Notes on Nocedal and Wright's "Numerical Optimization" Chapter 10 – "Least Squares Methods"

Lucas N. Ribeiro

Introduction I

► Least squares problems:

$$f(x) = 0.5 \sum_{j=1}^{m} r_j^2(x)$$

where r_i is the residual function from \mathbb{R}^N to \mathbb{R} .

▶ Its gradient (notice that f(x) is a summation of *quadratic* functions):

$$\nabla f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} r_j(x) \nabla r_j(x) = J(x)^{\mathsf{T}} r(x)$$

Introduction II

▶ Its Hessian (apply the product rule to $\nabla f(x)$):

$$\nabla f^2(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m \nabla r_j(x) \nabla r_j(x)^\mathsf{T} + \sum_{j=1}^m r_j(x) \nabla^2 r_j(x) \tag{1}$$

$$= J(x)^{\mathsf{T}} J(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} r_j(x) \nabla^2 r_j(x)$$
 (2)

- ▶ The Jacobian matrix: $J(x) = [\partial r_j / \partial x_i]$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n and j = 1, 2, ..., m.
- Once we have the Jacobian, we can easily calculate the gradient and the Hessian.
- ► The second term in the Hessian is usually unimportant (because the residuals are small – if the model fits!)
- ▶ Solutions to minimize f(x) are usually based on line search and trust region methods which exploit the available structure

Linear Least Squares I

- ▶ Model: $\phi(x; t_j)$
- \blacktriangleright Measurement: y_j
- ► Residual: $r_j(x) = \phi(x; t_j) y_j$
- ▶ In the linear least squares problem, the model is linear and the residual can be written as r(x) = Ax y, for some A matrix.
- ► The objective function is now $f(x) = 0.5||Ax y||^2$
- ▶ Its gradient: $\nabla f(x) = A^{\mathsf{T}}Ax Ay$
- ► Its Hessian: $\nabla^2 f(x) = A^T A$ (note that the second term vanished)
- ▶ By setting $\nabla f(x) = 0$, we have the normal equations:

$$A^{\mathsf{T}}Ax^* = J^{\mathsf{T}}y$$

One can solve the normal equation by Cholesky, QR or SVD factorization, in which the latter is the most robust

Linear Least Squares II

► The SVD (of *A*) solution is given by (pseudo-inverse)

$$x^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{u_i^\mathsf{T} y}{\sigma_i} v_i$$

► When the problem is too large, CG may be useful to solve the normal equations

Non-Linear Least Squares – Gauss-Netwon

- ► Modified Newton's method with line search
- ▶ Remember, Newton's method solves: $\nabla^2 f(x_k) p_k = -\nabla f(x_k)$.
- ► We consider the approximation:

$$\nabla^2 f(x_k) \approx J_k^{\mathsf{T}} J_k \tag{3}$$

► And the GN method solves

$$J_k^{\mathsf{T}} J_k p_k^{\mathsf{GN}} = -J_k^{\mathsf{T}} r_k$$

- ▶ It's similar to the normal equation \rightarrow recast as linear LS problem!
- ► For each step, solve:

$$\min_{p} 0.5 ||J_k p + r_k||^2$$

- Can be solved by means of SVD, QR, CG method, etc
- ightharpoonup Nice performance if J_k has full rank.

Non-Linear Least Squares – Levenberg-Marquardt

- ► Newton's method approximation with trust-region method
- ▶ Works better than GN when the Jacobian is rank-deficient
- ► Formulation:

$$\min_{p} 0.5 \|J_k p + r_k\|^2, \quad \text{subject to } \|p\| \le \Delta_k \tag{4}$$

- ▶ When the GN solution lies in the trust region, it's the solution $(\|p^{GN}\| < \Delta)$
- ▶ Otherwise, there is a $\lambda > 0$ such that the solution of the LS problem $(J^TJ + \lambda I)p = -J^Tr$ (this problem is obtained by incorporating the trust region into the objective function by a Lagrange multiplier)
- lacktriangle A λ that matches Δ can be found by a root-finding algorithm

Non-Linear Least Squares – Orthogonal Distance Regression

► Incorporate errors within the model

$$y_j = \phi(x; t_j + \delta_j) + \epsilon_j$$

▶ define the minimization problem

$$\min_{x,\delta_j,\epsilon_j} 0.5 \sum_{j=1}^m w_j^2 \epsilon_j^2 + d_j^2 \sigma_j^2$$

- ► If all the weights are equal: shortest distance → (it's orthogonal to the curve at the point of intersection)
- ► can be reformulated as a linear least squares problem