ECE 580

Optimization Methods for Systems and Control

Chapter 11

Quasi-Newton Methods

Stan Żak

February 25, 2013

Quasi-Newton Algorithms

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \alpha_k \mathbf{d}^{(k)}$$

$$\mathbf{d}^{(k)} = -\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{g}^{(k)}$$

$$\alpha_k = \arg\min_{\alpha} f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \alpha \mathbf{d}^{(k)}\right)$$

Quasi-Newton Algorithms

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \alpha_k \mathbf{d}^{(k)}$$

$$\mathbf{d}^{(k)} = -\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{g}^{(k)}$$

$$\alpha_k = \arg\min_{\alpha} f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \alpha \mathbf{d}^{(k)}\right)$$

• The matrices $\boldsymbol{H}_k = \boldsymbol{H}_k^{\top} > 0$ satisfy

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(i)} = \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}, \qquad i = 0, 1, 2 \dots, k$$

Quasi-Newton Algorithms

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \alpha_k \mathbf{d}^{(k)}$$

$$\mathbf{d}^{(k)} = -\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{g}^{(k)}$$

$$\alpha_k = \arg\min_{\alpha} f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \alpha \mathbf{d}^{(k)}\right)$$

m P The matrices $m H_k = m H_k^{ op} > 0$ satisfy

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1} \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(i)} = \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}, \qquad i = 0, 1, 2 \dots, k$$

Question,

$$H_k = ?$$

Want

$$\Delta oldsymbol{H}_k = \Delta oldsymbol{H}_k^ op$$

Want

$$\Delta oldsymbol{H}_k = \Delta oldsymbol{H}_k^ op$$

Try the dyadic or outer product,

$$\Delta oldsymbol{H}_k = a_k \left[egin{array}{c} oldsymbol{z}^{(k)} \end{array} \right] \left[egin{array}{c} oldsymbol{z}^{(k) op} \end{array} \right]$$

Want

$$\Delta oldsymbol{H}_k = \Delta oldsymbol{H}_k^{ op}$$

Try the dyadic or outer product,

$$\Delta oldsymbol{H}_k = a_k \left[egin{array}{c} oldsymbol{z}^{(k)} \end{array} \right] \left[egin{array}{c} oldsymbol{z}^{(k) op} \end{array} \right]$$

Note that

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{H}_{k}^{\top} = a_{k} \left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(k)\top} \right)^{\top} \boldsymbol{z}^{(k)\top} = a_{k} \boldsymbol{z}^{(k)} \boldsymbol{z}^{(k)\top} = \Delta \boldsymbol{H}_{k}$$

We have

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{H}_k = a_k \boldsymbol{z}^{(k)} \boldsymbol{z}^{(k)\top}$$

We have

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{H}_k = a_k \boldsymbol{z}^{(k)} \boldsymbol{z}^{(k) \top}$$

ullet Given: $oldsymbol{H}_k$, $\Delta oldsymbol{g}^{(k)}$, $\Delta oldsymbol{x}^{(k)}$

We have

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{H}_k = a_k \boldsymbol{z}^{(k)} \boldsymbol{z}^{(k) \top}$$

- Given: \boldsymbol{H}_k , $\Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)}$, $\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)}$
- What must a_k and $z^{(k)}$ be to force

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1}\Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)} = \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)}$$
?

We have

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{H}_k = a_k \boldsymbol{z}^{(k)} \boldsymbol{z}^{(k) \top}$$

- ullet Given: $oldsymbol{H}_k$, $\Delta oldsymbol{g}^{(k)}$, $\Delta oldsymbol{x}^{(k)}$
- What must a_k and $z^{(k)}$ be to force

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1}\Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)} = \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)}$$
?

ullet That is, what must a_k and $oldsymbol{z}^{(k)}$ be to force

$$\left(\boldsymbol{H}_k + a_k \boldsymbol{z}^{(k)} \boldsymbol{z}^{(k)\top}\right) \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)} = \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)}?$$

Manipulate

Multiply and re-arrange

$$\left(\boldsymbol{H}_k + a_k \boldsymbol{z}^{(k)} \boldsymbol{z}^{(k)\top}\right) \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)} = \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)}$$

Manipulate

Multiply and re-arrange

$$\left(\boldsymbol{H}_{k} + a_{k}\boldsymbol{z}^{(k)}\boldsymbol{z}^{(k)\top}\right)\Delta\boldsymbol{g}^{(k)} = \Delta\boldsymbol{x}^{(k)}$$

We obtain

$$a_k \left[\begin{array}{c} oldsymbol{z}^{(k)} \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} oldsymbol{z}^{(k)} \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \Delta oldsymbol{g}^{(k)} \end{array} \right] = \Delta oldsymbol{x}^{(k)} - oldsymbol{H}_k \Delta oldsymbol{g}^{(k)}$$

Manipulate

Multiply and re-arrange

$$\left(\boldsymbol{H}_{k} + a_{k}\boldsymbol{z}^{(k)}\boldsymbol{z}^{(k)\top}\right)\Delta\boldsymbol{g}^{(k)} = \Delta\boldsymbol{x}^{(k)}$$

We obtain

$$a_k \begin{bmatrix} z^{(k)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z^{(k)\top} \end{bmatrix} & \Delta g^{(k)} \end{bmatrix} = \Delta x^{(k)} - H_k \Delta g^{(k)}$$

Divide both sides by

$$a_k \boldsymbol{z}^{(k) \top} \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)}$$

The result of the above manipulations is

The result of the above manipulations is

$$z^{(k)} = \frac{\Delta x^{(k)} - H_k \Delta g^{(k)}}{a_k z^{(k)\top} \Delta g^{(k)}}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{H}_{k+1} &= \boldsymbol{H}_k + a_k \boldsymbol{z}^{(k)} \boldsymbol{z}^{(k)\top} \\ &= \boldsymbol{H}_k + \frac{\left(\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)} - \boldsymbol{H}_k \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)}\right) \left(\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)} - \boldsymbol{H}_k \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)}\right)^\top}{a_k \left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(k)\top} \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)}\right)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Obtaining $z^{(k)}$

The result of the above manipulations is

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{z}^{(k)} \ oldsymbol{z}^{(k)} \ &= rac{\Delta oldsymbol{x}^{(k)} - oldsymbol{H}_k \Delta oldsymbol{g}^{(k)}}{a_k oldsymbol{z}^{(k) op} \Delta oldsymbol{g}^{(k)}} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{H}_{k+1} &= \boldsymbol{H}_k + a_k \boldsymbol{z}^{(k)} \boldsymbol{z}^{(k)\top} \\ &= \boldsymbol{H}_k + \frac{\left(\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)} - \boldsymbol{H}_k \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)}\right) \left(\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)} - \boldsymbol{H}_k \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)}\right)^{\top}}{a_k \left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(k)\top} \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)}\right)^2} \end{aligned}$$

• We now wish to express a_k in terms of the given data

Obtaining a_k

lacksquare To find a_k , consider

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)\top} \boldsymbol{z}^{(k)} = \frac{\Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)\top} \left(\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)} - \boldsymbol{H}_k \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)} \right)}{a_k \left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(k)\top} \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)} \right)}$$

Obtaining a_k

ullet To find a_k , consider

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)\top} \boldsymbol{z}^{(k)} = \frac{\Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)\top} \left(\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)} - \boldsymbol{H}_k \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)} \right)}{a_k \left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(k)\top} \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)} \right)}$$

Note that

$$oldsymbol{z}^{(k) op}\Deltaoldsymbol{g}^{(k)}=\Deltaoldsymbol{g}^{(k) op}oldsymbol{z}^{(k)}$$

Obtaining a_k

• To find a_k , consider

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)\top} \boldsymbol{z}^{(k)} = \frac{\Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)\top} \left(\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)} - \boldsymbol{H}_k \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)} \right)}{a_k \left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(k)\top} \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)} \right)}$$

Note that

$$\boldsymbol{z}^{(k)\top} \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)} = \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)\top} \boldsymbol{z}^{(k)}$$

Hence,

$$a_k \left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(k) \top} \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)} \right)^2 = \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k) \top} \left(\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)} - \boldsymbol{H}_k \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)} \right)$$

Finally, the Rank-One Update Formula!

$$egin{array}{lcl} oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} &= oldsymbol{H}_k + \Delta oldsymbol{H}_k \ &= oldsymbol{H}_k + rac{\left(\Delta oldsymbol{x}^{(k)} - oldsymbol{H}_k \Delta oldsymbol{g}^{(k)}
ight) \left(\Delta oldsymbol{x}^{(k)} - oldsymbol{H}_k \Delta oldsymbol{g}^{(k)}
ight)^{ op}}{\Delta oldsymbol{g}^{(k) op} \left(\Delta oldsymbol{x}^{(k)} - oldsymbol{H}_k \Delta oldsymbol{g}^{(k)}
ight)} \end{array}$$

Finally, the Rank-One Update Formula!

$$egin{array}{lcl} oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} &= oldsymbol{H}_k + \Delta oldsymbol{H}_k \ &= oldsymbol{H}_k + rac{\left(\Delta oldsymbol{x}^{(k)} - oldsymbol{H}_k \Delta oldsymbol{g}^{(k)}
ight) \left(\Delta oldsymbol{x}^{(k)} - oldsymbol{H}_k \Delta oldsymbol{g}^{(k)}
ight)^{ op}}{\Delta oldsymbol{g}^{(k) op} \left(\Delta oldsymbol{x}^{(k)} - oldsymbol{H}_k \Delta oldsymbol{g}^{(k)}
ight)} \end{array}$$

One can show (Thm 11.2) that the above update formula applied to the quadratic gives

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1}\Delta \mathbf{g}^{(i)} = \Delta \mathbf{x}^{(i)}, \qquad i = 0, 1, 2 \dots, k$$

Rank-One Algorithm

ullet Step 1. Select $m{x}^{(0)}$ and $m{H}_0 = m{H}_0^{ op} > 0$. Calculate $m{g}^{(0)}$ and set k=0

Rank-One Algorithm

- ullet Step 1. Select $m{x}^{(0)}$ and $m{H}_0 = m{H}_0^{ op} > 0$. Calculate $m{g}^{(0)}$ and set k=0
- Step 2. Calculate (Newton-like direction)

$$\boldsymbol{d}^{(k)} = -\boldsymbol{H}_k \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)}$$

Rank-One Algorithm

- ullet Step 1. Select $m{x}^{(0)}$ and $m{H}_0 = m{H}_0^{ op} > 0$. Calculate $m{g}^{(0)}$ and set k=0
- Step 2. Calculate (Newton-like direction)

$$oldsymbol{d}^{(k)} = -oldsymbol{H}_k oldsymbol{g}^{(k)}$$

Step 3. Perform line search

$$\alpha_k = \arg\min_{\alpha} f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(k)} + \alpha \boldsymbol{d}^{(k)}\right)$$

and compute

$$\boldsymbol{x}^{(k+1)} = \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)} + \alpha_k \boldsymbol{d}^{(k)}$$

Rank-One Algorithm—Contd.

Step 4. Calculate

$$egin{array}{lll} \Delta oldsymbol{x}^{(k)} &=& oldsymbol{x}^{(k+1)} - oldsymbol{x}^{(k)} \ \Delta oldsymbol{g}^{(k)} &=& oldsymbol{g}^{(k+1)} - oldsymbol{g}^{(k)} \ oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} &=& oldsymbol{H}_k + \Delta oldsymbol{H}_k \end{array}$$

Rank-One Algorithm—Contd.

Step 4. Calculate

$$egin{array}{lll} \Delta oldsymbol{x}^{(k)} &=& oldsymbol{x}^{(k+1)} - oldsymbol{x}^{(k)} \ \Delta oldsymbol{g}^{(k)} &=& oldsymbol{g}^{(k+1)} - oldsymbol{g}^{(k)} \ oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} &=& oldsymbol{H}_k + \Delta oldsymbol{H}_k \end{array}$$

Step 5. Set

$$k := k + 1$$

and go to Step 2

Rank-One Algorithm—Contd.

Step 4. Calculate

$$egin{array}{lll} \Delta oldsymbol{x}^{(k)} &=& oldsymbol{x}^{(k+1)} - oldsymbol{x}^{(k)} \ \Delta oldsymbol{g}^{(k)} &=& oldsymbol{g}^{(k+1)} - oldsymbol{g}^{(k)} \ oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} &=& oldsymbol{H}_k + \Delta oldsymbol{H}_k \end{array}$$

Step 5. Set

$$k := k + 1$$

and go to Step 2

• In the Rank-One Correction algo, H_{k+1} may not be p.d.! Thus $d^{(k+1)}$ may not be a descent direction.

■ There is a fix to the problem of H_{k+1} sometimes not being p.d. in the rank-one algo—a rank-two update!

- There is a fix to the problem of H_{k+1} sometimes not being p.d. in the rank-one algo—a rank-two update!
- The Davidon-Fletcher-Powell (DFP) algo also called the variable metric algo

- There is a fix to the problem of H_{k+1} sometimes not being p.d. in the rank-one algo—a rank-two update!
- The Davidon-Fletcher-Powell (DFP) algo also called the variable metric algo
- In the DFP algo, \boldsymbol{H}_{k+1} inherits positive definiteness from \boldsymbol{H}_k —Thm 11.4

- There is a fix to the problem of H_{k+1} sometimes not being p.d. in the rank-one algo—a rank-two update!
- The Davidon-Fletcher-Powell (DFP) algo also called the variable metric algo
- In the DFP algo, \boldsymbol{H}_{k+1} inherits positive definiteness from \boldsymbol{H}_k —Thm 11.4
- Unfortunately, for "larger" non-quadratic problems, the DFP algo has the tendency of sometimes getting "stuck"

- There is a fix to the problem of H_{k+1} sometimes not being p.d. in the rank-one algo—a rank-two update!
- The Davidon-Fletcher-Powell (DFP) algo also called the variable metric algo
- In the DFP algo, \boldsymbol{H}_{k+1} inherits positive definiteness from \boldsymbol{H}_k —Thm 11.4
- Unfortunately, for "larger" non-quadratic problems, the DFP algo has the tendency of sometimes getting "stuck"
- There is a fix—the Broyden, Fletcher, Goldfarb, Shanno (BFGS) algo

$$egin{array}{lcl} oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} &=& oldsymbol{H}_k + \Delta oldsymbol{H}_k \ & & igg[\Delta oldsymbol{x}^{(k)} igg[\Delta oldsymbol{x}^{(k) op} igg] \ & & igg[\Delta oldsymbol{g}^{(k) op} oldsymbol{H}_k \ & & igg[\Delta oldsymbol{g}^{(k) op} oldsymbol{H}_k \ & & igg] \ & & igg[\Delta oldsymbol{g}^{(k) op} oldsymbol{H}_k \ & & igg] \end{array}$$

Deriving the BFGS Update

Use the concept of duality or complementarity

- Use the concept of duality or complementarity
- Recall the updating formula for the approximation of the inverse of the Hessian,

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1}\Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(i)} = \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} \qquad i = 0, 1, \dots, k$$

- Use the concept of duality or complementarity
- Recall the updating formula for the approximation of the inverse of the Hessian,

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1}\Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(i)} = \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} \qquad i = 0, 1, \dots, k$$

The above was inspired by

$$oldsymbol{Q}^{-1}\Deltaoldsymbol{g}^{(i)}=\Deltaoldsymbol{x}^{(i)}$$

- Use the concept of duality or complementarity
- Recall the updating formula for the approximation of the inverse of the Hessian,

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1}\Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(i)} = \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} \qquad i = 0, 1, \dots, k$$

The above was inspired by

$$oldsymbol{Q}^{-1}\Deltaoldsymbol{g}^{(i)}=\Deltaoldsymbol{x}^{(i)}$$

• Suppose now we wish to approximate Q rather than Q^{-1}

- Use the concept of duality or complementarity
- Recall the updating formula for the approximation of the inverse of the Hessian,

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1}\Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(i)} = \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} \qquad i = 0, 1, \dots, k$$

The above was inspired by

$$oldsymbol{Q}^{-1}\Deltaoldsymbol{g}^{(i)}=\Deltaoldsymbol{x}^{(i)}$$

- Suppose now we wish to approximate Q rather than Q^{-1}
- Let B_k be our estimate of Q at the k-th step, where $\Delta {m q}^{(i)} = Q \Delta {m x}^{(i)}$

We require

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{B}_{k+1} \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} \qquad i = 0, 1, \dots, k$$

We require

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{B}_{k+1} \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} \qquad i = 0, 1, \dots, k$$

We have for the quadratic case

$$\Delta oldsymbol{g}^{(i)} = oldsymbol{Q} \Delta oldsymbol{x}^{(i)}$$

We require

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{B}_{k+1} \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} \qquad i = 0, 1, \dots, k$$

We have for the quadratic case

$$\Delta oldsymbol{g}^{(i)} = oldsymbol{Q} \Delta oldsymbol{x}^{(i)}$$

Compare the above with the DFP update

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{H}_{k+1}^{DFP} \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(i)} \qquad i = 0, 1, \dots, k$$

We require

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{B}_{k+1} \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} \qquad i = 0, 1, \dots, k$$

We have for the quadratic case

$$\Delta oldsymbol{g}^{(i)} = oldsymbol{Q} \Delta oldsymbol{x}^{(i)}$$

Compare the above with the DFP update

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{H}_{k+1}^{DFP} \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(i)} \qquad i = 0, 1, \dots, k$$

• We construct the formula for updating the Hessian by interchanging the roles of B_k and H_k and $\Delta g^{(i)}$ and $\Delta x^{(i)}$ —the complementarity (duality) concept

Approximating the Hessian Using the Duality Concept

The DFP formula for updating the inverse Hessian

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1}^{DFP} = \boldsymbol{H}_k + \frac{\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)} \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)\top}}{\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)\top} \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)}} - \frac{\boldsymbol{H}_k \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)} \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)\top} \boldsymbol{H}_k}{\Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)\top} \boldsymbol{H}_k \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)}}$$

Approximating the Hessian Using the Duality Concept

The DFP formula for updating the inverse Hessian

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1}^{DFP} = \boldsymbol{H}_k + \frac{\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)} \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)\top}}{\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)\top} \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)}} - \frac{\boldsymbol{H}_k \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)} \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)\top} \boldsymbol{H}_k}{\Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)\top} \boldsymbol{H}_k \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)}}$$

• The formula for updating the Hessian— interchange the roles of $m{B}_k$ and $m{H}_k$ and $\Delta m{g}^{(i)}$ and $\Delta m{x}^{(i)}$

Approximating the Hessian Using the Duality Concept

The DFP formula for updating the inverse Hessian

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1}^{DFP} = \boldsymbol{H}_k + \frac{\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)} \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)\top}}{\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)\top} \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)}} - \frac{\boldsymbol{H}_k \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)} \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)\top} \boldsymbol{H}_k}{\Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)\top} \boldsymbol{H}_k \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)}}$$

• The formula for updating the Hessian— interchange the roles of $m{B}_k$ and $m{H}_k$ and $\Delta m{g}^{(i)}$ and $\Delta m{x}^{(i)}$

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{k+1} = \boldsymbol{B}_k + \frac{\Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)} \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)\top}}{\Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)\top} \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)}} - \frac{\boldsymbol{B}_k \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)} \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)\top} \boldsymbol{B}_k}{\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)\top} \boldsymbol{B}_k \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)}}$$

The BFGS Formula for Approximating the Inverse Hessian

Use the Sherman-Morrison formula for a matrix inverse

$$egin{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} egi$$

The BFGS Formula for Approximating the Inverse Hessian

Use the Sherman-Morrison formula for a matrix inverse

$$egin{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} egi$$

• Apply the above formula twice to compute $\boldsymbol{B}_{k+1}^{-1}$, that is,

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1}^{BFGS} = \boldsymbol{H}_{k} + \left(1 + \frac{\Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)\top} \boldsymbol{H}_{k} \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)}}{\Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)\top} \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)}}\right) \frac{\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)} \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)\top}}{\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)\top} \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)}}$$
$$- \frac{\boldsymbol{H}_{k} \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)} \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)\top} + \left(\boldsymbol{H}_{k} \Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)} \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)\top}\right)^{\top}}{\Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)\top} \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)}}$$
$$- \frac{\Delta \boldsymbol{g}^{(k)\top} \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)}}{\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)\top} \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)}}$$