# Permanent Income with No Borrowing, and Dynamic Programming

Jesse Perla University of British Columbia

January 7, 2019

# 1 Basic setup




Figure 1: Income and Savings over Lifecycle with Borrowing

**Recall:** Previous example in Figure 1 with growing income and retirement. Assets may become negative early in lifecycle.

## 1.1 Add constraints on PIH

$$\max_{\{c_t, F_{t+1}\}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t), \tag{1}$$

s.t. 
$$F_{t+1} = R(F_t + y_t - c_t)$$
 (2)

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \left( \beta^{T+1} F_{T+1} \right) \ge 0 \text{ (No ponzi)}$$
(3)

$$\begin{cases}
F_{t+1} \ge 0 \\
c_t \ge 0
\end{cases}$$
 (Add constraints: no borrowing!) (4)

where  $F_0$  is given,  $\beta < 1, R > 1$ ; Assume  $\lim_{c \to 0} u'(c) = \infty$  (Called an "Inada Condition").

## 1.2 Set up Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left( u(c_t) + \lambda_t [R(F_t + y_t - c_t) - F_{t+1}] + \nu_{t+1} \cdot F_{t+1} + \alpha_t \cdot c_t \right)$$
 (5)

where  $\lambda_t$  is LM on inter-temporal budget;  $\nu_{t+1}$  is LM on  $F_{t+1} \geq 0$ ;  $\alpha_t$  is LM on the  $c_t \geq 0$ 

• First Order Necessary Condition:

$$[c_t]: \beta^t u'(c_t) - \beta^t \lambda_t R + \beta^t \cdot \alpha_t = 0, \forall t \ge 0$$
(6)

$$[F_{t+1}]: -\lambda_t + \nu_{t+1} + \beta \lambda_{t+1} R = 0, \forall t \ge 0$$
 (7)

- For constraints:

$$\nu_{t+1} \ge 0 \tag{8}$$

$$\alpha_t \ge 0 \tag{9}$$

$$\nu_{t+1}F_{t+1} = 0 \tag{10}$$

$$\alpha_t c_t = 0 \tag{11}$$

- Reorganize (6)

$$\Rightarrow u'(c_t) + \alpha_t = \lambda_t R$$
, with  $\alpha_t \ge 0$  (12)

$$\Rightarrow u'(c_t) \le \lambda_t R, = \text{if } \alpha_t = 0 \tag{13}$$

From  $\alpha_t = 0$  or  $c_t = 0$ , note if  $c_t = 0$ , use "Inada Condition" that  $u'(0) = \infty$ , which is a contradiction. So we have  $\alpha_t = 0, c_t > 0$ , implying:

$$u'(c_t) = \lambda_t \cdot R \tag{14}$$

- Reorganize (7), multiply by R

$$\beta RR\lambda_{t+1} - R\lambda_t + R\nu_{t+1} = 0 \tag{15}$$

Use (14)

$$\beta R u'(c_{t+1}) + R \nu_{t+1} = u'(c_t) \tag{16}$$

Then use complementarity, since  $\nu_{t+1} \ge 0$ 

$$\beta Ru'(c_{t+1}) \le u'(c_t) = \text{if } F_{t+1} > 0, \forall t \ge 0$$
 (17)

• Note that if  $F_{t+1} = 0$  and  $\nu_{t+1} > 0$ , then from budget constraint:

$$0 = R(F_t + y_t - c_t) \tag{18}$$

$$\Rightarrow c_t = F_t + y_t \text{ (eats all income and savings)}$$
 (19)

• Summarizing results: under no-borrowing constraints:

$$u'(c_t) = \beta R u'(c_{t+1}); \text{ or}$$
(20)

$$u'(c_t) = \beta R u'(c_{t+1}); \text{ or}$$
 (20)  
 $u'(c_t) > \beta R u'(c_{t+1}) \text{ and } c_t = F_t + y_t$ 

#### Example on preferences 1.3

• We assume  $u(c) = \log(c) \Rightarrow u'(c) = \frac{1}{c_0}, \beta R = 1$ Then:

$$\frac{1}{c_t} = \frac{1}{c_{t+1}} \Rightarrow c_{t+1} = c_t, \ \underline{\text{or}}$$

$$\tag{22}$$

$$\frac{1}{c_t} > \frac{1}{c_{t+1}} \Rightarrow c_{t+1} > c_t \text{ and } c_t = F_t + y_t$$
 (23)

#### • Example 1:

- Let  $y_{t+1} = \delta y_t \Rightarrow y_t = \delta^t y_0$  s.t.  $\delta > 1$  and  $F_0 = 0$
- Solution: (Guess always constrained, then verify:)

$$c_t = y_t, \forall t \tag{24}$$

$$F_t = 0, \forall t \tag{25}$$

So the person is always borrowing constrained.

Verify: 
$$y_t > y_{t-1} \Rightarrow c_t > c_{t-1}, \forall t; F_t = 0 \Rightarrow c_t = y_t$$

# • Example 2:

- Let  $0 < \delta < 1, y_t = \delta^t y_0, F_0 = 0$
- Solution:(Guess unconstrained and then verify)

Guess  $c_t = \bar{c}$ , such that

$$\underline{\bar{c}} = (1 - \beta) y_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \delta^t \beta^t \Rightarrow$$
unconstrained formula if  $F_0 = 0$  (26)

$$\bar{c} = (1 - \beta) \frac{y_0}{1 - \beta \delta} \tag{27}$$

$$F_{t+1} = R(F_t + y_t - \bar{c}) \tag{28}$$

- Note:  $c_0 = \frac{1-\beta}{1-\beta\delta}y_0 < \frac{1-\beta}{1-\beta}y_0 = y_0 \Rightarrow y_0 - c_0 > 0$ , saves, not borrows In the limit as  $t \to \infty, y_t \to 0$  but  $c_t = \bar{c}$ . Put into budget to look for a steady state:

$$\bar{A} = R(\bar{A} + 0 - \bar{c}) \text{ if } F_t \approx F_{t+1} \text{ for large } t$$
 (29)

$$\Rightarrow R\bar{c} = (R-1)\bar{A}, \text{ by } R = 1+r$$
 (30)

$$\bar{c} = \frac{R - 1}{R} \bar{A} = \underbrace{\frac{r}{1 + r}}_{\text{annuity value}} \bar{A} \tag{31}$$

- So lives off annuity value of savings eventually (Also  $\frac{r}{1+r} = 1 - \beta$  if  $\beta \equiv \frac{1}{1+r}$ ). E.g. Can by an annuity for a stream of income, they buy it and pay you a set amount forever (or until death).

See Figure 2 for example of decreasing income (not to scale).




Figure 2: Asymptotic Behavior with Decreasing Income

#### 2 Welfare cost of no-borrowing

#### • Setup:

- Given a feasible  $\{c_t\}$ , the lifetime utility of an agent  $U = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t)$ . This is their welfare, their objective function.
- In general, adding constraints to the set of feasible  $\{c_t\}$  weakly decreases welfare, as in Figure 3.




Figure 3: Constrained and First-Best

- Unconstrained  $T = \infty$
- Assume  $1 \leq \beta R \leq \delta, u(c) = \log(c), F_0 = 0$ , subject to  $\lim_{T \to \infty} F_{T+1}\beta^T$ , no ponzi scheme.

#### • Solution:

- <u>Euler</u>:  $u'(c_t) = \beta R u'(c_{t+1}) \Rightarrow c_t = \beta \cdot R c_{t+1} \Rightarrow c_t = (\beta R)^t c_0$
- Lifetime budget:

$$0 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} R^{-j} (c_{t+j} - y_{t+j})$$
(32)

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} R^{-t} \beta^t R^t c_0 = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} R^{-t} \delta^t \cdot y_0 \tag{33}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{\frac{c_0}{1-\beta}}_{\text{PV of consumption}} = \underbrace{\frac{y_0}{1-\frac{\delta}{R}}}_{\text{PV of income}}$$
(34)

$$\Rightarrow c_0 = (1 - \beta) \frac{y_0}{1 - \frac{\delta}{R}} \tag{35}$$

### • Consumer's Lifetime Utility:

$$U = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \log(c_t) \tag{36}$$

$$= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \log \left( (\beta R)^t \cdot \frac{1-\beta}{1-\frac{\delta}{R}} y_0 \right)$$
 (37)

$$= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left[ \log(y_0) + \log(\frac{1-\beta}{1-\frac{\delta}{R}}) + t \log(\beta R) \right]$$
(38)

$$= \frac{\log y_0 + \log(1-\beta) - \log(1-\frac{\delta}{R})}{1-\beta} + \log(\beta R) \sum_{\substack{t=0 \text{Recall sum from previous Markov waiting time}}}^{\infty} t\beta^t$$
(39)

$$U = \frac{1}{1-\beta} \left[ \log(y_0) + \log(1-\beta) - \log(1-\frac{\delta}{R}) \right] + \log(\beta R) \left[ \frac{\beta}{(1-\beta)^2} \right]$$
 (40)

- No borrowing,  $T = \infty$ 
  - Same assumptions but  $F_{t+1} \ge 0$
  - As solved before, consumes <u>all</u> income  $y_t = y_0 \delta^t \Rightarrow c_t = y_0 \delta^t$

$$U^{NB} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \log(y_0 \delta^t) \tag{41}$$

$$= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left[ \log(y_0) + t \log(\delta) \right] \tag{42}$$

$$= \frac{\log(y_0)}{1-\beta} + \log(\delta) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} t\beta^t$$
(43)

$$= \frac{\log(y_0)}{1-\beta} + \log(\delta) \frac{\beta}{(1-\beta)^2} \neq U \tag{44}$$

# 3 Dynamic Programming Approach

- Suppose  $c_t = c_0 \delta^t$  for  $t \ge 0$ . We want to evaluate:  $V(c_0) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \log(c_t)$
- Note:  $V(c_0) = \log(c_0) + \beta \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j \log(c_{1+j}) = \log(c_0) + \beta V(c_1)$ , where  $c_1 = \delta c_0$ , Markov!
- Bellman Equation:  $V(c) = \log(c) + \beta V(\delta c)$ . We want to find V(c) function, then evaluate at  $c_0$
- Process:
  - Guess  $V(c) = k_0 + k_1 \log(c)$ , where  $k_0, k_1$  are <u>undetermined coefficients</u>

- Plug in:

$$k_0 + k_1 \log(c) = \log(c) + \beta \left[ k_0 + k_1 \log(\delta c) \right]$$
 (45)

$$= \log(c) + \beta k_0 + \beta k_1 \log(\delta) + \beta k_1 \log(c) \tag{46}$$

$$=\underbrace{[1+\beta k_1]\log(c)}_{k_1} + \underbrace{[\beta k_0 + \beta k_1\log(\delta)]}_{k_0}, \text{ by using undetermined coefficients}$$

(47)

$$k_1 = (1 + \beta k_1) \Rightarrow k_1 = \frac{1}{1 - \beta}$$
 (48)

$$k_0 = \beta k_0 + \beta k_1 \log(\delta) = \beta k_0 + \beta \frac{\log(\delta)}{1 - \beta} \Rightarrow k_0 = \frac{\beta}{(1 - \beta)^2} \log(\delta) \Rightarrow \tag{49}$$

$$V(c) = \frac{1}{1-\beta} \log(c) + \frac{\beta}{(1-\beta)^2} \log(\delta), \text{ which agrees with our earlier solution.}$$
(50)