This is a function to estimate the distance from a CY with a defining polynomial f, to the discriminant locus

The method is to find the discrete solutions x\_i of grad f=0 in each patch.

Then find the minimal normalized distance between one of these points and the manifold f=0, by constrained minimization of  $d(x,y)^2$ .

The first routine uses the Euclidean distance in the patch,

and the second one uses the distance in P^n, which is  $\cos^{-1} |z_1 \cdot dot \cdot z_2|/|z_1|/|z_2|$ .

The second one is better motivated but somewhat slower.

Note that we have to run this once for each independent patch. Often symmetry will relate the results in different patches in which case we need not run it on all the patches.

For the function f, symmetry relates all patches.

For f2, symmetry relates (z1,z2,z5) and (z3,z4), so we need two runs.

Should the result depend on patch or not??

It should not - so this definition is not quite right.

A better definition, inspired by Shub et al 1999, is the following. Let V be the space of coefficients of f. Given a point  $Z_0$  on the manifold, we define the linear subspace  $V_Z$  on which  $f(Z_0)=0$ .

There is then a linear subspace of this  $V_{Z,1}$  on which \partial  $f(Z_0)=0$ , which describes the singular CYs where the singularity is at  $Z_0$ . Given an f, we can compute the minimal geodesic distance to  $V_{Z,1}$  (this only requires knowing the projection of f on \partial  $f(Z_0)$ ), and then minimize with respect to  $Z_0$ . This also depends on a Euclidean metric on V, and we should choose one which is SU(5) invariant.

By discrete symmetry it is natural to take the standard metric on C^5, but given that we are assuming the geometry near Z\_0 is almost singular, there might be a more natural choice. But there is only a single adjustable coefficient so this is probably not interesting.

Working this out, one finds  $\sin \theta = \min_Z |\beta|/||f|| |Z|^k$  where the derivatives and norm are taken over the homogeneous coordinates (no patches).

In these runs, NMinimize is searching complex values of the coordinates.

Complex parameters might work but they are too slow.

The conifold is psi=-5 in these conventions.

```
ln(3) = Zsubs = \{z1 \rightarrow x1 + Iy1, z2 \rightarrow x2 + Iy2, z3 \rightarrow x3 + Iy3, z4 \rightarrow x4 + Iy4, z5 \rightarrow x5 + Iy5\}
Outsile \{z1 \rightarrow x1 + iy1, z2 \rightarrow x2 + iy2, z3 \rightarrow x3 + iy3, z4 \rightarrow x4 + iy4, z5 \rightarrow x5 + iy5\}
In[4]:= Weight[vec_] := (Times @@ Map[Factorial , vec]) / Factorial[Length[vec]]
In[5]:= NormSquared[f_, vars_] :=
      Plus @@ Map[Weight[#[[1]]] Abs[#[[2]]]^2 &, CoefficientRules[f, vars]]
In[6]:= NormSquared[f, {z1, z2, z3, z4, z5}]
Out[6]= 5 + \frac{Abs[psi]^2}{120}
In[7]:= XYsubs[vars_] := Variables[Apply[Times, vars] /. Zsubs]
In[18]= EstimateModuliDistance[f_, vars_, Zsubs_] := Module[{Zcsubs, grad, reim, len},
       Zcsubs = Zsubs /. I \rightarrow -I;
       len = Plus @@ ComplexExpand[(vars /. Zsubs) * (vars /. Zcsubs)];
       grad = Grad[f, vars];
        reim = ComplexExpand[ReIm[f] /. Zsubs];
       m = NMinimize[{Plus @@ ComplexExpand[(grad /. Zsubs) * (grad /. Zcsubs)],
           0 == reim[[1]], 0 == reim[[2]], 1 == len }, XYsubs[vars]];
       Sqrt[Re[m[[1]] / NormSquared[f, vars]]]
      ]
     Older definitions
In[*]:= EstimateDistanceInCPN[f_, patch_, vars_] := Module[{eqs, gradzero},
        eqs = Map[# == 0 \&, Grad[f /. patch \rightarrow 1, vars]];
        gradzero = DeleteDuplicates[Solve[eqs, vars]];
       dmin[sol_] := Norm[Map[(# /. sol) - # &, vars]];
        reim = ReIm[f /. patch \rightarrow 1];
       MinimalBy[Map[NMinimize[{dmin[#], 0 == reim[[1]], 0 == reim[[2]]} /. Zsubs,
            XYsubs[vars]] &, gradzero], #[[1]] &]
      ]
ln[*]:= EstimateTrueDistanceInCPN[f_, patch_, vars_] := Module[{eqs, gradzero},
       eqs = Map[# == 0 \&, Grad[f /. patch \rightarrow 1, vars]];
        gradzero = DeleteDuplicates[Solve[eqs, vars]];
       dmin[sol_] := Abs[1+Total[Map[# Conjugate[# /. sol] &, vars]]]^2/
            (1 + Norm[vars] ^2) / (1 + Norm[Map[(# /. sol) &, vars]] ^2);
        reim = ReIm[f /. patch \rightarrow 1];
         MaximalBy[Map[NMaximize[{dmin[#], 0 == reim[[1]], 0 == reim[[2]]} /. Zsubs,
              XYsubs[vars]] &, gradzero], #[[1]] &];
       Map[{ArcCos[#[[1]]] / Pi, #[[2]]} &, res]
      1
In[20]:= d1[p_] := EstimateModuliDistance[f /. psi → p, {z1, z2, z3, z4, z5}, Zsubs]
```

ln[19] = d1[-4.9]

Out[19]= 0.0390084

In[23]:= T1 = Table[{psi, d1[psi]}, {psi, -4.5, 1, 0.5}]

 $Out[23] = \{ \{-4.5, 0.0857035\}, \{-4., 0.117858\}, \{-3.5, 0.142066\}, \{-3., 0.158376\}, \{-3.5, 0.142066\}, \{-3., 0.158376\}, \{-3.5, 0.142066\}, \{-3., 0.158376\}, \{-3.5, 0.142066\}, \{-3., 0.158376\}, \{-3.5, 0.142066\}, \{-3., 0.158376\}, \{-3.5, 0.142066\}, \{-3., 0.158376\}, \{-3.5, 0.142066\}, \{-3., 0.158376\}, \{-3.5, 0.142066\}, \{-3., 0.158376\}, \{-3.5, 0.142066\}, \{-3., 0.158376\}, \{-3.5, 0.142066\}, \{-3., 0.158376\}, \{-3.5, 0.142066\}, \{-3., 0.158376\}, \{-3.5, 0.142066\}, \{-3., 0.158376\}, \{-3.5, 0.142066\}, \{-3., 0.158376\}, \{-3.5, 0.142066\}, \{-3., 0.158376\}, \{-3.5, 0.142066\}, \{-3., 0.1$  $\{-2.5, 0.173988\}, \{-2., 0.183199\}, \{-1.5, 0.189546\}, \{-1., 0.195254\},$  $\{-0.5, 0.198811\}, \{0., 0.2\}, \{0.5, 0.198854\}, \{1., 0.204699\}\}$ 

In[24]:= D1 = Interpolation[T1]

Out[24]= InterpolatingFunction

In[26]:= Plot[D1[p], {p, -4.9, 1}]

... InterpolatingFunction: Input value (-4.89988) lies outside the range of data in the interpolating function. Extrapolation will be used.

