References

- Baker, M. (2016). 1,500 scientists lift the lid on reproducibility. Nature, 533 (7604), 452–454. https://doi.org/10.1038/533452a
- ⁴ Crüwell, S., Apthorp, D., Baker, B. J., Colling, L., Elson, M., Geiger, S. J., Lobentanzer, S., Monéger,
- J., Patterson, A., Schwarzkopf, D. S., Zaneva, M., & Brown, N. J. L. (2023). What's in a
- Badge? A Computational Reproducibility Investigation of the Open Data Badge Policy in One
- Issue of Psychological Science. Psychological Science, 34(4), 512–522. https://doi.org/10.1177/
- 8 09567976221140828
- 9 Garcia, L., Batut, B., Burke, M. L., Kuzak, M., Psomopoulos, F., Arcila, R., Attwood, T. K., Beard,
- N., Carvalho-Silva, D., Dimopoulos, A. C., Angel, V. D. del, Dumontier, M., Gurwitz, K. T.,
- Krause, R., McQuilton, P., Le Pera, L., Morgan, S. L., Rauste, P., Via, A., ... Palagi, P. M.
- (2020). Ten simple rules for making training materials FAIR. PLOS Computational Biology, 16(5),
- e1007854. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007854
- Hardwicke, T. E., Bohn, M., MacDonald, K., Hembacher, E., Nuijten, M. B., Peloquin, B. N., deMayo,
- B. E., Long, B., Yoon, E. J., & Frank, M. C. (2021). Analytic reproducibility in articles receiving
- $_{16}$ $\,$ open data badges at the journal $Psychological\ Science$: an observational study. $Royal\ Society$
- 17 Open Science, 8(1). https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.201494
- Munafò, M. R., Nosek, B. A., Bishop, D. V. M., Button, K. S., Chambers, C. D., Percie du Sert, N.,
- Simonsohn, U., Wagenmakers, E.-J., Ware, J. J., & Ioannidis, J. P. A. (2017). A manifesto for
- reproducible science. Nature Human Behaviour, 1(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-016-0021
- Obels, P., Lakens, D., Coles, N. A., Gottfried, J., & Green, S. A. (2020). Analysis of Open Data and
- 22 Computational Reproducibility in Registered Reports in Psychology. Advances in Methods and
- 23 Practices in Psychological Science, 3(2), 229–237. https://doi.org/10.1177/2515245920918872
- Open Science Collaboration. (2015). Estimating the reproducibility of psychological science. Science,
- 25 349(6251). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aac4716
- Plomp, E., & Wittkuhn, L. (2023). Reproducible and FAIR teaching materials. Zenodo. https:
- //doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.8296951
- The Turing Way Community. (2022). The Turing Way: A handbook for reproducible, ethical and
- collaborative research. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.7625728
- Wicherts, J. M., Borsboom, D., Kats, J., & Molenaar, D. (2006). The poor availability of psychological
- research data for reanalysis. American Psychologist, 61(7), 726–728. https://doi.org/10.1037/
- 32 0003-066x.61.7.726
- Wilkinson, M. D., Dumontier, M., Aalbersberg, Ij. J., Appleton, G., Axton, M., Baak, A., Blomberg,
- N., Boiten, J.-W., Silva Santos, L. B. da, Bourne, P. E., Bouwman, J., Brookes, A. J., Clark, T.,
- ³⁵ Crosas, M., Dillo, I., Dumon, O., Edmunds, S., Evelo, C. T., Finkers, R., ... Mons, B. (2016).
- The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship. Scientific Data,
- 37 3(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2016.18