(Welfare Cost of Financial Frictions)

Let $\beta = .95$, R = 1.04.

Scenario 1 for Consumer The consumer maximizes the following welfare

$$U = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \log(c_t) \tag{1}$$

s.t.
$$F_{t+1} = R(F_t + y_t - c_t), \quad \forall t \ge 0$$
 (2)

$$y_t = y_0 \delta^t, \quad \forall t \ge 0 \tag{3}$$

$$F_0 = 0 (4)$$

Scenario 2 for Consumer The consumer faces the same problem as Scenario 1, except with **no borrowing**: $F_{t+1} \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, and the initial level of y_0 is potentially different (defined as y_0^{NB} . Define the PDV of utility (i.e., the welfare) of this as U^{NB}

- (a) Assume $\delta = 1.02, y_0 = 1$, and $y_0^{NB} = 1$. Calculate U and U_{NB} .
- (b) Let $y_0 = 1$. Now find a y_0^{NB} such that $U = U^{NB}$. The difference between y_0 and y_0^{NB} is the amount of sacrifice in terms a consumer with a borrowing constraint would pay to be free to borrow. A measure of the welfare loss of the no borrowing constraint.
- (c) Maintain $y_0 = 1$. Now, let $\beta = .99$, R = 1.04, and $\delta = 1.01$. What is c_0 and F_1 here under Scenario 1? Repeat part (b) to find y_0^{NB} such that $U = U^{NB}$ with these new parameters. What can you conclude about the welfare cost of no borrowing in this case?

(Sequential and Recursive)

Let the consumer have power utility,

$$u(c) = \frac{c^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}, \quad \gamma > 1$$

Given $F_0 = 0, B \ge 0, \beta R = 1$, and the deterministic income stream $y_t = \delta^t$, the consumer maximizes

$$U = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t) \tag{6}$$

s.t.
$$F_{t+1} = R(F_t + y_t - c_t), \quad \forall t \ge 0$$
 (7)

$$F_{t+1} \ge -B \tag{8}$$

$$F_0 = 0 (9)$$

- (a) Derive the <u>euler equation</u> as an inequality, and the condition for it holding with equality.
- (b) Let $\delta > 1$ and $B = \infty$. What is $\{c_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$?
- (c) Let $\delta > 1$ and B = 0. What is $\{c_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$?
- (d) Let $\delta < 1$ and B = 0. What is $\{c_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$?
- (e) Assume that the consumer optimally eats their entire income each period, i.e., $c_t = y_t = \delta^t$ which implies $c_{t+1} = \delta c_t$. Setup, using dynamic programming, an equation to find the value V(c) recursively.
- (f) Guess that $V(c) = k_0 + k_1 c^{1-\gamma}$ for some undetermined k_0 and k_1 . Solve for k_0 and k_1 and evaluate V(1) (i.e., the value of starting with $c_0 = 1$.

¹Note that this equation deliberately is avoiding any t subscripts! This makes it a truly recursive expression.

(Search with Firing)

Take the search model we did in class with an endogenous choice of accepting a job, but add in the following elements:

- If you are unemployed and reject a wage, there is only a $\theta \in (0,1)$ probability to get a wage offer. Otherwise, you can recall a wage you previously rejected last period. (hint: they would never choose to recall a wage in equilibrium, but it may help you write down the Bellman equation cleanly.)
- There is an exogenous probability $\alpha \in (0,1)$ of being fired at the end of any period you are working. You then draw a new wage as an unemployed agent entering the next period with certainty (i.e., bypass the θ probability going into the next period.

To summarize the timing here: As in our example in class, let v(w) be the value of coming a period <u>unemployed</u> with wage offer w and when they are about to choose to accept or reject.

If they reject an offer, they gain unemployment insurance c, and have the probability θ to gain the draw with expected value

$$Q = \int_0^B v(\hat{w}) f(\hat{w}) d\hat{w}$$

If they <u>accept</u> they gain the wage w that period, and then have the α chance of being fired as they come into the next period—at which point they get the wage offer draw with certainty, as discussed. (Hint: if they are not fired, the value next period is v(w), the same as if they were first offered w.)

- (a) Draw a Markov chain with two states E and U. Let the probability of staying unemployed be λ which will end up endogenous. You will also need the α transition probability
- (b) Write the value of a worker with wage offer w who chooses to reject the offer (hint: if they reject they don't necessarily gain a new draw, but could have v(w) as their value next period since they can recall the rejected w).
- (c) Write the value of a worker accepting the offer of w. (hint: may need to be recursive now, unlike what we did in class)
- (d) Combine the values in the previous two parts to form a Bellman equation with v(w) and the max for the choice.
- (e) Write the equation for an indifference point \bar{w} , where they are at the threshold of accepting (or rejecting) the wage.²
- (f) Assuming that you could numerically solve the previous equation to find a \bar{w} , what is the expression for the stationary proportion of unemployed workers as a function of $\alpha, \theta, f(\cdot)$, and \bar{w} . (Hint: derive the λ , then use older notes on unemployment. However, recall that the $U \to E$ transition only occurs if <u>both</u> a wage offer and endogenous acceptance occur).

²As in the case of class, the Bellman equation could be reorganized to eliminate the $v(\cdot)$ function to give an implicit equation in \bar{w} and parameters. This is significantly trickier than what we did, so only try to simplify the indifference equation if you wish.

(GE with 2 goods)

A <u>household</u> supplies 1 unit of labor inelastically (i.e., doesn't value leisure) and consumes two types of goods in quantities: c_1 (e.g., apples) and c_2 (e.g., oranges).³ The preferences are

$$u(c_1, c_2) = ac_1^{\alpha} c_2^{1-\alpha}$$

with $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and a > 0.

The <u>production</u> technology uses labor (ℓ) as its only input and can produce good 1 or good 2 with separate constant returns to scale production functions: $y_1 = z_1 \ell_1$ and $y_2 = z_2 \ell_2$. with productivities $z_1 > 0$ and $z_2 > 0$.

The total labor allocated to producing each good cannot add to more than the total labor endowment: $\ell_1 + \ell_2 = 1$ (i.e., holds at equality due to inelastic supply).

- (a) Carefully define a <u>feasible allocation</u> in this economy
- (b) Formulate the planner's problem. (hint: how many constraints and choice variables are there compared to the examples in class?)
- (c) Provide a system of equations which would solve the planning problem, and solve for the allocation.

Now, assume that firms operate the production technology, and that both firms and consumers are <u>price takers</u> for the market prices of labor and goods. Let q_1 and q_2 be the prices of the 2 goods. Let w be the wage of the consumer per unit of labor.

- (d) What is a price system for this economy?
- (e) What is the consumer's budget constraint? What are the choice variables of the consumer? (Hint: careful on what they are not allowed to choose). Write down the consumer's problem.
- (f) What are the profits of firm 1 with output y_1 ? What are the choice variables of the firm? (Hint: again, careful on what they are not allowed to choose). Write down the full profit maximization problem of firm type 1. Repeat for firm type 2.
- (g) Carefully define a competitive equilibrium for this economy.
- (h) Solve for the competitive equilibrium. Does this decentralize the planner's problem?

³Hint: Equations combining "apples" and "oranges" directly without any prices may be correct, but they may not be useful as they are physically district objects.