low moments with the audience. Also beware sounding like you are yelling your entire speech, this is also helped by having those tonal lows.

Decent job in questioning.

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

While your intro is silly I think it does so respectfully and while furthering your point, nice job. The tone could be a little different, lighter, in order to match the writing, you did it a little, just give me more (or I guess technically less)

Your contentions are well supported with evidence and you do a really good job deconstructing Thokala's points and you are only the 1st neg. I like your impact development as well as the historical context you provide, well done. The speaking style in this portion also does a nice job of having those minor ups and downs that you need through a whole speech. Nice emphasis on youth deaths, you did stumble through the clash section of that though.

Speaking comments apply as per previous ballot

Nice job in questioning.

You say "like" a LOT in questioning, try to not do that.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. C (Room 2410)

Judge: AJ4 Rick Talbott (GlenOak)

Entry: 26 Andrew Brantsch

Rank: 4

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

Good emotional use in speech for emphasis.

Good change in volume.

Good responses to questions.

Great questions that round

Speech #2 -- Score: 6

Good Hook.

Good hand gestures.

Great change in volume for points & use of emotion.

Excellent middle part of speech- a lot of emotion, volume changes & hand gestures.

Up to date sources.

Good ending

Response to Questions: Good use of data in refuting points. Keep eye contact with opponents.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. C (Room 2410)

Judge: AG3 Reena Chaba (Olentangy Liberty)

Entry: 26 Andrew Brantsch

Rank: 5

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Good voice modulation, Good evidence. Could have had better responses to questioning regarding drunk driving (solutions exist e.g. cabs)

Speech #2 -- Score: 4

Good speech delivery. I would suggest reducing the level of voice modulation just a tad. Could have had stronger responses to the questioning. Overall good job.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. C (Room 2410)

Judge: B5 Nathan Marotta (Stow)

Entry: 26 Andrew Brantsch

Rank: 2

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

I like this intro, but I wish you had the dramatic pauses after the right phrases in order to really push the impact of the intro in the chamber. Lauding Rep. Berlin as a savior is okay, but makes him look very good and at the end of the day he is your competition.

Your contentions are well supported with evidence but I dislike how you said "Mcholm touched on this..." because I actually didn't know that this point could be rehashed until you said that and then all of a sudden I realized portions of it could be rehashed, it's better to just not say those things in the first place. I like the explanation you give for the clash that you have, the emotional emphasis on the list of impacts is effective. I do wish there had been a little more impact development, but what you did have was still decent. The agriculture point was unique, I wonder if you should have just made that a contention instead of having rehash at points.

Speaking comments apply as per previous ballot.

Decent job in questioning.

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

POSHIP

Nice job

1 or 2 mistakes

yayy :)

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. C (Room 2410)

Judge: AQ5 Abby Preston (Laurel School)

Entry: 26 Andrew Brantsch

Rank: 3

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Climate Change AFF

Strong intro, good delivery

Consumers would not be harmed, economic incentive to decrease carbon emissions. Economic growth persists despite a carbon tax in certain countries. Good clash. Good job highlighting how a carbon tax would be effective in the US.

Health consequences of fossil fuels - good job highlighting the impacts of decreasing emissions.

CX: good responses, try to be more efficient when answering.

Speech #3 -- Score:

PO

Good control over the chamber

Some issues with recency (2)

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. C (Room 2410)

Judge: AA12 Jim Byrne (Wooster)

Entry: 26 Andrew Brantsch

Rank: 4

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Good speech but your delivery had a seesaw vocal tone, up down, up, down. Your questioning session had a more natural tone to it and was easier to follow.

Speech #2 -- Score: 6

Thanks for volunteering to be PO, great job.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 2512)

Judge: AA12 Jim Byrne (Wooster)

Entry: 26 Andrew Brantsch

Rank: 9

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

Thanks for volunteering to be PO, great job

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 2512)

Judge: AP2 Marlena Rozner (Our Lady of the Elms)

Entry: 26 Andrew Brantsch

Rank: 8

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

PO session was efficient and well-handled.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 2512)

Judge: AB5 David Fraizer (Louisville)

Entry: 26 Andrew Brantsch

Rank: 4

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

You had a very good POship, no real negatives.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 2512)

Judge: U2 Celine Roulet (New Philadelphia High School)

Entry: 26 Andrew Brantsch

Rank: 8

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Very good Poship. There are some parts that I believe taking more leadership would have helped you.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 2512)

Judge: E10 Nicholas McFadden (Highland)

Entry: 26 Andrew Brantsch

Rank: 6

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

PO- It is really hard to PO scenarios you did a great job! If you would've gotten a speech in I would have ranked you higher. Not really any note other than in final rounds don't take your foot off the gas always keep it moving and only defer to the parli unless needed, but feel free to take charge.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room 2136)

Judge: P3 Amar Vyas (Hawken School)

Entry: 27 Andrew Loznianu

Rank: 1

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

Just a general note, helping out in the beginning of the chamber is appreciated, but be cautious of coming across as too strong, especially when a PO has already been elected. I really like the intro, and the first contention is strong. I don't think the 2nd contention is as strong though, it's more of an impact of climate change rather than a specific part of the bill that helps stop it. You know your stuff in questioning.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room 2136)

Judge: AA12 Jim Byrne (Wooster)

Entry: 27 Andrew Loznianu

Rank: 3

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

Great speech and delivery. Nice job handling questions showed you knowledge of the issue.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room 2136)

Judge: Z1 Jason Blocker (Joesph Welty Middle School- New Philadelphia)

Entry: 27 Andrew Loznianu

Rank: 4

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Well supported and articulated speech, given clearly and with appropriate volume. Effectively worked in other Representative arguments/assertions.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. A (Room 2136)

Judge: P3 Amar Vyas (Hawken School)

Entry: 27 Andrew Loznianu

Rank: 4

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

A lot of reading. Your presentation is in good shape. Good refutation. In your speech, general statistics are more impactful than one-off stories. Good composure when a questioner kept speaking over you, you looked better than him in that scenario.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. A (Room 2136)

Judge: AM1 Andrea Offenbecheran (Alliance High School)

Entry: 27 Andrew Loznianu

Rank: 3

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

Good eye contact & facial expression right from the introduction.

Your points of danger/disaster def. are of interest to chamber.

clash 1

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. A (Room 2136)

Judge: AP2 Marlana Rozner (Our Lady of the Elms)

Entry: 27 Andrew Loznianu

Rank: 9

Speech #1 -- Score: 4

This speech included interesting anecdotes about the legal implications of self-driving cars. These anecdotes must be combined with larger statistics.

Rep Loznianu discussed electric cars a lot and did not connect this strongly to the bill.
Decent vocal quality.
Remember to keep the answers to the questioning period relevant and concise.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 2512)

Judge: AB5 David Fraizer (Louisville)

Entry: 27 Andrew Loznianu

Rank: 2

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

I liked your intro quite a bit. The stress on haste is very well put and is very relevant.

You spoke pretty well overall, with a few times when your cadence was a little off. You didn't stumble over yourself and try and correct yourself though, which is a good thing.

Nice job highlighting the international laws violated.

I wouldn't have used October 7th as an example. Maybe use 9/11 instead? Something more unifying.

You also answered questions very well.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 2512)

Judge: U2 Celine Roulet (New Philadelphia High School)

Entry: 27 Andrew Loznianu

Rank: 5

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

You had very good precedent examples that are important to deciding on the amendment and having a clear picture of what exactly the situation might become. Work on expanding on your counterargument's so that they are your main points and allow you to add clash to the debate. Great defense towards the negation of the legislation that are questioning the reasoning to amending and affirming this legislation.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 2512)

Judge: AA12 Jim Byrne (Wooster)

Entry: 27 Andrew Loznianu

Rank: 7

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Nice job coming up with this speech quickly.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 2512)

Judge: AP2 Marlena Rozner (Our Lady of the Elms)

Entry: 27 Andrew Loznianu

Rank: 9

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Rep Loznianu argues that declaring war on the force behind the bioweapon attack will nip the burgeoning issue in the bud.

Great vocal quality. Effective source citations/research for such a short notice.

I understand Rep Loznianu's argument that the bioweapon attacker violated international law, but the representative did not exactly describe why declaring immediate war would help this. Rep Berlin confronted this issue in the questioning period.

Great speech quality but somewhat neglected the aforementioned issue.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 2512)

Judge: E10 Nicholas McFadden (Highland)

Entry: 27 Andrew Loznianu

Rank: 8

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

Amendment to Moore NATO

Inaction intro

UK parliament example direct attack

A few awkward pauses, but fluids for the most part

Violates international law

Try to clash with people, what do think think people might say

Nice Job filling time

Message to the world.

Cross-X: Well Done

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room 2136)

Judge: P3 Amar Vyas (Hawken School)

Entry: 31 Chad Wagner

Rank: 9

Speech #1 -- Score: 4

Going as the 3rd neg in a row, there is a higher bar for what is a great speech. I would have wanted to see significantly more refutation and very few new points this late.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room 2136)

Judge: Z1 Jason Blocker (Joesph Welty Middle School- New Philadelphia)

Entry: 31 Chad Wagner

Rank: 6

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Gave a supported and persuasive speech. Spoke clearly with appropriate volume and inflection. Worked other arguments, assertions and support in to speech.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room 2136)

Judge: AA12 Jim Byrne (Wooster)

Entry: 31 Chad Wagner

Rank: 7

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

Good speech and delivery.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. A (Room 2136)

Judge: P3 Amar Vyas (Hawken School)

Entry: 31 Chad Wagner

Rank: 6

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

PO: no complaints here.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. A (Room 2136)

Judge: AP2 Marlena Rozner (Our Lady of the Elms)

Entry: 31 Chad Wagner

Rank: 4

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

Great PO session. Efficient and experienced.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. A (Room 2136)

Judge: AM1 Andrea Offenbecheran (Alliance High School)

Entry: 31 Chad Wagner

Rank: 7

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

PO Good Work - kept it going well.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. E (Room 2508)

Judge: W3 Ronnie Perdue (Canton Central Catholic)

Entry: 28 Griffin Moore

Rank: 1

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Drinking Age - Neg

-

Good delivery of intro without notes; not sure I agree with the underlying concept, but that's not my place here.

That first contention.... I know personally you can do better than "the prefrontal cortex." It's been done 100x over.

--> Doesn't change that you remain one of my favorites, but you know as well as I do that that was an absurdly low hanging fruit.

-- You delivered it very well, though, so I won't discount it.

Fantastic eye contact.

Need to add a little more refutation/clash, but you did have some, which is more than most people have presented.

Good full-circle conclusion.

Excellent cross-ex answers.

Speech #2 -- Score: 6

P.O.

-

We do not need an explanation of what 1, 2, and 3 gavel taps sound like. It's safe to assume most people in the room can count them.

--> Not a big deal/scoring issue, just one of those "Ronnie-ism's"

--> It takes up time, so I just look for anything that you can eliminate.

EXCELLENT not seeking motions to resume. There's no such thing.

GREAT hard cut off. It's unfair not to, so good job sticking to your guns when questioned about it. Bumping you up to a 6 for this.

Two small mishaps on recency, I don't really care because none were called out nor were they a big deal. Just noting it.

Excellent overall and good command.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. E (Room 2508)

Judge: AF2 Melanie Stuthard (Revere HS)

Entry: 28 Griffin Moore

Rank: 1

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

Neg - 3:09

-Fantastic intro that was memorized

-Great organization and pace! You clearly outlined your two contentions, which made it easy to follow.

-Directly clashed Batra and used eye contact with the other rep.

-Brought your speech back to your intro.

-Thanks for a wonderful speech and good job with cross x

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

PO

-Thanks for volunteering to PO

-Very efficient

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. E (Room 2508)

Judge: AK1 Jennifer Harrison (A.I.Root Middle School)

Entry: 28 Griffin Moore

Rank: 2

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

Good rate of speech, tone, and volume. Persuasive use of emotion, well-articulated.

Personalizing to address a specific Rep's speech in your speech was persuasive. Well-researched contentions and use of citations. Watch the time.

Thoughtful, well-supported responses to questions.

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

Good understanding of Parliamentary procedure and management of the process.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. E (Room 2508)

Judge: Y3 Christy Myers (Medina)

Entry: 28 Griffin Moore

Rank: 1

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

I loved his speech! He was very engaging, spoke so well! Very directly, slowly, and strongly. Also, great debater!

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. E (Room 2508)

Judge: W3 Ronnie Perdue (Canton Central Catholic)

Entry: 28 Griffin Moore

Rank: 2

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Cheese - Neg

-

What a... weird intro. But whatever, you sold it.

Got a little less active this round.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. E (Room 2508)

Judge: AJ4 Rick Talbott (GlenOak)

Entry: 28 Griffin Moore

Rank: 9

Speech #1 -- Score: 4

Great introduction & eye contact, can tell you really knew material.

Good job utilizing notes, only when needed.

Good volume

Good hand gestures

Stumble over words at some point, also some of your points I think you switched.

Good conclusion

Answer to Questions: Good use of dollar amounts in answer, but should have computed the cost of processing the cheese in speech & answers.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 2512)

Judge: AB5 David Fraizer (Louisville)

Entry: 28 Griffin Moore

Rank: 6

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

Leg proposal authorship

I like your intro.

Safety: I like your connection to the duties of Congress, it makes your speech have pathos.

Starting an investigation is a good start to handling the scenario.

Attempting to find the origin, and makeup, of the bioweapon is very appropriate.

You answered your questions well.

Speech #2 -- Score: 4

This speech was almost entirely rehash. You spoke well, but it was just you restating what had already been said.

You answered questions well

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024**Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 2512)**

Judge: E10 Nicholas McFadden (Highland)

Entry: 28 Griffin Moore

Rank: 2

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Thank you for getting the ball rolling!!!

Nice intro and solid eye contact.

C(1) safety of constituents

Investigative service's

Great eye contact

You should have tried to clash a little bit with people who would hypothetical negate.

Also some facts would've been nice but not the end of the world due to nature of scenario.

C(2) know where it comes from, if it comes from Hamas. Must Pass to save life of president

Cross: Handled well and logical answers

nice job making it to 2:30

Speech #2 -- Score: 6

Aff

This was an excellent speech and really embodied the spirit of compromise and admitting someone legislation was better. You did it was great poise felt like a seconds authorship. You had great clash and a nice conclusion. It felt very genuine and I appreciated you using stats. Good cross

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024**Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 2512)**

Judge: AP2 Marlena Rozner (Our Lady of the Elms)

Entry: 28 Griffin Moore

Rank: 7

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Rep Moore's authorship speech argues that the congress must investigate the causes of the bioweapon attack. Solid argumentation that the problem at hand cannot begin to be solved without investigation to understand the problem and stop further attack.

Great vocal quality and solid beginning of the debate.

Answered Rep Farrell's question well. Seemed to be speculating in the answer to Rep Short's question.

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

Rep Moore's affirmation speech on Rep Pannala's legislation argues that the proposed bill combined the best of the debate. The representative's speech was a little nonspecific at times.

The speech would have benefited from a mention/acknowledgement of Rep Li's speech or the investigation of the disease in general. Decent answers in questioning block.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 2512)

Judge: AA12 Jim Byrne (Wooster)

Entry: 28 Griffin Moore

Rank: 1

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

Great job and quick thinking getting us going on this issue. Good speech and delivery. nice job during the questioning period.

Speech #2 -- Score: 6

Perfect.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Final Sect. FINAL (Room 2512)

Judge: U2 Celine Roulet (New Philadelphia High School)

Entry: 28 Griffin Moore

Rank: 7

Speech #1 -- Score: 4

Great job introducing the new legislation quickly due to the situation. Great role playing and authorship to why this legislation is so important to keeping your constituents safe. I feel like it would not have hurt you to set up counterarguments here from the start to make your stance stronger. Great job responding to your opponents and clarifying what the legislation is attempting to do. Clarifying, either in the speech or during your answers, how the positives of your legislation might outweigh the negatives is important.

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

Very good wrap on everything and why affirming the amendment is important to the legislation and you explain well why the legislation has become the strongest in the chamber so far. Work on filling the gaps in your argument that suggest that you are weighing your legislation as better than doing something about the war.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. D (Room 2412)

Judge: AM1 Andrea Offenbecheran (Alliance High School)

Entry: 33 Jackson Moore

Rank: 4

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

Great use of voice variation in emphasizing points.

Eye contact and gestures added to interest.

Nice job!

Clash 1 2

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. D (Room 2412)

Judge: AQ5 Abby Preston (Laurel School)

Entry: 33 Jackson Moore

Rank: 5

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Climate Change AFF

Good intro w/roadmap

We are going to run out of fossil fuels -> increase in competition. China is producing lots of renewable energy. We need to prepare for the future - good point. Your point would be even stronger if you used more sources.

Investing early is good for future economic wellbeing - good point.

Good job overall - I would suggest using more sources/data in your speech.

CX: good responses

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. D (Room 2412)

Judge: E10 Nicholas McFadden (Highland)

Entry: 33 Jackson Moore

Rank: 6

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Aff

Intro: Nice intro

C1: Competition and China

Clash messed with your fluidity, practice clashing.

C2: Favorable economic

Investing in new tech. Drastically risign cost,

You didnt really talk about carbon tax which htis bill is about you new show how we get to green energy form carbon tax.

Nice job talking about constituents

Cross-x: Handled well.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. D (Room 2412)

Judge: D4 Ramesh Thokala (Dublin Jerome)

Entry: 33 Jackson Moore

Rank: 9

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Good start

Communicated clearly and confidently
maintained good eye contact but can do better by less looking at paper and reading.
excellent voice inflection and delivery rate
2:56

Addressed most of opponents questions well.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. D (Room 2412)

Judge: E10 Nicholas McFadden (Highland)

Entry: 33 Jackson Moore

Rank: 9

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Intro: Try to make intro more eye contact and memorized. This is the first impression with judges

Good clash with Pardee

C1: Help others

Find jobs and actual get around. I would have liked a stat here

C2: Cars are dangerous don't throw in the towel. Could lead to brighter future. Nice safety stat. Could have taken this further and shown clear impacts translates that 33% safer stat into less families suffer death of loved ones due to car crashes.

Cross-x: Handled very well, but be very careful about not talking yourself into a corner and trust the info you have in your speech

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. D (Room 2412)

Judge: W2 Christina Getto (Canton Central Catholic)

Entry: 33 Jackson Moore

Rank: 7

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Cars: Negation

creative use of a commonly used quote for intro.

good job outlining your contentions- good organization.

good job referencing another rep's arguments.

a little heavy reliability on your notes and you didnt need it! I could tell you knew this well. we often look down for reassurance. you didn't need them. trust yourself more! but very good job.

good job appropriately increasing your volume during your more passionate points. impressive but clear vocab in your content.

one of the better speeches I have seen today.

Handling questions: good job handling opposition, clear answers. take out "what I'm saying is" just tell them exactly what you're saying because people will believe it. you have a great convincing presence. great job overall.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. D (Room 2412)

Judge: E10 Nicholas McFadden (Highland)

Entry: 34 Lukas Prominski

Rank: 9

Speech #1 -- Score: 4

Intro: Nice Whiplash intro work on better eye contact this is first impression to judge

C1: harm to constituents

When oils lose profit they increase prices. Worsen economic.

C2: wrong solution Green energy subsidies

Overall need better eye contact. It felt like you were reading of your paper rather than giving a persuasive speech. I need more passion. Your conclusion had good energy but need more of it in your speech. No Clash :(

You did great job in cross.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. D (Room 2412)

Judge: AQ5 Abby Preston (Laurel School)

Entry: 34 Lukas Prominski

Rank: 6

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Climate Change NEG

Higher gas prices hurt people - good job connecting loss of profit to increase in gas prices.

Proposes subsidizing other green energy sources - good job highlighting why this solution would be better than what the bill proposes.

Include clash in your speech! If you're after the first AFF, you should have clash in your speech.

CX: use evidence from your speech to make your responses stronger

Good job overall. I would recommend adding clash to your speech and reading less.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. D (Room 2412)

Judge: AM1 Andrea Offenbecheran (Alliance High School)

Entry: 34 Lukas Prominski

Rank: 8

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Really liked your intro... grabs the attention!

Your voice quality was smooth and well heard.

Gestures punctuated points.

Good referencing in Q & A

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. D (Room 2412)

Judge: D4 Ramesh Thokala (Dublin Jerome)

Entry: 34 Lukas Prominski

Rank: 6

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Great start with moral of the story

Can improve eye contact with less reading looking at paper with remembering information as bullet points

Can improve with body language

Used few facts to support

2:57

Tried best to answer opponents questions

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

Good start
Communicated clearly and confidently
Good voice and delivery rate
Good body language
Can do better with eye contact.
Arguments were logical and convincing
2:46
Addressed most of questions.

**Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. D (Room 2412)**

Judge: E10 Nicholas McFadden (Highland)

Entry: 34 Lukas Prominski

Rank: 8

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Intro: Work on eye contact and memorizing intro
C1:
Safety is rehash at this point
Save money Forbes is new
Mobility for elderly is rehash
Nice impacts on constituents
C2: Environment
Self driving reduce fuel consumption is good stats and new take on environmental
You need more prominent clash into bad but could be more specific/interactive
I think you need more passion in your speeches!
Cross-x: Pretty well handled

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

Intro: Work on eye contact in intro.

You do a nice job of clashing

C1: tax revenue

Funding education, nice job avoiding rehash late in the debate

C2: Safety of our constituents, make consumption safer. Allows to drink in our home. Other countries less fatality was a good chance to clash more.
More passion!!!

Cross-x: Banner had a weird cross but you did well.

**Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. D (Room 2412)**

Judge: W2 Christina Getto (Canton Central Catholic)

Entry: 34 Lukas Prominski

Rank: 4

Speech #1 -- Score: 4

Negation: Cars

too long of an intro metaphor when you have such little time. i liked the metaphor but just find a way to make it faster to get to the content of your argument.

Try not to use "also" to get to the next point. try to come up with some more creative transitions. a lot of students did that today so nothing big but just a point of improvement.

good vocabulary, good pace, good volume, good use of space. a little heavily relied on notes. looked down a bit much. have confidence in the work you've done! you knew this argument.

great job overall.

Handling Questions: good job dealing with more aggressive questioners. as i tell many, when your opposers get loud and aggressive, many listeners

find you more credible if you remain strong in your position but neutral in your reaction which you did. good job.

Speech #2 -- Score: 4

Drinking Age: Affirmation

Argument is a bit repetitive in light of your other representative's speech which covered the veteran argument. I know that is difficult if you already had some of the same material prepared but try to pivot in response to that to bring new content to the audience.

however, you did a great job referencing those other rep's arguments in your presentation.

i thought this second speech was stronger than the previous one! Great job.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room 2138)

Judge: AF12 Sanchita Chandran (Revere HS)

Entry: 30 Nadia Farrell

Rank: 4

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

First AFF: Climate Action

Good, clear voice and enunciation. I would like to see more eye contact during your intro and contention taglinesâ€”these are crucial moments in your speech. You did this well during impact sections of your contentions.

Good job at proving impact of carbon tax with data. As first aff, however, I'd like to see a bigger section of your speech devoted to establishing the problem that existsâ€”make it clear why this legislation is needed rather than just saying climate change is happening.

You held off cross-x pretty well; I would try to expand on one-word answers so that you can add more to your point and prevent the other person from asking long questions.

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

First AFF: Cars

Same comments about presentation as previous speech. You had more eye contact during this speech, but I'd still like to see it emphasized during key points of your speech. Your conclusion tried to bring the hook back, but it fell flat a little.

You did a better job presenting first aff arguments with this speech. You established a stronger foundation for the bill, which is super important as first aff.

Strong answers during cross-x. However, rather than speeding up and trying to say as much as possible, slow down a little and choose your words more carefullyâ€”this will make your answers more impactful.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room 2138)

Judge: AP2 Marlena Rozner (Our Lady of the Elms)

Entry: 30 Nadia Farrell

Rank: 1

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Interesting main points--catching up to other countries with the use of a carbon tax, previous attempts to climate management.

Great presentation. Solid pacing, vocal inflection, and sufficient volume. Could use more facial expressions.

Answers in questioning period were not as well-argued as the speech itself and the answers--to Talbott's questions in particular--could have used more citations.

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

Interesting description of cognitive offloading in Rep Farrell's speech. Also discussed the environmental harms of self-driving cars. Unique arguments.

Good vocal quality and clear writing style.

Good answers in questioning period. Nice job!

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024
Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room 2138)

Judge: B6 Maria Loznianu (Stow)

Entry: 30 Nadia Farrell

Rank: 2

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

J24-04 Climate aff 2:59 sec

some data to support your arguments, not a strong support.

Good speaking though with lot's of eye contact.

Speech #2 -- Score: 6

J24-05 Self Driving cars aff

Harmful cars in the market - good data supporting your argument. Cognitive offloading

Environmental impact

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. B (Room 2138)

Judge: O2 Laura Zofchak (Lake High School)

Entry: 30 Nadia Farrell

Rank: 6

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

PO;

Organized

ran room well

Kept things moving

Very Clear

Speech #2 -- Score: 4

Strength:

Nice quoting of others speeches

Clear and well spoke

Nice passion

Growth:

work on pace

3:06

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. B (Room 2138)

Judge: B6 Maria Loznianu (Stow)

Entry: 30 Nadia Farrell

Rank: 1

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

3rd PO - good job POing and explaining confidently the procedures.

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

J24-06 Lowering drinking age - aff

Personal freedom

The drinking in safe environment - not quite, they can drink anywhere if they can buy it so I kinda can't follow this argument.

Good speaking though and good eye contact, excellent job.

Wadsworth High School Grizzly Classic - Jan. 5-6, 2024

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. B (Room 2138)

Judge: AF2 Melanie Stuthard (Revere HS)

Entry: 30 Nadia Farrell

Rank: 3

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

PO

- Thanks for volunteering to PO
- From what little I saw, you seemed to run an efficient chamber.

Speech #2 -- Score: 6

Aff

- Intro: Always try to memorize it, as it is your first impression

1. Personal freedom

2. Personal choice

- Good use of the words of other reps

- Weighed both sides but it tilts to affirmation

- Easy to hear and well-organized

- Good handling of cross ex