
ZerotoHero

Subtitle

By

LIAM O'SHEA



Department of Computer Science
UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL

A dissertation submitted to the University of Bristol in accordance with the requirements of the degree of DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY in the Faculty of Engineering.

MAY 2014

Word count: UNKNOWN-REPLACE

ABSTRACT

Here goes the abstract

DEDICATION AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Here goes the dedication.

AUTHOR'S DECLARATION

I declare that the work in this dissertation was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the University's Regulations and Code of Practice for Research Degree Programmes and that it has not been submitted for any other academic award. Except where indicated by specific reference in the text, the work is the candidate's own work. Work done in collaboration with, or with the assistance of, others, is indicated as such. Any views expressed in the dissertation are those of the author.

SIGNED: DATE:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
List of Tables	ix
List of Figures	xi
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Goals	2
1.1.1 Limitations & Scope	2
1.1.2 Other applications?	2
2 Background & Research	3
2.1 Boxing Background	3
2.1.1 Motivation	4
2.2 Boxing Technique	4
2.2.1 Stance	4
2.2.2 Punches	5
2.3 Kinect	5
2.3.1 Skeleton & Joint Tracking	7
2.4 Literature Review	8
2.5 Existing Products	10
3 Specification & Design	13
3.1 Scope of Project	13
3.2 Punch Segmentation Algorithm	13
3.3 Punch Quality Algorithm	13
3.4 Segmentation methods? Feature Extraction?	13
3.4.1 Dynamic Time Warping (DTW)	13
3.4.2 Neural Networks	14
3.4.3 Decision Trees	14
3.4.4 Support Vector Machines	15
3.4.5 Fourier Transformation	15

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3.5	Dimensionality	15
3.5.1	Dimensionality Reduction	16
3.5.2	Principal Component Analysis (PCA)	16
3.6	Manifold Learning	16
3.6.1	Markov Chains	17
3.6.2	Diffusion Maps	17
3.6.3	Locally Linear Embedding (LLE)	17
3.6.4	Laplacian Eigenmaps	18
3.6.5	Local Tangent Space Alignment (LTSA)	18
3.6.6	Curvilinear Component Analysis (CCA)	18
4	Implementation	21
4.1	Comparison Methods	21
5	Results, Conclusions, and future work	27
5.1	Section	27
5.1.1	Subsection	27
A	Appendix A	29
	Bibliography	31

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	Page
-------	------

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	Page
2.1 Kinect Device	6
2.2 Kinect Device	6
2.3 Kin Specs	7
2.4 Kin Specs	7
2.5 Kin Specs	8
2.6 Kinect Depth Specifications	9
2.7 Kinect Joint Tracking Skeleton	10
3.1 Kinect Device	14
3.2 Kinect Device	15
3.3 Kinect Device	17
4.1 ALLData	21
4.2 Kinect Device	22
4.3 WAT	23
4.4 Kinect Device	24
4.5 WAT	25
4.6 Kinect Device	26

INTRODUCTION

Computer aided coaching has revolutionised training approaches and been a key driver in improving sports performance at the highest level. Professional athletes can now use advanced augmented coaching to accurately and reliably measure a range of metrics that are then used as indicators of performance.[11] This gives the coaching teams unique insight which they use to tailor training programs to give the athlete a goal to focus on and improve.

Huge injection of research funding in preparation for the London Olympics such as The Elite Sport Performance Research in Training (ESPRIT) Programme, meant that UK Sport was allocated £2,000,000 while the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council were allocated £6,100,000.[17] This money was spent on enhancing elite performance training with technology [6] [16] which allowed British Olympians to take advantage of these training methods and return with a medal record making it the most successful team since 1908.[18] These hi-tech training methods were used by Team GB Boxing [2] and have started to become incorporated in the USA Boxing team.[10]

However despite the recent success, **sports technology** is still in its infancy, with the majority of devices being expensive, specialist and proprietary[8]. There is an array of consumer devices such as the Fitbit, Nike+ Fuelband, Garmin Vivofit and Jawbone that function as general activity trackers but there is currently nothing that offers specialist training or coaching advice. The purpose of ZeroToHero was to use an already widespread, low cost consumer device to bring specialist boxing coaching to everyone. The low-cost is especially crucial since most boxing clubs struggle with funding, rely on volunteers and traditionally have members that are the young and least wealthy in society.

ZeroToHero explores the ability of the Kinect to act as a electronic boxing trainer, offering advice

on punch & stance performance.

1.1 Goals

Maybe talk about the pipeline here? Segmentation?

1.1.1 Limitations & Scope

Begins a subsection.

1.1.2 Other applications?

BACKGROUND & RESEARCH

This chapter describes and explains boxing concepts which are important to understanding the goal of the project. It gives an overview of the types of punches a boxer must execute along with common errors associated with those. It also reviews relevant literature in areas covered by this thesis and explores the current cutting edge possibilities.

2.1 Boxing Background

Boxing requires an incredible amount of co-ordination and timing as well as the ability to rapidly execute punches in a controlled and precise manner. Unlike professional boxing which is 12 x 3 minute rounds an amateur bout is 3 x 2 minute rounds which changes the dynamics of the contest. Amateur boxing relies on a points scoring system since there is often insufficient time for knockouts. therefore amateur boxers rely on the mastery of technique and proper form. Dropping you hand for a split second can open you up to an experienced boxer and could spell disaster.

As someone who has boxed for over 5 years and captain of the University of Bristol's Amateur Boxing Club (UOBABC) I know how difficult it is to develop good technique and how much time and experience is required from a coach to develop a new boxer. This one-on-one time is incredibly valuable but expensive and for the large majority very hard to get. ZerotoHero aim is to be able to identify different types of punches and to offer feedback on the quality of the movement. This will bring some much needed expert advice to a beginner who can practice in the comfort of their own home.

I am using my own experience and that of local professionals, coaches and local legend Denis Stinchcombe MBE the centre director of Riverside Youth Project and Registrar for the Western Counties for the Amateur Boxing Association.

2.1.1 Motivation

This research is borne out of a desire to improve access and cost to boxing coaching which are problems I have encountered first hand through the University Boxing Club. In the wider environment It could be used in developing countries where physical access to coaches with the required expertise may be difficult as well as local clubs in the UK.

Every year UOBABC takes in new members that are total beginners. We spend an enormous amount of time and effort helping them learn the basics and encourage people to practice at home. The problem is that from a boxers perspective it's incredibly hard to spot your own faults. If it was possible to practice at home with the benefits of coaching it would bring massive improvements to their abilities. The current most effective way to train as an individual is to stand in front of a mirror and observe yourself while shadow boxing.

It could also be used as a way to introduce younger children to the sport since the Kinect is incredibly popular in that demographic cite and so it's great from an inclusion perspective.

2.2 Boxing Technique

For the scope of this project I am going to focus on the most common orthodox stance. There are tens of slight variations on each punch but I am going to focus on the core and important principles from which these can be built.

2.2.1 Stance

The most fundamental building block of boxing is the stance, that is how you hold and position your body as well as the placement & orientation of your feet. A good stance is crucial since it allows the boxer to be well balanced and light on their feet, allowing fast movement in any direction as well as the ability to quickly duck, weave, slip and bob and lay back to avoid punches. It is also crucial for offence since the power from punches come from the transfer of weight from one leg to another which requires a very specific twisting hip movement. Often beginners forget this crucial step and so I'm hoping to use this unique trait to help me judge quality later on. A successful stance should have the following characteristics:

- Left foot forward, right foot back with the feet slightly wider than shoulder width with a 45 degree angle twist.
- Right heel of the ground at all times with weight distribution mostly on your back leg
- Slightly bent knees
- Chin tucked down
- Right hand on the right hand side of your chin, left hand should be a few inches in front of the left side of the face
- Elbows tucked in

2.2.2 Punches

Jab

The elbow should stay tucked in while the left fist extends with palms facing inwards before twisting your wrist at the last moment. The natural thing to do is extend the punch with palms facing down, unfortunately this immediately makes the elbow stick out which allows the opponent to easily see you are about to throw a punch (telegraphing) while opening up your body for a counter attack. The punch should also finish so your arm is fully extended which helps to extend your reach and protect your chin before speedily returning it to the guard position. Characteristic to target: Elbow movement

Cross

The cross is designed as your heavy straight punch and as such is slower but more powerful. To get a snappy and powerful punch it is important to transfer your weight rapidly from your back leg to your front leg, twisting your hips. Characteristic to target: Rapid and specific hip movement

Hooks

Your elbow should come out so it is shoulder height and your fist and shoulder should be at 90 degrees to each other. Again a rapid transfer of weight between hips is needed.

Characteristic to target: Dropping the hand before punching Characteristic to target: Hip movement

Uppercuts

This required the fighter to crouch down into the squat position and throw a punch vertically upwards, with the aim of striking the opponent's chin. Characteristics: Sufficient Crouch, Characteristic: directly vertical punch, keeping guard close at all times.

2.3 Kinect

This section provides some background information about Microsoft Kinect that is important for understanding the features and limitations of Kinect Analysis. According to Microsoft, the Kinect has worldwide sales of approximately 28 million units and contains an RGB camera, an infrared (IR) emitter and an IR depth sensor as well as a multi-array microphone. The interaction space of the Kinect is limited by the field of view of the Kinect cameras. The Kinect has a 43°vertical by 57°horizontal field of view. The Kinect sensor can be tilted using a built-in tilt motor. Tilting the Kinect increases the interaction space by +27 and -27 degrees. The Kinect sensor provides sensor data in form of data streams. It can capture audio, color and depth data. In addition, it can process the depth data to generate skeleton data. Therefore, the Kinect offers four different data

streams that can be accessed: audio stream, color stream, depth stream and skeleton stream. The streams can deliver at most 30 frames per second (FPS) using a resolution of 640×480 which drops to 12 FPS with a resolution of 1280×960 .




FIGURE 2.1. Kinect Device.




FIGURE 2.2. 3D representation

Depth & Infrared Stream

The depth sensor generates invisible IR light to determine an object's depth from the sensor. The primary use for the IR stream is to improve external camera calibration using a test pattern observed from both the RGB and IR camera to more accurately determine how to map coordinates from one camera space to another. [13] The NUI API uses the depth stream to detect the presence of humans in front of the sensor.[4] Skeletal tracking is optimized to recognize users facing the Kinect, so sideways poses provide some challenges because parts of the body are not visible to the sensor.

Kinect	Array Specifications
Viewing angle	43° vertical by 57° horizontal field of view
Vertical tilt range	±27°
Frame rate (depth and color stream)	30 frames per second (FPS)
Audio format	16-kHz, 24-bit mono pulse code modulation (PCM)
Audio input characteristics	A four-microphone array with 24-bit analog-to-digital converter (ADC) and Kinect-resident signal processing including acoustic echo cancellation and noise suppression
Accelerometer characteristics	A 2G/4G/8G accelerometer configured for the 2G range, with a 1° accuracy upper limit.

FIGURE 2.3. Kinect Specifications




FIGURE 2.4. Kinect Specifications

2.3.1 Skeleton & Joint Tracking

The Kinects default tracking mode can track up to 20 joints per skeleton providing the subject is standing relatively face on and are fully visible to the sensor. Although the Kinect is capable of different modes (e.g. sitting) this is not relevant in the context of my project. Each skeleton frame contains the position of each joint as well as information about the tracking quality. Joints can have one of three different tracking states, Not Tracked (0), Inferred (1) and Tracked (2), this flag is useful as an indicator of the quality of the measurements you are receiving for a particular joint. When possible tracked joints are used to help calculate the position of those joints that cannot be directly tracked hence the inferred joints. The Kinects default tracking mode is designed to track people who are standing and fully visible to the sensor. The default range requires skeletons to be at least 80 centimetres away from the device to be tracked properly.




FIGURE 2.5. Kinect Specifications

2.4 Literature Review

The Kinect is currently a rich area of research in its own right and it straddles the fields of Image Processing and Computer Vision as well as Human computer Interaction which are exciting and popular areas of research. I wanted to see what was capable with the Kinect at the cutting edge of research so I made use of the Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV) & European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV) to find relevant and useful research.

In 2013 a controlled study of 16 people who were blind or who had low vision was ran to test the usefulness of Eyes-Free Yoga: An Exergame Using Depth Cameras for Blind & Low Vision Exercise. The purpose of this was to teach the participants yoga poses using audio feedback and a positive response from participants.[15] The study took the Kinect joint positions and calculated joint angles while using heuristics for each pose. However the study only measured success using 6 static movements, which unlike boxing were considerably different from each other and so did not help me evaluate the feasibility of my goal.

The Kinect has also been used to evaluate a dancers performance with comparison to a professional dancer in real-time[1]. A score was achieved by adding three different metrics, one from the correlation coefficient of quaternions, another using joint velocities and finally a “3D flow Error,” calculated from frame vectors.

The experimental results were encouraging with most of the scores consistent with real-life rankings with the exception of a few poor results due to bad skeleton calibration and tracking. This work draws strong parallels in what I am trying to achieve and demonstrated that the Kinect was a viable option for my work.

Other work such as disc throwing performance[19] also showed some promise with limited success with the lower ability groups improving their movement. This approach simply used joint




FIGURE 2.6. Kinect Depth Specifications.

angles to measure 5 different phrases of the throw and compare that to joint angle rules.

From my research I concluded that the Kinect was a viable solution to my problem and worth pursuing. Crucially however there has been no research into the area of boxing which brings it's own unique challenges. Boxers are trained to be fast, well guarded and to give very little away in their movements, especially punches. Therefore many of the punches and poses are very similar since the goal is to be naturally evasive. This will make segmenting punches and giving useful quality metrics challenging in it's own way unlike say a discus throw.

Do I need to do a better evaluation of techniques used here?

cite aug7 as expensive proprietary solution It has also been used to recognise Karate movements and give a quality measurement on the movements performed to




FIGURE 2-5 The 20 control points of a skeleton.

FIGURE 2.7. Kinect Joint Tracking Skeleton.

2.5 Existing Products

UFC Personal Trainer

The closest commercial product is a game called UFC Personal Trainer which is a very broad commercial product aimed at introducing people to UFC. After evaluating this product it became clear to me that it's main focus is exercise regimes rather than technical fighting. Therefore it does not offer the preciseness or technical fighting focus that I require. In this game even technically wrong punches will still register.

Kinect sports boxing game

This has very poor punch discrimination and does not require any sort of real boxing ability. The goal here is usually to punch towards the Kinect controller as quickly as possible. It fails to recognise properly thrown hooks and uppercuts and translate those into the game.

Fighters Uncaged

This game has generally poor reviews from reviewers, with most complaints involving the reliability of the Kinect to accurately measure fighting moves. [9] "When the fights actually start, pulling off moves becomes a series of desperate flails, trying to get the game to recognize your actions." [7] and "The game fails to register most of the movements", "The idea was great for

Kinect, but something went horribly wrong along the way. Kinect is supposed to register your every kick and punch, but it only catches about the half of them.”, “the Kinect control is lazily implemented.”[12]

Do I need to extend the background for boxing?

SPECIFICATION & DESIGN

This needs to give a general overview of the program structure/system architecture.

3.1 Scope of Project

Begins a section.

3.2 Punch Segmentation Algorithm

3.3 Punch Quality Algorithm

I will be measuring quality in reference to a ‘ground truth’ produced by a local professional. My aim is to create rules that are capable of detecting basic mistakes and offer advice accordingly. Jab sticking the right elbow up.

3.4 Segmentation methods? Feature Extraction?

3.4.1 Dynamic Time Warping (DTW)

Dynamic time warping compares two temporal sequences, often which vary in time or speed and tries to calculate an optimal match. The two sequences are aligned and a similarity score is produced. This technique can be used on any data that can be represented in a linear fashion and has been successfully applied to fields such as signature recognition, voice recognition, partial shape matching and gait analysis. For example in gait analysis, similarities could be detected in the walking pattern of two people even though their speed and acceleration may be difference.

Given I will be measuring punches of sequences over time I intend to see If I can use DTM to recognise punches and pose

3.4.2 Neural Networks

Neural networks are models based on the parallel processing of information similar to that of the brain. A NN can be configured for different applications such as clustering, pattern recognition, Dynamic Time series and curve fitting. They are able to derive meaning from complex data that human beings would be unable to notice and that other techniques will fail on. Crucially the networks are capable of approximating non-linear functions **unlike DTW. Neural networks learn by examples in the form of training data and are adaptive based on a system of weightings calculated by % error.** Other characteristics are their ability to self organise and the ability to work in real-time if sufficient parallelism is supported.

3.4.3 Decision Trees

A predictive tree like model which maps observations about an object to reach a conclusion about its target value. In this model the 'leaves' represent class labels while the branches themselves represent conjunctions of features that will lead to the class labels. Put simply each condition in an internal node while each outcome is an external node. $H = - \text{SUM_OF_ALL_THE_EVENTS}(\text{the probability of the event} * \log_2(\text{the probability of the event}))$

Information gain is the difference between the initial entropy and the new entropy after following a branch along the decision tree. Since the goal in machine learning is to achieve a low value of entropy to make accurate predictions, the decision tree is constructed such that each branch has the maximum possible information gain. The data is split by each feature that has the maximum information gain recursively for each branch.




FIGURE 3.1. Kinect Device.

3.4.4 Support Vector Machines

A Support Vector Machine is a kernel-based method that is effective for high dimensional datasets. The SVM uses a kernel to calculate the scalar product of two feature vectors in a high dimensional feature space. The decision function uses the hyper-planes, defined by the Support vectors, to classify the data. Only significant samples are taken for use as support vectors so that a high variance will make less of a difference to the accuracy of the model. This is well suited to a recognition task as the same punches will be thrown slightly differently.




FIGURE 3.2. Kinect Device.

3.4.5 Fourier Transformation

The FT is a mathematical transformation that transforms signals from the spatial domain (normal image space) into the frequency domain. It allows a signal to be split in a phase and magnitude spectrum.

Since my punches are a continuous periodic function over time, the Fourier transformation can be simplified to a set of complex amplitudes known as Fourier Series Coefficients. These represent the frequency domain of the original signal and can be used to recreate the signal if required. **I DO THIS**

How did I use it? What is the significance in the coefficient difference between certain punches? Why does the right hand have a higher coefficient? **Figure of FFT?**

3.5 Dimensionality

Curse of Dimensionality

The curse of dimensionality, first discussed by Richard E Bellman in his book Dynamic Programming[14] is the term for a set of problems that occur when using high dimensionality data. As dimensionality increases as does the search space, resulting in the available data become sparse. In order to

obtain accurate, reliable and statistically sound results the total amount of data required can grow exponentially in relation to the dimensionality. Likewise the organisation and searching of non-reduced data becomes difficult, **with space and search time dependent on data volume**. Searching and organising data also relies on the ability to group instances into groups that share similar characteristics, unfortunately if the data appears to be dissimilar due to sparseness it can prevent **grouping strategies**

Algorithms that can successfully deal with high dimensionality data typically will have high time complexity and **will not always** produce more accurate results than algorithms that work on the lower dimensionality data. Therefore it is sensible to look at some dimensionality reduction techniques which **might** produce better results.

Furthermore since the long-term goal is to give feedback with live data, speed is of the essence. Therefore dimensionality reduction is an important component required to decrease the processing time on any input.

3.5.1 Dimensionality Reduction

Dimensionality Reduction is the process of reducing the number of variables under consideration for any given problem. For example each frame from the Kinect is represented as 60 features, the x,y,z co-ordinates of the 20 skeleton joints. Considering the Kinect is capable of 30 FPS that is 1800 data points per second of movement. **With long sequences of recordings this could become a huge amount of data, most of it not actually relevant to the specific features we are looking for. As a result Dimensionality reduction seems like a sensible path.**

3.5.2 Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

Principal Component Analysis is a statistical procedure that transformed a set of observations of potentially correlated variables into a set of linearly uncorrelated variables called principal components. The number of principal components should always be less than or equal to the number of original values with the first principal component having the largest possible variance. Each following component will attempt to represent as much variance in the data as possible. In my case I will be looking to reduce my 60 data points per frame into a low dimensionality set that will help me to uniquely identify punches.

3.6 Manifold Learning

Manifold learning is a Non-Linear Dimensionality Reduction process that transforms high dimensionality data that typically requires multiple dimension to represent it which is difficult to interpret. To simplify the data it is possible to assume that the data lies on an embedded non-linear manifold within the high-dimensionality space. Assuming the manifold has low enough dimensions it can then be visualised in this lower-dimensionality space.

3.6.1 Markov Chains

Markov chains are a series of ‘states’ which have a probability for each transition and are used to model real world events.




FIGURE 3.3. Kinect Device.

3.6.2 Diffusion Maps

Diffusion maps are a non-linear and relatively new technique developed in 2006 by Ronald R. Coifman and Stephane Lafon.[5] The aim of a diffusion map is to provide a framework for finding meaningful geometric descriptions of data sets. Diffusion maps are capable of turning high dimensionality data into low dimensional structure. Unlike other dimensionality reduction techniques like PCA, diffusion maps attempt to discover the underlying manifold, a lower dimensional constrained surface containing the data. Diffusion maps are based on defining a Markov random walk on the graph of the data. By performing this for a set number of time steps a measure for the proximity of datapoints is obtained, which is used to define a diffusion distance. Pairwise diffusion distance are retained as well as possible in the dimensionally reduced data.

Figure of diffusion map

3.6.3 Locally Linear Embedding (LLE)

In LLE a data manifold is constructed by finding a set of the nearest neighbours for each point. Together they are used to compute a set of weights that describes each point as a linear combination of its neighbours. Finally, it uses an eigenvector-based technique to find the low-dimensional embedding of points, such that each point is still described with the same linear combination of its neighbours. Furthermore, the preservation of local properties allows for successful embedding of non convex manifolds. **LLE tends to handle non-uniform sample densities poorly because there is no fixed unit to prevent the weights from drifting as various regions differ in sample densities.**

3.6.4 Laplacian Eigenmaps

[3] Laplacian Eigenmaps find a low-dimensional data representation by preserving local properties of the manifold. Similar to LLE, a graph is built from neighbourhood information, with the distance between a point and its K nearest neighbour is minimised. A weighting system is used such that in the low-dimensionality space the distance from a point to its nearest neighbour is more significant to the cost function than other nearby points. **put simply the closer a neighbour is to the selected data point the heavier its weighting.** The goal overall is to minimise the cost function based on the graph information to ensure that points close together in the high dimensionality data remain so after the reduction, preserving local distances.

3.6.5 Local Tangent Space Alignment (LTSA)

LTSA[30] is based on the intuition that when a manifold is correctly unfolded, all of the tangent hyperplanes to the manifold will become aligned. It begins by computing the k -nearest neighbours of every point. It computes the tangent space at every point by computing the d -first principal components in each local neighbourhood. It then optimizes to find an embedding that aligns the tangent spaces.

Similar to Hessian LLE, Local Tangent Space Analysis (LTSA) is a technique that describes local properties of the high-dimensional data using the local tangent space of each datapoint [93]. LTSA is based on the observation that, if local linearity of the manifold is assumed, there exists a linear mapping from a high-dimensional datapoint to its local tangent space, and that there exists a linear mapping from the corresponding low-dimensional datapoint to the same local tangent space [93]. LTSA attempts to align these linear mappings in such a way, that they construct the local tangent space of the manifold from the low-dimensional representation. In other words, LTSA simultaneously searches for the coordinates of the low-dimensional data representations, and for the linear mappings of the low-dimensional datapoints to the local tangent space of the high-dimensional data

3.6.6 Curvilinear Component Analysis (CCA)

Similar to Hessian LLE, Local Tangent Space Analysis (LTSA) is a technique that describes local properties of the high-dimensional data using the local tangent space of each datapoint [93]. LTSA is based on the observation that, if local linearity of the manifold is assumed, there exists a linear mapping from a high-dimensional datapoint to its local tangent space, and that there exists a linear mapping from the corresponding low-dimensional datapoint to the same local tangent space [93]. LTSA attempts to align these linear mappings in such a way, that they construct the local tangent space of the manifold from the low-dimensional representation. In other words, LTSA simultaneously searches for the coordinates of the low-dimensional data representations,

and for the linear mappings of the low-dimensional datapoints to the local tangent space of the high-dimensional data

Curvilinear component analysis (CCA)[11] looks for the configuration of points in the output space that preserves original distances as much as possible while focusing on small distances in the output space (conversely to Sammon's mapping which focus on small distances in original space). It should be noticed that CCA, as an iterative learning algorithm, actually starts with focus on large distances (like the Sammon algorithm), then gradually change focus to small distances. The small distance information will overwrite the large distance information, if compromises between the two have to be made. The stress function of CCA is related to a sum of right Bregman divergences[12]

Kinect pipeline?




FIGURE 4.1. (a) Kinect Skeleton vs Time. (b) Hip Joint vs Time, Blue = Raw data, Green = Differentiated data.

Begging implementation chapter. How the hell do I start this. What even goes here.

4.1 Comparison Methods

I began by plotting the initial Kinect Data over time in an attempt to understand & visualise the nature of the data. I differentiated the data as a method of smoothing and to get the velocity data from distance.

After recording a punch sequence my first inclination was to look at the left hand (jab) over time. I plotted the z co-ordinate of the left wrist joint over each frame which produced a periodic

pattern for which looked promising.




FIGURE 4.2. Depth of left wrist joint over time

My next step was to look at punch segmentation. Due the cyclical nature of the punch movement I needed to develop a method for segmenting the punches. I decided the best way to do this was to smooth the signal and use local maxima/minima as a means of successfully segmenting the beginning and end of each punch. As you can see from Figure 4.2 some smoothing was required due to local maxima/minima which did not signify the beginning or end of a punch.

Smoothing. I looked at using differentiation as a means of obtaining the velocity and using that as a sensible measure to mark my punches. This did a good job of smoothing out the curve which would allow me to successfully segment my punches.

Dimensionality Reduction Comparison

It was important to find a way to successfully reduce my data into a cyclical signal that I could automatically segment. I tested a variety of both linear and non-linear techniques (see design section) and analysed the principal components produced. Despite PCA being an older, simple technique than more modern techniques such as diffusion maps it actually produced the most uniform, cyclical signal for the first component making it an obvious choice.

Maybe talk about using LTSA here in some way as a second component

Now lets see the first Principal Component (from PCA) for each punch. TALK about normalisation of data. Talk about getting better data.

Automated Punch Segmentation

After obtaining a smooth cyclical representation of the Jab




FIGURE 4.3. (a) Periodic punch signal over time (frame number). (b) Periodic signal with local maxima/minima labelled. (c) Close up of local maxima/minima.

Heuristic Rules

Hidden Markov models? Svm?

Chapter 3: Specification & Design

Scope Algorithms

Chapter 4: Implementation

Chapter 5: Data capture??

Need to make and collect data consent forms to run a study?

Need to gather more data?




FIGURE 4.4. Depth of left wrist joint over time

Data Format

I record data from the Kinect in a space separated text file with each line corresponding to one timeframe. The structure of a line is: tracking_flag x_0 y_0 z_0 tracking_flag x_1 y_1 z_1 ... tracking_flag x_19 y_19 z_19, where x_i,y_i,z_i are the x,y,z coordinates representing the position of the ith joint. Each new line is represented by a very large value that could not represent a Kinect measurement. (e.g. 2000000) The tracking_flag is an integer which describes the status of the joint: Joint not tracked = 0, Joint position inferred = 1, Join position tracked = 2. If the joint is not tracked the position is set to (-10000, -10000, -10000) and it should not be used. The position of the camera is (0,0,0).

4.1. COMPARISON METHODS




FIGURE 4.5. (a) Comparison of Dimensionality Reduction Techniques. Plotting first and second principal components.

Joint Number	Joint Name
0	NUI_SKELETON_POSITION_HIP_CENTER
1	NUI_SKELETON_POSITION_SPINE
2	NUI_SKELETON_POSITION_SHOULDER_CENTER
3	NUI_SKELETON_POSITION_HEAD
4	NUI_SKELETON_POSITION_SHOULDER_LEFT
5	NUI_SKELETON_POSITION_ELBOW_LEFT
6	NUI_SKELETON_POSITION_WRIST_LEFT
7	NUI_SKELETON_POSITION_HAND_LEFT
8	NUI_SKELETON_POSITION_SHOULDER_RIGHT
9	NUI_SKELETON_POSITION_ELBOW_RIGHT
10	NUI_SKELETON_POSITION_WRIST_RIGHT
11	NUI_SKELETON_POSITION_HAND_RIGHT
12	NUI_SKELETON_POSITION_HIP_LEFT
13	NUI_SKELETON ²⁵ POSITION_KNEE_LEFT
14	NUI_SKELETON_POSITION_ANKLE_LEFT
15	NUI_SKELETON_POSITION_FOOT_LEFT
16	NUI_SKELETON_POSITION_HIP_RIGHT
17	NUI_SKELETON_POSITION_KNEE_RIGHT




FIGURE 4.6. Depth of left wrist joint over time

RESULTS, CONCLUSIONS, AND FUTURE WORK

Begins a chapter. Example: When the beloved cellist (Christopher Walken - outstanding) of a world-renowned string quartet receives a life-changing diagnosis, the group's future suddenly hangs in the balance: suppressed emotions, competing egos and uncontrollable passions threaten to derail years of friendship and collaboration. Featuring a brilliant ensemble cast (including Philip Seymour Hoffman, Catherine Keener and Mark Ivanir as the three other quartet members), it is a fascinating look into the world of working musicians, and an elegant homage to chamber music and the cultural world of New York. The music, of course, is ravishing (the score is the work of regular David Lynch collaborator Angelo Badalamenti): *A Late Quartet* hits all the right notes.

5.1 Section

Begins a section.

5.1.1 Subsection

Begins a subsection.

APPENDIX



APPENDIX A

Begins an appendix

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] D. S. ALEXIADIS, P. KELLY, P. DARAS, N. E. O'CONNOR, T. BOUBEKEUR, AND M. B. MOUSSA, *Evaluating a dancer's performance using kinect-based skeleton tracking*, Proc. 19th ACM Int. Conf. Multimed. - MM '11, (2011), p. 659.
- [2] D. S. BBC, *Usa boxing goes high tech in training in colorado springs*.
<http://gazette.com/usa-boxing-goes-high-tech-in-training-in-colorado-springs/article/1500163>, May 2013.
- [3] M. BELKIN AND P. NIYOGI, *Laplacian eigenmaps for dimensionality reduction and data representation*, Neural Comput., (2003).
- [4] D. CATUHE, *Programming with the kinect for windows software development kit*.
- [5] R. R. COIFMAN AND S. LAFON, *Diffusion maps*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., 21 (2006), pp. 5–30.
- [6] E. . P. S. R. COUNCIL, *New technology will help improve athletes' performance*.
<http://www.epsrc.ac.uk/newsevents/news/2009/Pages/improveathletesperf.aspx>, 2009.
- [7] J. DEVRIES, *Fighters uncaged review* | ign.
<http://www.ign.com/articles/2010/11/09/fighters-uncaged-review>, 2010.
- [8] E. F. DYNAMICS, *"elliott fight dynamic gloves"*.
<http://elliottfightdynamics.com/>, 2014.
- [9] GAMESRADER, *Fighters uncaged review* | gamesradar.
<http://www.gamesradar.com/fighters-uncaged-review/>, 2011.
- [10] GAZETTE, *Usa boxing goes high tech in training in colorado springs*.
<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/technology-18735629>, May 2013.
- [11] T. GUARDIAN, *London 2012 olympics: How athletes use technology to win medals*.
<http://www.theguardian.com/sport/2012/jul/04/london-2012-olympic-games-sport-technology>, 2012.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [12] METACRITIC, *Fighters uncaged critic reviews for xbox 360*.
<http://www.metacritic.com/game/xbox-360/fighters-uncaged/critic-reviews>,
Jan. 2011.
- [13] MICROSOFT, *Infrared stream - msdn*.
<http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/jj663793.aspx>, 2012.
- [14] R. E. B. P. U. PRESS, *"dynamic programming"*.
- [15] K. RECTOR, C. L. BENNETT, AND J. A. KIENTZ, *Eyes-Free Yoga : An Exergame Using Depth Cameras for Blind & Low Vision Exercise*, Int. ACM SIGACCESS Conf., (2013).
- [16] R. C. UK, *Cutting edge 2012: The research behind sport*.
<http://www.rcuk.ac.uk/media/CuttingEdge2012/#bike>, 2012.
- [17] ———, *Memorandum from research councils uk (rcuk) in response to the house of lords inquiry into sports and exercise science and medicine*.
<http://www.rcuk.ac.uk/RCUK-prod/assets/documents/submissions/SportsExercise.pdf>, 2012.
- [18] WIKIPEDIA, *Great britain at the 2012 summer olympics*.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Great_Britain_at_the_2012_Summer_Olympics,
2014.
- [19] K. YAMAOKA, M. UEHARA, T. SHIMA, AND Y. TAMURA, *Feedback of Flying Disc Throw with Kinect and its Evaluation*, Procedia Comput. Sci., 22 (2013), pp. 912–920.