Evaluation Form: Wiki Article

Scale

5 = Excellent	2 = Many Problems	20 = A	16 = B	13 = C
4 = Satisfactory	1 = Wildly Inappropriate	18 = A-	15 = B-	11 = D
3 = Some Problems	0 = Did Not Address At All	17 = B +	14 = C+	<11 = F

1. Ranking: Does the Wiki Article rank 4-5 snack foods (one for each member of the group)? Are the criteria used to rank these snack foods clear and explicit? Are these criteria consistently applied to each snack food? Are these criteria reasonable? Does the article make any attempt to justify them?
2. Audience: Is the Wiki Article directed toward an audience who can benefit from this ranking? Is this audience specific? Does the article incorporate the needs, concerns, interests, and/or
experiences of this audience into each subsection (i.e., each snack food discussion)? 3. Collaboration: Does the "talk" section reflect civil, reasonable, and thorough attempts to build editorial consensus? Are decisions actually reached through a process of discussion (as opposed to one group member taking over, or nobody else having any input)? Does the page's "edit history" reflect a range of contributions from each group member? Did group members provide
edit summaries for substantial edits? 4. Formatting: Does the Wiki Article contain an introduction and 4-5 subsections? Are these sections visually distinct from one another? Are citations and hyperlinks provided where necessary and/or useful? Is the article written in a relatively consistent style (e.g., consistent point of view, verb tense, etc.)?
Total/Grade: /

Individual Comments: