# A Survey on Docker Security

Riccardo La Marca 1795030



## **Overview**









#### **Introduction to Docker Security**

Capabilities, Docker daemon, namespaces, Cgroups and other Linux Kernel features

#### **Vulnerability Exploitation**

Container Breakout techniques, Docker host attacks, unprotected Docker Daemon and insecure Docker Registry

#### **Hardening Docker**

Hardening the Docker Host, securing Docker Daemon, securing Container and Images, and securing Docker Registry

## 1. Introduction to Docker Security

- Four major areas to consider
  - a. namespaces and cgroups
  - b. attack surface of the Docker daemon
  - c. loophole in the container configuration profile
  - d. the hardening security feature of the Kernel



# **Linux Namespaces and Cgroups**

#### **NAMESPACES**

- used to isolate processes
- multiple processes can share the same Linux namespace
- In the context of Docker container, it is possible that a container and the host share the same namespace, leading to possible attack vectors

#### **CGROUPS**

- accounting and limiting of resources (CPU, RAM, disk I/O etc)
- do not prevents container-to-container attacks
- can prevent DoS attacks







- A fine grained way of defining privileges of the old superuser
- Independently enabled and disabled
- In the context of Docker containers
  - o containers starts with a limited set of capabilities
  - we can add and/or remove capabilities
  - o more capabilities than needed leads to a number of attacks
    - e.g., host privilege escalation techniques

```
CAP_CHOWN, CAP_DAC_OVERRIDE, CAP_FSETID, CAP_FOWNER, CAP_SETGID, CAP_SETUID, CAP_SETFCAP, CAP_SETPCAP, CAP_KILL, CAP_SYS_CHROOT, CAP_AUDIT_WRITE, CAP_NET_BIND_SERVICE
```

## The Docker Daemon



- dockerd is a persistent process that manages containers
- docker client communicate with dockerd using the Docker Engine API
- dockerd listen for API request via three socket: UNIX, TCP and FD
- by default only the UNIX socket at /var/run/docker.sock is enabled
- the dockerd can also be access remotely, via TCP connections
  - o conventionally, two specific ports are used
    - 2375, for un-encrypted communication
    - 2376, for encrypted communication
  - unprotected TCP connections are important attack vectors

\$ dockerd -H unix:///var/run/docker.sock -H tcp://0.0.0.0:2375

# 2. Vulnerability Exploitation

- Different types of attacks
  - a. Container-to-Host
  - b. Host-to-Container
  - c. Container-to-Container
- Common vulnerabilities
  - a. shared namespaces
  - b. a lot of capabilities
  - c. exposed Docker daemon
  - d. insecure Docker Registry
  - e. Cgroups misconfigurations

- Most common attacks
  - a. Docker breakout
  - b. Privileges Escalation on the Docker host
  - c. Man-In-The-Middle attacks
  - d. Denial-of-Service



## **Container Attacks**



- assume we are provided with a shell inside a container
- main goal is to escape from the container and reach the host system
  - possibly with root privileges
- there are a lot of ways to do this
- all of them can be used only when some conditions are satisfied
  - which are the available capabilities?
  - which are the shared namespaces?
  - which part of the host system is mounted inside the container?
  - o and so on
- In the following, three examples of attack
  - Mount host filesystem, SSH to host and Process Injection





- capabilities
  - CAP\_SYS\_ADMIN
- we are root of the container
- detect the device
- mount the host fs
- chroot to escape

- \$ capsh -print
- \$ fdisk -1
- \$ mount /dev/sda1 /mnt/host
- \$ chroot /mnt/host





- capabilities
  - CAP\_SYS\_ADMIN
  - CAP\_NET\_ADMIN
- we are root of the container
- detect the device
- mount the host fs
- chroot to create a new sudo user
- check for open ports on the host
- start an SSH service
- establish a SSH connection
- login with the newly user
- gain root privileges

- \$ mount /dev/sda1 /mnt/host
- \$ chroot /mnt/fs adduser dummy
- \$ chroot /mnt/fs usermod -aG sudo dummy
- \$ ifconfig
- \$ nc -vn -w2 -z 172.17.0.1 1-65535
- \$ service ssh start
- \$ ssh dummy@172.17.0.1





- capabilities
  - CAP\_SYS\_ADMIN
  - CAP\_SYS\_PTRACE
- we are root of the container
- shared PID namespace
- Idea
  - inject a shellcode during the execution of the HTTP process to bind a shell of the host system on a specific port





- there is a huge number of attacks that can be carry on by an attacker
- other examples can be
  - exploit CAP\_DAC\_READ\_SEARCH to unshadow /etc/shadow mounted in
  - exploit CAP\_DAC\_OVERRIDE to change the password of the host root
  - exploit a mounted Docker socket to execute containers inside a container
  - o DoS attacks by exhausting resources of a container and take down the system
  - o exploit a shared network namespace for MITM attack and listen for
    - communications between containers
    - communications between host and external clients
    - communications between the host and other containers

## **Docker host attacks**



- we are provided with a shell inside the Docker host
- we want to exploit Docker containers to run privilege escalation attacks
- there are attacks that uses containers exploiting containerd and runC runtime
- here I want to focus on Docker containers
- if the user can run privileged containers, then
  - a. run a privileged container with the host filesystem mounted in
  - b. escape with the previous seen Docker breakouts techniques
- more interesting cases
  - a. the user cannot run privileged containers
  - b. attack an unprotected Docker daemon

# **Unprivileged containers**



- limited set of capabilities
  - o but we have CAP\_CHOWN
- copy /bin/bash inside the container into a read-write directory like /tmp
- inside the container
  - change the owner to root
  - o set the SETUID bit
- exit the container
- execute the bash