System-level Functional Programming with Linear Types

Sebastian Selander and Samuel Hammersberg

System level programming today

In todays world we are blessed with a lot of choices for system level programming:

- C
- C++
- Rust
- And more . . .

System level programming today

Although these languages are great, they are missing some things that some developers enjoy:

- Referential transparency
- Purity
- Strongly typed

Functional programming to the rescue!

What does FP not lack in?

- Referential transparency
- Purity
- Strongly typed

You could of course create a FP language without these

Functional programming to the rescue!

But does not functional programming require some sort of garbage collector?

No! We can use linear types!

Every variable must be used **exactly once**

```
    Linear arrow: —○

    Normal arrow: →

id :: a -o a
id a = a -- good
append :: [a] -o [a] -o [a]
append [] ys = ys
append (x:xs) ys = x : append xs ys -- good
const :: a -o b -o c
const a b = a -- error
```

Now append can mutate ys in a safe manner

For a function f with arguments x using **exactly once** means:

• Returning x unmodified.

```
f x = x
```

For a function f with arguments x using **exactly once** means:

• Returning x unmodified.

$$f x = x$$

• Passing x to a linear function g and using the result exactly once in the same fashion.

$$f x = g x$$

For a function f with arguments x using **exactly once** means:

• Returning x unmodified.

```
f x = x
```

• Passing x to a linear function and using the result exactly once in the same fashion.

```
f x = g x
```

• Pattern-matching on x and using each argument exactly once in the same fashion.

```
f x = case x of
(a,b) -> a + b
```

For a function f with arguments x using **exactly once** means:

• Returning x unmodified.

```
+ f x = x
```

 Passing x to a linear function and using the result exactly once in the same fashion.

```
+ f x = q x
```

• Pattern-matching on x and using each argument exactly once in the same fashion.

```
    f x = case x of
        (a,b) -> a + b
```

 Calling it as a function and using the result exactly once in the same fashion.

```
f x = x + 1
q = let k = f 0 in f k
```

System-level Functional Language (SLFL)

The point of our thesis will be to create a compiler for a SLFL

While the language is a system-level language, we want to add several higher level concepts such as:

- Closures
 - Allows lambads to capture variables from their environment

```
fun :: Int -> (Int -> Int)
fun x = y -> x + y -- x is captured here
```

- Records
 - Data types with named fields. Pretty simple
- Recursive & Contiguous Data Types
 - Trees, linked lists etc and Vectors/Arrays
- Laziness

How will the language be evaluated?

Objectively evaluating languages is hard, but some things can be done!

• Performance:

Simple programs will be written in another system-level language (C etc) and SLFL

Programs will be compared based on execution time and memory usage

· Binary size:

A system-level language should ideally produce small binaries for portability

Our thesis will not focus a lot on this, but it is an interesting metric nonetheless

Related Work

- Lilac: a functional programming language based on linear logic [1]
 - Linear type system
 - High-level
 - ▶ None or few optimizations
- Linear Haskell: practical linearity in a higher-order polymorphic language [2]
 - Linear type system for Haskell
 - ▶ High-level
 - None or few optimizations
- Towards a practical execution model for functional languages with linear types [3]
 - ▶ Last year's master's thesis
 - Virtual machine
 - Untyped

Why us?



Why us?

Both of us have a lot of experience when it comes to language development *and* functional programming.

- All FP courses
- All language development courses
- Made a functional programming language for our bachelor thesis
- Motivated

Risk assessment and proposed mitigation

- Too complex
- Lack prerequisite knowledge

References

- [1] I. Mackie, "Lilac: A functional programming language based on linear logic," *Journal of Functional Programming*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 395–433, 1994.
- [2] J.-P. Bernardy, M. Boespflug, R. R. Newton, S. Peyton Jones, and A. Spiwack, "Linear Haskell: practical linearity in a higher-order polymorphic language," *Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages*, vol. 2, no. POPL, pp. 1–29, 2017.
- [3] F. Nordmark, "Towards a Practical Execution Model for Functional Languages with Linear Types," 2024.