

Appendix

Hereinafter, for a set of functors F , $\text{Graph}(F)$ stands for the set of every graph G s.t. $\text{Funct}(G) \subseteq F$.

Proposition 1. For LMNtal rule $r = T :- U$, $G' \xrightarrow{r} G \Leftrightarrow G \xrightarrow{r^{\text{inv}}} G'$

Proof. (\Rightarrow) We will prove by structural induction on the last-used reduction relation rule.

- Case (R1): We assume that $G' = P, Q$, $G = P', Q$ and $P \xrightarrow{r} P'$. By the induction hypothesis, $P' \xrightarrow{r^{\text{inv}}} P$. By (R1), $P', Q \xrightarrow{r^{\text{inv}}} P, Q$. Therefore, $G \xrightarrow{r^{\text{inv}}} G'$.
- Case (R3): We assume that $G' \equiv P$, $P' \equiv G$, $P \xrightarrow{r} P'$. By the induction hypothesis, $P' \xrightarrow{r^{\text{inv}}} P$. By (R3) and $G' \equiv P$, $P' \equiv G$, we obtain $G \xrightarrow{r^{\text{inv}}} G'$.
- Case (R6) is self-evident by (R6).

Hence $G' \xrightarrow{r} G \Rightarrow G \xrightarrow{r^{\text{inv}}} G'$.

(\Leftarrow) follows from $(r^{\text{inv}})^{\text{inv}} = r$ and (\Rightarrow). □

Lemma 1. For a monotonic type $\tau = (t/m, P, N)$, its weighting function w , and an LMNtal graph $G, G' \in \text{Graph}(\text{Funct}(\tau))$,

$$G' \xrightarrow{P} G \Rightarrow w(G) \geq w(G')$$

Proof. By $G' \xrightarrow{P} G$, let $p = \alpha :- \beta$ be a rule s.t. $G' \xrightarrow{p} G$. We will show $w(G) \geq w(G')$ by structural induction on the last-used reduction relation rule.

- Case (R1): We assume that $G' = G'_1, G_2$, $G = G_1, G_2$ and $G'_1 \xrightarrow{p} G_1$. Then $w(G_1) \geq w(G'_1)$ by the induction hypothesis. We have $w(G') = w(G'_1) + w(G_2)$, $w(G) = w(G_1) + w(G_2)$, therefore $w(G) \geq w(G')$ holds.
- Case (R3): We assume that $G'_1 \equiv G'$, $G \equiv G_1$, $G'_1 \xrightarrow{p} G_1$. Then $w(G_1) \geq w(G'_1)$ by the induction hypothesis. We have $w(G_1) = w(G)$, $w(G'_1) = w(G')$, therefore $w(G) \geq w(G')$ holds.
- Case (R6): We assume that $G' = \alpha$, $G = \beta$. Since the type $(t/m, P, N)$ is monotonic, we have $w(\alpha) \leq w(\beta)$ i.e. $w(G) \geq w(G')$. □

Lemma 2. For a monotonic ShapeType $\tau = (t/m, P, N)$, its weighting function w , a non-negative integer n , and a finite set of links L , the number of LMNtal graph G satisfying following formula is *finite* regarding structurally congruent graphs as the same.

$$G \in \text{Graph}(\text{Funct}(\tau)) \wedge w(G) = n \wedge \text{FLink}(G) = L$$

Proof. The number of functors occurring in G is finite and also the number of atoms (excluding non-global connectors) occurring in G is less than n because of $w(G) = n$. Since the number of graphs consisting of finite kinds of functors and finite atoms is finite, the number of G is finite. □

Lemma 3. For any LMNtal graph X , a monotonic ShapeType $(t/m, P, N)$, and a finite set of LMNtal graphs G , $\text{GGCHECK}(X, (t/m, P, N, G))$ terminates.

Proof. Let the weighting function of type $(t/m, P, N)$ be w . Y given to the first argument of recursive call at line 5 of GGCHECK satisfies $Y \xrightarrow{P}^* X$. By Lemma 1, $w(X) \geq w(Y)$. By this and Lemma 2, the number of possible Y is finite (regarding structurally congruent graphs are the same). Also, it is verified at line 3 of GGCHECK that structurally congruent graphs cannot be given again to the argument of recursive calls, so that recursive calls occur finite times at most. Therefore $\text{GGCHECK}(X, (t/m, P, N), G)$ terminates. □

Theorem 1 (Termination of graph type checking). For any LMNtal graph X and a monotonic ShapeType τ , $\text{GCHECK}(X, \tau)$ terminates.

Proof. This follows by Lemma 3 and the fact that $\text{Funct}(X)$ and N are finite. □

Lemma 4. For any LMNtal graph X , a ShapeType $(t/m, P, N)$, and a finite set of LMNtal graphs G , if $\text{GGCHECK}(X, (t/m, P, N), G)$ returns **true**, $X \triangleleft t(L_1, \dots, L_m)$ holds.

Proof. We will show by induction on the maximum number n of times of recursive calls (i.e. the depth of recursion) of GGCHECK .

- If $n = 0$, **true** is returned at line 2. Also, we have $X \equiv t(L_1, \dots, L_m)$. Then it is clear by the definition that $X \triangleleft t(L_1, \dots, L_m)$.
- If $n = k + 1$ ($k \geq 0$), **true** is returned at line 5 since recursive calls occur one or more times. We have $Y \xrightarrow{P} X$ by the line 3 and $Y \triangleleft t(L_1, \dots, L_m)$ by the induction hypothesis. Then $t(L_1, \dots, L_m) \xrightarrow{P}^* Y$ follows by the definition of production relations. By $Y \xrightarrow{P} X$, we have $Y \xrightarrow{P} X$, so that $t(L_1, \dots, L_m) \xrightarrow{P}^* X$. Therefore $X \triangleleft t(L_1, \dots, L_m)$ holds. \square

Theorem 2 (Soundness of graph type checking). For any LMNtal graph X and a ShapeType $(t/m, P, N)$, if $\text{GCHECK}(X, (t/m, P, N))$ returns **true**, $X : t(L_1, \dots, L_m)$ holds.

Proof. $\text{GCHECK}(X, (t/m, P, N))$ returns **true** only when $\exists f \in \text{Funct}(X)$. $f \in N$ does not hold and then it just returns the returned value from $\text{GGCHECK}(X, (t/m, P, N), \emptyset)$, so that $\text{GGCHECK}(X, (t/m, P, N), \emptyset)$ returns **true**. By Lemma 4, we have $X \triangleleft t(L_1, \dots, L_m)$. Since $\neg \exists f \in \text{Funct}(X)$. $f \in N$ holds, we have $\forall f \in \text{Funct}(X)$. $f \notin N$. Therefore $\text{Funct}(X) \cap N = \emptyset$ holds. Hence we have $X : t(L_1, \dots, L_m)$. \square

Lemma 5. For an LMNtal graph X and a ShapeType $(t/m, P, N)$, if $X \triangleleft t(L_1, \dots, L_m)$, $\text{GGCHECK}(X, (t/m, P, N), \emptyset)$ returns **true**.

Proof. By $X \triangleleft t(L_1, \dots, L_m)$, for certain X_0, \dots, X_n ($n \geq 0$), the following holds:

$$t(L_1, \dots, L_m) = X_n \xrightarrow{P} \dots \xrightarrow{P} X_1 \xrightarrow{P} X_0 = X$$

Note that X_i is not the start symbol for every i ($i < n$) and $i \neq j \Rightarrow X_i \not\equiv X_j$ holds (i.e. no loops in the path). Consider the case when $\text{GGCHECK}(Y_i, (t/m, P, N), G_i)$ is called for i ($i < n$), Y_i s.t. $Y_i \equiv X_i$, and a certain G_i . Since X_i is not the start symbol, Y_i is also not the start symbol, so that the condition of the if statement at line 2 does not hold. Then we have $X_{i+1} \xrightarrow{P} Y_i$ by $X_{i+1} \xrightarrow{P} X_i$ and (R3).

- If $\nexists Y_{i+1} \in G_i$. $X_{i+1} \equiv Y_{i+1}$, the for-loop from the line 3 is executed for $Y \leftarrow X_{i+1}$, and then $\text{GCHECK}(X_{i+1}, (t/m, P, N), G_{i+1})$ is called for a certain G_{i+1} at line 5.
- If $\exists Y_{i+1} \in G_i$. $X_{i+1} \equiv Y_{i+1}$, $\text{GCHECK}(Y_{i+1}, (t/m, P, N), G_{i+1})$ has been called for a certain G_{i+1} elsewhere.

Therefore $\text{GGCHECK}(Y_{i+1}, (t/m, P, N), G_{i+1})$ is called for Y_{i+1} s.t. $Y_{i+1} \equiv X_{i+1}$ and a certain G_{i+1} somewhere in the recursive calls.

From the above reasons, when $\text{GGCHECK}(X, (t/m, P, N), \emptyset)$ is called, $\text{GCHECK}(Y_n, (t/m, P, N), G_n)$ is also called for Y_n s.t. $Y_n \equiv X_n$ and a certain G_n . This call returns **true** at line 2 because of $Y_n \equiv X_n = t(L_1, \dots, L_m)$.

Therefore $\text{GGCHECK}(X, (t/m, P, N), \emptyset)$ returns **true** since $\text{GCHECK}(r)$ returns **true** if one or more recursive calls in it return **true**. \square

Theorem 3 (Completeness of graph type checking). For any LMNtal graph X and a monotonic ShapeType $(t/m, P, N)$, if $X : t(L_1, \dots, L_m)$ holds, $\text{GCHECK}(X, (t/m, P, N))$ returns **true**.

Proof. By $X : t(L_1, \dots, L_m)$, we have $X \triangleleft t(L_1, \dots, L_m)$ and $\text{Funct}(X) \cap N = \emptyset$. Therefore $\forall f \in \text{Funct}(X)$. $f \notin N$, so that the condition of the if statement at line 2 of GCHECK does not hold. Then $\text{GCHECK}(X, (t/m, P, N))$ just returns the returned value from $\text{GGCHECK}(X, (t/m, P, N), \emptyset)$. By $X \triangleleft t(L_1, \dots, L_m)$ and Lemma 5, $\text{GGCHECK}(X, (t/m, P, N), \emptyset)$ returns **true**. Therefore $\text{GCHECK}(X, (t/m, P, N))$ returns **true**. \square

Definition 1. A transition relation \mathcal{T} between LMNtal rules $\alpha_1 :- \beta_1$ and $\alpha_2 :- \beta_2$ is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 :- \beta_1 &\xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} \alpha_2 :- \beta_2 \\ \text{iff } &\exists \alpha_p :- \beta_p \in P. \exists \gamma, \gamma'. \\ &\alpha_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_p :- \beta_p} \alpha_1, \gamma \wedge \beta_2 \equiv \beta_1, \gamma \\ &\wedge \beta_p \equiv \gamma, \gamma' \wedge \gamma' \neq \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

Next, we define a labeling function $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow 2^{\{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{r}\}}$ as follows, where \mathcal{W} is the whole set of LMNtal rules:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{L}(\alpha :- \beta) & \quad \text{iff} \quad \alpha \equiv T \\ \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{L}(\alpha :- \beta) & \quad \text{iff} \quad \alpha \xrightarrow{P}^* \beta \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{L}(\alpha :- \beta)$, we say $\alpha :- \beta$ is *reducible*. Then we consider a Kripke structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{L})$ which represents the state space of the rule type checking algorithm.

Lemma 6. If $\alpha :- \beta$ is reducible and $\alpha :- \beta \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} \alpha' :- \beta'$, then $\alpha' :- \beta'$ is reducible.

Proof. By the assumption, we have $\alpha \xrightarrow{P}^* \beta$. By the definition of \mathcal{T} , we have $\exists \gamma. \alpha' \xrightarrow{P} \alpha, \gamma \beta' \equiv \beta, \gamma$. Then we have $\alpha' \xrightarrow{P} \alpha, \gamma \xrightarrow{P}^* \beta, \gamma \equiv \beta',$ and $\alpha' \xrightarrow{P}^* \beta'$ holds. \square

Lemma 7. If $P, Q \equiv R, S$ holds, $P \equiv A_1, A_2$, $Q \equiv A_3, A_4$, $R \equiv A_1, A_3$, $S \equiv A_2, A_4$ holds for certain graphs A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 .

Proof. This follows by the rules of structural congruence. \square

Lemma 8. If $P \xrightarrow{\alpha :- \beta} Q$ holds, there exists a graph C that satisfies $P \equiv C, \alpha$, $Q \equiv C, \beta$.

Proof. This follows by the rules of structural congruence and reduction relation. \square

Lemma 9. If $X \xrightarrow{P} Y \equiv \alpha, C$ ($p = \alpha_p :- \beta_p \in P$) holds, one of the followings holds:

- $\forall \beta. \exists \alpha', \beta', C_1, C_2. \alpha :- \beta \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} \alpha' :- \beta' \wedge C \equiv C_1, C_2 \wedge X \equiv \alpha', C_2 \wedge \beta' \equiv \beta, C_1$
- $\exists C'. X \equiv \alpha, C' \wedge C' \xrightarrow{P} C$

Proof. By $X \xrightarrow{P} Y$ and Lemma 8, there exists C_p s.t. $X \equiv \alpha_p, C_p$, $Y \equiv \beta_p, C_p$. Then we have $Y \equiv \beta_p, C_p \equiv \alpha, C$ and, by Lemma 7, there exist C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 s.t. $C \equiv C_1, C_2$, $\alpha \equiv C_3, C_4$, $\beta_p \equiv C_1, C_3$, $C_p \equiv C_2, C_4$.

Case 1: $C_3 \neq 0$

Let $\alpha' = \alpha_p, C_4$, $\beta' = \beta, C_1$. Then we have $\alpha' \equiv \alpha_p, C_4 \xrightarrow{P} \beta_p, C_4 \equiv C_1, C_3, C_4 \equiv \alpha, C_1$. Here we consider C_1, C_3 as γ, γ' in the definition \mathcal{T} respectively, and we have $\alpha :- \beta \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} \alpha' :- \beta'$. Also, we have $X \equiv \alpha_p, C_p \equiv \alpha_p, C_2, C_4 \equiv \alpha', C_2$.

Case 2: $C_3 \equiv 0$

By $C_1 \equiv \beta_p$, we have $C \equiv \beta_p, C_2$. Therefore $\alpha_p, C_2 \xrightarrow{P} C$ holds. Here we consider C' s.t. $C' \equiv \alpha_p, C_2$, then $C' \xrightarrow{P} C$ holds. Besides, by $\alpha \equiv C_4$, we have $C_p \equiv C_2, \alpha$. Then we have $X \equiv \alpha_p, C_p \equiv \alpha_p, C_2, \alpha \equiv \alpha, C'$. \square

Lemma 10. If $\mathcal{S}, r \models \neg \mathbf{s} W \mathbf{r}$ holds, r preserves the type T .

Proof. We assume $G \triangleleft T$, $G \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} G'$, and $\mathcal{S}, r \models \neg \mathbf{s} W \mathbf{r}$, and we will prove $G' \triangleleft T$.

By $G \triangleleft T$, we have $\forall i < n. X_{i+1} \xrightarrow{P_i} X_i$ for a certain non-negative integer n , graphs X_0, \dots, X_n ($X_0 = G$, $X_n = T$), and $p_0, \dots, p_{n-1} \in P$. By $G \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} G'$, there exists $C \in \mathcal{G}(N \cup \Sigma)$ s.t. $G \equiv \alpha, C$, $G' \equiv \beta, C$ where $r = \alpha :- \beta$.

Next, we will show that, if $X_i \equiv \alpha_i, C_i$ holds, there exist $\alpha_{i+1}, \beta_{i+1}, C_{i+1}$ such that:

$$\alpha_i :- \beta_i \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}}^* \alpha_{i+1} :- \beta_{i+1} \wedge X_{i+1} \equiv \alpha_{i+1}, C_{i+1} \wedge \beta_{i+1}, C_{i+1} \xrightarrow{P}^* \beta_i, C_i$$

By $X_{i+1} \xrightarrow{P_i} X_i$ and Lemma 9, one of the following holds:

1. $\exists \alpha_{i+1}, \beta_{i+1}, C'_i, C_{i+1}. \alpha_i :- \beta_i \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} \alpha_{i+1} :- \beta_{i+1} \wedge C_i \equiv C'_i, C_{i+1} \wedge X_{i+1} \equiv \alpha_{i+1}, C_{i+1} \wedge \beta_{i+1} \equiv \beta_i, C'_i$
2. $\exists C_{i+1}. X_{i+1} \equiv \alpha_i, C_{i+1} \wedge C_{i+1} \xrightarrow{P_i} C_i$

If 1. holds, it is obvious since we have $\beta_{i+1}, C_{i+1} \equiv \beta_i, C'_i, C_{i+1} \equiv \beta_i, C_i$. On the other hand, if 2. holds, it is obvious when we consider $\alpha_{i+1} = \alpha_i$, $\beta_{i+1} = \beta_i$.

Thus, there exist α_n, β_n, C_n s.t. $\alpha :- \beta \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}}^* \alpha_n :- \beta_n$, $T \equiv \alpha_n, C_n$, and $\beta_n, C_n \xrightarrow{P}^* \beta, C$. Since T consists only of one atom of the start symbol (with no self loops), we have $T \equiv \alpha_n$. Then $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{L}(\alpha_n :- \beta_n)$ holds.

By $r \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}}^* \alpha_n :- \beta_n$, $\mathcal{S}, r \models \neg \mathbf{s} W \mathbf{r}$, and Lemma 6, we also have $\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{L}(\alpha_n :- \beta_n)$. Therefore we have $\alpha_n \xrightarrow{P}^* \beta_n$. Thus we have $T \equiv \alpha_n \xrightarrow{P}^* \beta_n \xrightarrow{P}^* \beta, C \equiv G'$, that is, $G' \triangleleft T$. \square

Theorem 4 (Soundness of rule type checking). For an LMNtal rule $\alpha :- \beta$, a ShapeType $(t/m, P, N)$, and a sequence of links L_1, \dots, L_m , if $\text{RCHECK}(\alpha :- \beta, (t/m, P, N))$ returns **true**, the following formula (the rule preserving property) holds:

$$\forall G : t(L_1, \dots, L_m). \quad G \xrightarrow{\alpha :- \beta} G' \Rightarrow G' : t(L_1, \dots, L_m)$$

Proof. Since $\text{RCHECK}(\alpha :- \beta, (t/m, P, N))$ returns **true**, on all the paths from the target rule to the start symbol, there exists a state $L :- R$ such that $\text{REDUCE}(R, L, P, \emptyset)$ returns **true**. Therefore we have $\mathcal{S}, r \models \neg \mathbf{s} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{r}$, and the rule preserving property holds by Lemma 10. \square

Theorem 5. For a set of production rules $P = P_T \cup P_{t_1} \cup \dots \cup P_{t_n} \cup \{T :- F, t_1, \dots, t_n\}$, and a set of nonterminal symbols $N = N_T \cup N_{t_1} \cup \dots \cup N_{t_n}$, if every rule $r \in R$ preserves type (S_T, P, N) , $t_1, \dots, t_n \vdash_R F : T$ holds.

Proof. $F, G_{t_1}, \dots, G_{t_n}$ has the type (S_T, P, N) because P includes the production rule $T :- F, t_1, \dots, t_n$ and T is the start symbol. Let G be a graph s.t. $F, G_{t_1}, \dots, G_{t_n} \xrightarrow{R}^* G$. Then G has the type (S_T, P, N) because every rule $r \in R$ preserves the type. Here we assume that G contains no f/m atoms. By $G : (S_T, P, N)$, there exists a production path s.t. $S_T \xrightarrow{P}^* G$. Since G contains no f/m atoms, the production rule $T :- F, t_1, \dots, t_n$ has not been applied in the production path. Also the nonterminal symbols of the types t_1, \dots, t_n do not appear in the production path because they can appear only after the production rule $T :- F, t_1, \dots, t_n$ is applied. Therefore the production rules of the types t_1, \dots, t_n have not been applied in the production path, so that the nonterminal symbols N_{t_1}, \dots, N_{t_n} and the production rules P_{t_1}, \dots, P_{t_n} are redundant in the production path. Hence we have $G : (S_T, P_T, N_T) = T$. By the definition of functional property, $t_1, \dots, t_n \vdash_R F : T$ holds. \square