System-level Functional Programming with Linear Types

Sebastian Selander and Samuel Hammersberg

System level programming today

In todays world we are blessed with a lot of choices for system level programming:

- C
- C++
- Rust
- And more . . .

System level programming today

Although these languages are great, they are missing some things that some developers enjoy:

- Referential transparency
- Purity
- Strongly typed

Functional programming to the rescue!

What does FP not lack in?

- Referential transparency
- Purity
- Strongly typed

You could of course create a FP language without these

Functional programming to the rescue!

Is system-level programming we often have to care about memory, but most functional languages use garbage collectors!

Due to how most languages are made a lot of copying is done when updating values, and the old value has to be garbage collected

A garbage collector is not preferred for system-level programming as we want control over memory!

We want to know when memory is collected, and what gets collected

Functional programming to the rescue!

So are we stuck with a garbage collector?

Functional programming to the rescue!

So are we stuck with a garbage collector?

No! We can use linear types!

Linear Types

Every variable must be used **exactly once**

- Linear arrow: $\multimap$
- Normal arrow: $\to$

```
const :: a -o b -o c
const a b = a -- error

append :: [a] -o [a] -o [a]
append [] ys = ys
append (x:xs) ys = x : append xs ys -- good
```

- Some programs no longer compile
- No need to copy the data structure, instead mutate!

Linear Types

What is **using exactly once**? For a function `f` with arguments `x`:

Linear Types

What is **using exactly once**? For a function `f` with arguments `x`:

- Returning x unmodified.
  - `f x = x`

Linear Types

What is **using exactly once**? For a function `f` with arguments `x`:

- Returning x unmodified.
  - `f x = x`
- Passing x to a linear function `g` and using the result exactly once in the same fashion.
  - `f x = g x`

Linear Types

What is **using exactly once**? For a function `f` with arguments `x`:

- Returning x unmodified.
  - `f x = x`
- Passing x to a linear function `g` and using the result exactly once in the same fashion.
  - `f x = g x`
- Pattern-matching on x and using each argument exactly once in the same fashion.
  - ```
    f x = case x of
          Pair a b -> a + b
    ```
    ```

Linear Types

What is **using exactly once**? For a function `f` with arguments `x`:

- Returning x unmodified.
  - `f x = x`
- Passing x to a linear function `g` and using the result exactly once in the same fashion.
  - `f x = g x`
- Pattern-matching on x and using each argument exactly once in the same fashion.
  - ```
    f x = case x of
      Pair a b -> a + b
    ```
- Calling it as a function and using the result exactly once in the same fashion.
  - ```
    f x = x + 1
    g = let k = f 0 in f k
    ```

System-level Functional Language (SLFL)

The point of our thesis will be to create a compiler for a SLFL

While the language is a system-level language, we want to add several higher level concepts such as:

- Closures
  - Allows lambas to capture variables from their environment

```
fun :: Int -> (Int -> Int)
fun x = \y -> x + y -- x is captured here
```

- Records
  - Data types with named fields. Pretty simple
- Recursive & Contiguous Data Types
  - Trees, linked lists etc and Vectors/Arrays
- Laziness

How will the language be evaluated?

Objectively evaluating languages is hard, but some things can be done!

- Performance:
  Simple programs will be written in another system-level language (C etc) and SLFL
  Programs will be compared based on execution time and memory usage
- Binary size:
  A system-level language should ideally produce small binaries for portability
  Our thesis will not focus a lot on this, but it is an interesting metric nonetheless

Related Work

- Lilac: a functional programming language based on linear logic [1]
  - Linear type system
  - High-level
  - None or few optimizations
- Linear Haskell: practical linearity in a higher-order polymorphic language [2]
  - Linear type system for Haskell
  - High-level
  - None or few optimizations
- Towards a practical execution model for functional languages with linear types [3]
  - Last year's master's thesis
  - Virtual machine
  - Untyped

Why us?

Why us?

Both of us have a lot of experience when it comes to language development *and* functional programming.

- All FP courses
- All language development courses
- Made a functional programming language for our bachelor thesis
- Motivated

Risk assessment and proposed mitigation

- Too complex
- Lack prerequisite knowledge

References

[1] I. Mackie, "Lilac: A functional programming language based on linear logic," *Journal of Functional Programming*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 395–433, 1994.

[2] J.-P. Bernardy, M. Boespflug, R. R. Newton, S. Peyton Jones, and A. Spiwack, "Linear Haskell: practical linearity in a higher-order polymorphic language," *Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages*, vol. 2, no. POPL, pp. 1–29, 2017.

[3] F. Nordmark, "Towards a Practical Execution Model for Functional Languages with Linear Types," 2024.