

Gaussian Analysis

Liam Mulligan - s1806984

The University of Edinburgh

29 March 2022

Outline

Gaussian Measures

Structure of a Gaussian measure space

Main results

Malliavin Calculus

Gaussian measures on \mathbb{R}

Definition

γ is a **Gaussian** measure on \mathbb{R} if it is either the *Dirac measure*, δ_a , or has density given by

$$p(x; a, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-a)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right).$$

The Fourier transform

Proposition

If γ is a Gaussian measure on \mathbb{R} , then its Fourier transform is of the form

$$\hat{\gamma}(y) = \exp\left(iay - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 y^2\right).$$

Gaussian measures on arbitrary Banach spaces

Definition ([1])

Let X be a Banach space, with continuous dual X^* .

Then γ is a Gaussian measure on $\mathcal{E}(X, X^*)$ if for any $f \in X^*$, the induced measure $\gamma \circ f^{-1}$ on \mathbb{R} is Gaussian.

The Fourier transform

Theorem

A measure γ on a Banach space X is Gaussian if and only if it has fourier transform of the form

$$\hat{\gamma}(f) = \exp \left(ia_{\gamma}(f) - \frac{1}{2} R_{\gamma}(f)(f) \right).$$

The Wiener space

Example

- $C[0, 1]$

The Wiener space

Example

- $C[0, 1]$
- with the minimal σ -algebra \mathcal{C} such that all evaluation maps are measurable

The Wiener space

Example

- $C[0, 1]$
- with the minimal σ -algebra \mathcal{C} such that all evaluation maps are measurable
- for a given Brownian motion $B = \{B_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$, and probability space (Ω, Σ, P) :

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &: \Omega \longrightarrow C[0, 1] \\ \omega &\longmapsto B_* := (t \mapsto B_t(\omega))\end{aligned}$$

The Wiener space

Example

- $C[0, 1]$
- with the minimal σ -algebra \mathcal{C} such that all evaluation maps are measurable
- for a given Brownian motion $B = \{B_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$, and probability space (Ω, Σ, P) :

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &: \Omega \longrightarrow C[0, 1] \\ \omega &\longmapsto B_* := (t \mapsto B_t(\omega))\end{aligned}$$

- the **Wiener measure** is $P^W = P \circ \phi^{-1}$

Cameron-Martin space

Definition

For $h \in X$

$$|h|_{H(\gamma)} := \sup \{ f(h) : f \in X^*, R_\gamma(f)(f) \leq 1 \}.$$

The **Cameron-Martin space** is then

$$H(\gamma) := \left\{ h \in X : |h|_{H(\gamma)} < \infty \right\}.$$

Cameron-Martin theorem

Theorem

Let γ be a Gaussian measure on X .

1. If $h \notin H(\gamma)$, then γ and $\gamma_h := \gamma(\cdot - h)$ are mutually singular.
2. If $h \in H(\gamma)$, then γ and γ_h are equivalent.

Outline of proof

1. If $h \notin H(\gamma)$

- Show that the **total variation distance** $\|\gamma - \gamma_h\| = 1$.

Outline of proof

1. If $h \notin H(\gamma)$
 - Show that the **total variation distance** $\|\gamma - \gamma_h\| = 1$.
2. If $h \in H(\gamma)$
 - Show that the measure with density with respect to γ

$$\rho_h(x) := \exp\left(g(x) - \frac{1}{2}|h|_{H(\gamma)}^2\right)$$

is γ_h .

Examples

Example

1. If γ is a nondegenerate measure on \mathbb{R}^n , then $H(\gamma) = \mathbb{R}^n$;
2. If γ is a degenerate measure, then $H(\gamma)$ is the support of γ .

Fernique's theorem

Theorem

If γ is a centred Gaussian measure on X , and q a $\mathcal{E}(X)$ -measurable norm. Then there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\int_X \exp(\alpha q^2) \, d\gamma < \infty.$$

Application of Fernique's theorem

- Recall the Wiener space $(C[0, 1], \mathcal{C}, P^W)$

Application of Fernique's theorem

- Recall the Wiener space $(C[0, 1], \mathcal{C}, P^W)$
- Via a theorem by Kolmogorov [2], for $p \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ then P^W gives full measure to the subspace of p -Hölder continuous functions

Application of Fernique's theorem

- Recall the Wiener space $(C[0, 1], \mathcal{C}, P^W)$
- Via a theorem by Kolmogorov [2], for $p \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ then P^W gives full measure to the subspace of p -Hölder continuous functions
- By Fernique's theorem there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \exp \left(\alpha \|w\|_{p\text{-Höl}}^2 \right) < \infty.$$

Borel's Isoperimetric inequality

Theorem

Let γ_n be the standard Gaussian measure on \mathbb{R}^n , and U be the closed unit ball. Then for any measurable A , $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\Phi^{-1} \{ \gamma_n (A + \varepsilon U) \} \geq \Phi^{-1} \{ \gamma_n(A) \} + \varepsilon.$$

Outline of proof

- From Borell [3] we have **Ehrhard's inequality**

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^{-1} \left\{ \gamma_n (\lambda A + (1 - \lambda) B) \right\} &\geq \lambda \Phi^{-1} \left\{ \gamma_n (A) \right\} \\ &\quad + (1 - \lambda) \Phi^{-1} \left\{ \gamma_n (B) \right\}\end{aligned}$$

Outline of proof

- From Borell [3] we have **Ehrhard's inequality**

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^{-1} \left\{ \gamma_n (\lambda A + (1 - \lambda) B) \right\} &\geq \lambda \Phi^{-1} \left\{ \gamma_n (A) \right\} \\ &\quad + (1 - \lambda) \Phi^{-1} \left\{ \gamma_n (B) \right\}\end{aligned}$$

- Apply the above to $\lambda^{-1}A$ and $(1 - \lambda)^{-1}\varepsilon U$

Wiener integral

- Take $H = L^2([0, 1]; \mathbb{R})$, with orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$

Wiener integral

- Take $H = L^2([0, 1]; \mathbb{R})$, with orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$
- Define $W : H \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ by

$$W(e_n) = \xi_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

The Derivative operator

Definition

- Define

$$\mathcal{S} := \left\{ f(W(h_1), \dots, W(h_n)) : f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), h_i \in H \right\}$$

The Derivative operator

Definition

- Define

$$\mathcal{S} := \left\{ f(W(h_1), \dots, W(h_n)) : f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), h_i \in H \right\}$$

- For $F \in \mathcal{S}$ define

$$\mathcal{D}_t F := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(W(h_1), \dots, W(h_n)) h_i(t)$$

The Derivative operator

Definition

- Define

$$\mathcal{S} := \left\{ f(W(h_1), \dots, W(h_n)) : f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), h_i \in H \right\}$$

- For $F \in \mathcal{S}$ define

$$\mathcal{D}_t F := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(W(h_1), \dots, W(h_n)) h_i(t)$$

Example

- $\mathcal{D}W(h) = h$

The Divergence operator

Definition

- Define

$$\mathcal{S}_H := \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} F_j h_j(t) : F_j \in \mathcal{S}, h_j \in H \right\}$$

The Divergence operator

Definition

- Define

$$\mathcal{S}_H := \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} F_j h_j(t) : F_j \in \mathcal{S}, h_j \in H \right\}$$

- For $u \in \mathcal{S}_H$ define

$$\delta u := \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left(F_j W(h_j) - \langle \mathcal{D} F_j, h_j \rangle_H \right)$$

The Divergence operator

Definition

- Define

$$\mathcal{S}_H := \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} F_j h_j(t) : F_j \in \mathcal{S}, h_j \in H \right\}$$

- For $u \in \mathcal{S}_H$ define

$$\delta u := \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left(F_j W(h_j) - \langle \mathcal{D} F_j, h_j \rangle_H \right)$$

Example

- $\delta h = W(h)$

Derivative & Divergence

Is it true for $F \in L^2(\Omega)$ that $\delta \mathcal{D}F = F$?

Derivative & Divergence

Is it true for $F \in L^2(\Omega)$ that $\delta \mathcal{D}F = F$?
Not in general!

Derivative & Divergence

Is it true for $F \in L^2(\Omega)$ that $\delta \mathcal{D}F = F$?

Not in general!

However, δ and \mathcal{D} are **adjoint** in the sense that

$$\mathbb{E}(\langle \mathcal{D}F, u \rangle_H) = \mathbb{E}(F \delta u).$$

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator

So how does $\delta\mathcal{D}$ act on $L^2(\Omega)$?

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator

So how does $\delta\mathcal{D}$ act on $L^2(\Omega)$?

Definition

We define the **Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator**, $\mathcal{L} : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$, by

$$\mathcal{L}F := -\delta\mathcal{D}F.$$

Wiener Chaos decomposition

Definition

Define the **n -th Wiener Chaos**, \mathcal{H}_n , by

$$\mathcal{H}_n = \overline{\text{span} \left\{ H_n(W(h)) : \|h\|_H = 1 \right\}}.$$

Wiener Chaos decomposition

Definition

Define the ***n*-th Wiener Chaos**, \mathcal{H}_n , by

$$\mathcal{H}_n = \overline{\text{span} \left\{ H_n(W(h)) : \|h\|_H = 1 \right\}}.$$

Proposition

If $G_n \in \mathcal{H}_n$ then

$$\mathcal{L}G_n = -nG_n.$$

Conclusion

Gaussian Measures

Structure of a Gaussian measure space

Main results

Malliavin Calculus

- [1] Vladimir Bogachev. *Gaussian Measures*. Vol. 62. American Mathematical Society, Sept. 1998. DOI: 10.1090/surv/062. URL: <http://www.ams.org/surv/062>.
- [2] Olav Kallenberg. *Foundations of Modern Probability*. eng. 3rd ed. 2021. Vol. 99. Probability Theory and Stochastic Modelling. Cham: Springer International Publishing. ISBN: 3030618706.
- [3] Christer Borell. “The Ehrhard inequality”. In: *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 337.10 (2003), pp. 663–666. ISSN: 1631-073X. DOI: 10.1016/j.crma.2003.09.031. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2003.09.031>.