

New Philadelphia Debate Tournament - Dec. 2, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room 121)

Judge: E1 Ronnie Perdue (Canton Central Catholic)

Entry: P2 Andrew Brantsch

Rank: 3

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Auth - Staffing Ratios

-

Work on intro delivery

--> kind of sounded like you were singing a song.

Make sure your authorship speeches are stylistically appropriate; being the author does not just simply mean you get the first affirmative. Your speech should take ownership of the legislation instead of raising general aff arguments. This would be evidenced by phrases like "MY legislation," etc.

--> Better towards the end of the speech with this, but even more of it needed.

Work on getting a little less scripted.

Very good overall.

Mostly good cross-ex; work on answering to the best of your ability-- "I don't know" isn't a fantastic answer.

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

P.O.

-

We do not need an example of what 1, 2, and 3 gavel taps sound like. Especially twice.

--> Not a big deal, I just hate that personally.

I also hate "the chair says you're welcome" after every single call. It's a waste of time.

Consider passing a motion to suspend the rules regarding reading legislation at the open of chamber (not a scoring issue)

New Philadelphia Debate Tournament - Dec. 2, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room 121)

Judge: V2 Jenna Barbieri (CVCA)

Entry: P2 Andrew Brantsch

Rank: 3

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

D1 (Nursing Home Staffing) Authorship

Hook: Personal experience with grandma's nursing home.

Argument: Worker burnout - they're understaffed and over stressed. Statistic: 97% of nursing homes are facing staffing shortages.

Clear speaking and good support for your points! Your passion for this topic was clear. Clear answers to questioning.

Time: 3:04

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

Thank you for being a PO!

You ran a clear and efficient session.

New Philadelphia Debate Tournament - Dec. 2, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. A (Room 121)

Judge: A1 Olivia Wengerd (Norton)

Entry: P2 Andrew Brantsch

Rank: 2

Speech #1 -- Score: 2

nursing homes, understaffed, articulated, projected, 97% nursing homes cannot function, pass, reduces neglect, shorted your words, elongated too much, used whole time, provided quotes, provides funding to those who go into nursing homes, increases salaries

3:04

Speech #2 -- Score: 1

good cut offs, got through 24 questions, 9 speeches, was speedy

New Philadelphia Debate Tournament - Dec. 2, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. A (Room 121)

Judge: E1 Ronnie Perdue (Canton Central Catholic)

Entry: P2 Andrew Brantsch

Rank: 3

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Pharmaceutical - Aff

-

Most previous comments apply.

Watch out for what I call "weakening modifiers." These are words like 'could' 'might' 'may' 'can' 'potentially' etc.

--> These weaken your argument by advertising that it's not a guaranteed impact. Don't use those words. I heard them a few times throughout your speech.

Speech #2 -- Score: 4

Driving Age

-

Most previous comments apply.

--> arguments were rehashed, especially the workforce and fast food points. I think this might actually be the 4th time someone has presented it.

New Philadelphia Debate Tournament - Dec. 2, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. A (Room 121)

Judge: F3 Kay McHolm (Hoover)

Entry: P2 Andrew Brantsch

Rank: 3

Speech #1 -- Score: 4

You used good hand gestures.

You have confidence and passion for your stance.

Maybe memorize a bit more, you seemed to be reading off the speech a little too much.

Good intro and conclusion tying together.

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

You had a great intro that was relatable and attention grabbing.

You demonstrate passion and confidence in your stance.

It was good that you brought up the fact there are "smarter" cars that are actually preventing wrecks.

Nice job tying in the intro and conclusion.

New Philadelphia Debate Tournament - Dec. 2, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. A (Room 121)

Judge: C4 Taryn Douglas (Perry)

Entry: P2 Andrew Brantsch

Rank: 6

Speech #1 -- Score: 4

Good volume and passion.

Good reference of other representative's points in your speech.

Your presentation is a little theatrical which makes it seem too rehearsed, while you are well-spoken you should try to be more conversational and natural in relaying your message.

Speech #2 -- Score: 4

Good transitions into your points.

I do not draw an immediate connection from your introduction to your argument/position on the legislation. While I appreciate you tying a theme to your argument, I think you need to draw a more clear connection from the start so it makes sense right away, and not just when you circle back and conclude.

New Philadelphia Debate Tournament - Dec. 2, 2023
Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room 122)

Judge: E2 Kevin Harris (Canton Central Catholic)

Entry: P7 Chad Wagner

Rank: 8

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

First speaker on legislation 1

Aff

Good speed, good volume

2:28

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

PO Session 1 2nd Half

New Philadelphia Debate Tournament - Dec. 2, 2023
Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room 122)

Judge: M1 Wendy Snodgrass (Massillon Washington High School)

Entry: P7 Chad Wagner

Rank: 1

Speech #1 -- Score: 4

2:58 Aff- elderly care

good statistics use

strong confident presence while giving your authorship speech

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

#2 PO

clear instructions given

loud with time expiring and good control over the chamber

New Philadelphia Debate Tournament - Dec. 2, 2023
Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. B (Room 122)

Judge: C5 Danielle Montoya (Perry)

Entry: P7 Chad Wagner

Rank: 9

Speech #1 -- Score: 4

Clear speech and respectful of other reps talking

New Philadelphia Debate Tournament - Dec. 2, 2023
Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. B (Room 122)

Judge: E2 Kevin Harris (Canton Central Catholic)

Entry: P7 Chad Wagner

Rank: 6

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Negation

Legislation 3

Time:2:53

Very strong presence,

Good volume, speech, good opening and closing

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

Negation

Legislation 6

Time:3:04

I feel you put together a great and persuasive speech

New Philadelphia Debate Tournament - Dec. 2, 2023
Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. B (Room 122)

Judge: R2 Jason Blocker (New Philadelphia High School)

Entry: P7 Chad Wagner

Rank: 3

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Well rounded and supported argument. Clear speech with good pace, cadence, appropriate volume. Summarized well in the time available. Responded well to questions, didn't answer questions you didn't have answers for.

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

Well ordered, logical and supported argument.

Great volume and pace.

Good eye contact, could improve by reading less.

Struggled a bit with hard question, could find out and move on.

Responded with appropriate tone to questions.

New Philadelphia Debate Tournament - Dec. 2, 2023
Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. B (Room 122)

Judge: I1 Brianna Dimichele (GlenOak)

Entry: P7 Chad Wagner

Rank: 2

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Pharm ads:

Overall good job!

You spoke clearly with a good pace

Your speech was well organized with relevant sources that aligned

Watch hand gestures, make sure they are intentional and not just you talking with your hands

Good job clearly answering the questions

2:53

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

Driving age:

Good intro/teaser but try to make it tie into the legislation/your speech sooner

The speech was well organized with sources that aligned with your points

You spoke clearly and had good vocal variety

Watch hand gestures movements- make sure its intentional

Good job confidently answering question

New Philadelphia Debate Tournament - Dec. 2, 2023
Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. D (Room 124)

Judge: K1 Jim Byrne (Wooster)

Entry: P6 Jackson Moore

Rank: 2

Speech #1 -- Score: 6

Great speech. Liked the delivery and your tone of voice. Really liked your handling in the question and answer session.

New Philadelphia Debate Tournament - Dec. 2, 2023
Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. D (Room 124)

Judge: Y1 Priyaranjan Gupta (Revere HS)

Entry: P6 Jackson Moore

Rank: 7

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Elderly care

Good start by providing a quote.

Provided justification and sources in favor of his speech.

Handled most of the questions well.

New Philadelphia Debate Tournament - Dec. 2, 2023
Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. D (Room 124)

Judge: R3 Raphelito Miguel Nicolas (New Philadelphia High School)

Entry: P6 Jackson Moore

Rank: 9

Speech #1 -- Score: 3

Great work delivering your speech. Try to finish your speech in time so you don't get cut off. Great work in cross x.

Time 3:15

New Philadelphia Debate Tournament - Dec. 2, 2023
Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. D (Room 124)

Judge: V2 Jenna Barbieri (CVCA)

Entry: P6 Jackson Moore

Rank: 8

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Pharm. Drugs Advertisements (NEG)

- 1) It's important for patients to be informed. Advertisements prompt patients to ask further questions of their doctors. It helps people to advocate for themselves and be informed about their health. If doctors are the only ones who know about drugs, how will patients know about side effects, etc.
- 2) The FDA is already aiming to solve these problems through its regulations.

Clear speaking. Easy to follow and passionate. Clear and straightforward answers to questions.

Time: 3:15

New Philadelphia Debate Tournament - Dec. 2, 2023
Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. D (Room 124)

Judge: R3 Raphelito Miguel Nicolas (New Philadelphia High School)

Entry: P6 Jackson Moore

Rank: 8

Speech #1 -- Score: 4

Great work delivering your speech. Try to regulate your time so you can finish your speech and not get cut off. Great work in cross x.

Time 3:15

New Philadelphia Debate Tournament - Dec. 2, 2023

Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. D (Room 124)

Judge: C5 Danielle Montoya (Perry)

Entry: P6 Jackson Moore

Rank: 3

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Good, clear, confident speech

Well prepared for other reps questions

New Philadelphia Debate Tournament - Dec. 2, 2023
Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. C (Room 123)

Judge: F3 Kay McHolm (Hoover)

Entry: P8 Jenny Baniya

Rank: 7

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

Good movement and eye contact as you gave your speech.

You did good showing passion for your stance.

You handled the questioning well and confidently.

Great stats and facts on what current nursing home facilities have in place.

Speech #2 -- Score: 4

Try to memorize more so you can have more eye contact.

Good use of movement, it was effective.

You had good facts to support your stance.

New Philadelphia Debate Tournament - Dec. 2, 2023
Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. C (Room 123)

Judge: R2 Jason Blocker (New Philadelphia High School)

Entry: P8 Jenny Baniya

Rank: 8

Speech #1 -- Score: 4

Well supported to a fault, many statistics.

Spoke well in speech, eye contact is important.

Under duress/questions, struggled a little

Speech #2 -- Score: 4

Rounded and clear argument, supported by many details.

Clarity of speech and volume could improve a little, slowing down at times would help. Response to questions was appropriate

New Philadelphia Debate Tournament - Dec. 2, 2023
Congressional Debate Rd. 1 Sect. C (Room 123)

Judge: P1 Nathan Marotta (Stow)

Entry: P8 Jenny Baniya

Rank: 4

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

AUTH - Elderly Care

The quote intro always comes off as a little unoriginal to me, but the quote does fit and flows into your contentions well.

Your speech is well organized and easy to follow.

Your first contention is well supported with evidence and has decent emotional impact to further the statistics provided, nice impact development in this contention.

Your second contention is also well supported and has good evidence to keep your argument flowing, I could have done with a little more impact development, I understand that nursing homes will get more money, why, and how much, but what will they actually do with that money? Why is it helpful?

You seem nervous and unconfident while giving your speech, causing stumbles in your speaking, making you sound quiet, and lessening your emotional impact in the round.

Your speaking comes off as a little monotone, be sure to include rise and fall, dramatic speaking, and emphasis on the right terms in order to further your impact with both the judges as well as within the round.

Your conclusion did not have any emotional peak, your speech just sort of ended.

Decent job in questioning, but you sound incredibly nervous causing more stumbles and making it sound like you are unsure of what you are talking about.

Speech #2 -- Score: 5

SPONS - Pharma Ads

I dislike the quote intro, but it is a little funny quoting Confucious of all people when discussing medicine. The intro was decent, but could have been a little more original or had a more "out there" hook.

Your speech is well organized and easy to follow.

Your contentions are well supported with evidence and the impact is very obvious as you discuss the opioid epidemic, but it could have had so much more weight in the chamber if you talked a little bit about the emotional impact that this crisis has had, even one personal story would have completely changed the weight and momentum of the chamber. Your second contention was also well done and had lots of emotional appeal with the references to for-profit healthcare, but even then it could have landed better if the tone just had so much more thrown into it.

Your speaking ability is okay, but it could have benefited from more rise and fall, dramatic emphasis on the right terms, and more variety in your tone and volume. You have the fundamentals of congressional speaking, I just need more of everything that you have.

Nice job in questioning.

New Philadelphia Debate Tournament - Dec. 2, 2023
Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. C (Room 123)

Judge: P1 Nathan Marotta (Stow)

Entry: P8 Jenny Baniya

Rank: 4

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

POship

Nice job POing

One or two missed calls

Minor issues with procedure, be sure to touch up on what you should do when people do things like preface and/or back-and-forth question.

Decent speed.

New Philadelphia Debate Tournament - Dec. 2, 2023
Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. C (Room 123)

Judge: M1 Wendy Snodgrass (Massillon Washington High School)

Entry: P8 Jenny Baniya

Rank: 9

Speech #1 -- Score: 5

PO ship-

nice job - clear rules- spoke clearly - you held command of the chamber

New Philadelphia Debate Tournament - Dec. 2, 2023
Congressional Debate Rd. 2 Sect. C (Room 123)

Judge: A1 Olivia Wengerd (Norton)

Entry: P8 Jenny Baniya

Rank: 8

Speech #1 -- Score: 2

you projected your voice well, and was firm in your cut offs, however, you need to be more strict with this as do not give others the opportunity to disrespect you