## Dummit and Foote - Abstract Algebra Answers to Selected Exercises

Marc-André Brochu

Winter 2019

## Chapter 9

# **Polynomial Rings**

#### 9.1 Polynomial Rings over Fields II

For the remaining exercises let F be a field, let  $F^n$  be the set of all n-tuples of elements of F (called affine n-space over F) and let R be the polynomial ring  $F[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ . The elements of R form a ring of F-valued functions on  $F^n$ , where the value of the polynomial  $p(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  on the n-tuple  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  is obtained by substituting  $a_i$  for  $x_i$  for all i.

#### 12.

1. Let X be any given subset of  $F^n$ . We always have  $0_R \in I(X)$  and thus I(X) is never empty. Take any  $f,g \in I(X)$ . Then for all  $a \in X$ , (f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) = 0. Thus I(X) is closed under addition. Take some  $h \in R$ . For all  $a \in X$ ,  $(h \cdot f)(x) = h(x)f(x) = 0$  which means that I(X) absorbs left multiplication. Because R is commutative, we get that I(X) is an ideal in this ring.

Let  $J \subseteq R$  be arbitrarily given. If  $a \in V(\langle J \rangle)$ , then for all  $f \in J \subseteq \langle J \rangle$ , we have that f(a) = 0. Thus  $V(\langle J \rangle) \subseteq V(J)$ . Now let  $a \in V(J)$ . Take any  $f \in \langle J \rangle$ . Then f is a finite combination of R-multiples of elements of J, i.e.  $f = f_1 j_1 + \cdots + f_n j_n$  with  $f_i \in R$ ,  $j_i \in J$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So  $f(a) = f_1(a)j_1(a) + \cdots + f_n(a)j_n(a)$ . Since for all  $j \in J$ , j(a) = 0, we get that f(a) = 0 and thus  $a \in V(\langle J \rangle)$ . Therefore  $V(J) = V(\langle J \rangle)$  for any subset J of R.

2. Let  $f \in I(Y)$ . Then for all  $a \in X$ , a is also an element of Y and therefore f(a) = 0. Thus  $f \in I(X)$ .

Let  $a \in V(J)$ . Then for all  $f \in I \subseteq J$ , f(a) = 0. Thus  $a \in V(I)$ .

## Chapter 10

# Introduction to Module Theory

#### 10.1 Basic Definitions and Examples

In these exercises R is a ring with 1 and M is a left R-module.

1. These statements are all equivalent to the module being unital. Indeed,

$$1m = m \iff (0+1)m = m \iff 0m + 1m = m \iff 0m + m = m \iff 0m = 0$$
$$\iff (-1+1)m = 0 \iff (-1)m + m = 0 \iff (-1)m = -m.$$

- **2.** Take  $r, s \in R^{\times}$  and some  $m \in M$ . Then r(sm) = (rs)m because r and s are also in R. This shows that the first axiom of a group action is satisfied. Now since R has a 1, take  $1 \in R^{\times}$ . Again, it is easy to see that 1m = m and thus the second axiom of a group action is satisfied.
- **3.** Suppose there exists some  $s \in R$  such that sr = 1. Then (sr)m = 1m = m. But we also have (sr)m = s(rm) = s0 = 0. Thus m = 0, which is contrary to the assumption that m is nonzero. Thus r cannot have an inverse.

Note: for any  $r \in R$ ,  $r0 = r(0+0) = r0 + r0 \iff r0 = 0$ .

4.

- 1. Let  $N = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i \in I_i\}$ . An ideal of R is also a subgroup of R: thus it contains 0. This means that  $(0, \dots, 0) \in N$ ; hence N is not empty. Take any  $x, y \in N$  and any  $\alpha \in R$ . Then  $x + \alpha y = (x_1 + \alpha y_1, x_2 + \alpha y_2, \dots, x_n + \alpha y_n) \in N$  because each  $I_i$  is closed under addition and left multiplication by an element of R. By the Submodule Criterion, N is a submodule of M.
- 2. Let  $N = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i \in I_i \text{ and } x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n = 0\}$ . The proof goes exactly as the last one, except we need to check the sum. We have that  $(x_1 + \alpha y_1) + (x_2 + \alpha y_2) + \dots + (x_n + \alpha y_n) = (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) + \alpha (y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n) = 0 + \alpha 0 = 0$ .
- **5.** It is clear that  $0 \in IM$ , hence IM is not empty. Without loss of generality, we can take  $a_1m_1 + a_2m_2 + \cdots + a_nm_n$  and  $b_1m_1 + b_2m_2 + \cdots + b_nm_n$  two elements of IM. Take also  $\alpha \in R$ . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i m_i + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i m_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\underbrace{a_i + \alpha b_i}) m_i \in IM.$$

Therefore by the Submodule Criterion IM is a submodule of M.

**6.** Let  $\{M_i\}_{i\in I}$  be a nonempty collection of submodules of an R-module. From a result of group theory, we know  $M = \bigcap_{i\in I} M_i$  is a subgroup: what's left to check is that M is closed under

the action of R. Take some  $m \in M$ . Then  $m \in M_i$  for all  $i \in I$ . Take some  $\alpha \in R$ . Because each  $M_i$  is a module,  $\alpha m \in M_i \ \forall i \in I$ . Hence  $\alpha m \in M$ , proving that M is a submodule of an R-module.

7. Let  $N = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i$ . It is evident that N is nonempty. Pick  $x, y \in N$  and  $\alpha \in R$ . There exists some integers k, l such that  $x \in N_k$  and  $y \in N_l$ . Without loss of generality, suppose  $k \leq l$ . Then  $N_k \subseteq N_l$ , which means that  $x \in N_l$ . Because  $N_l$  is a module,  $x + \alpha y \in N_l \subseteq N$ . By the Submodule Criterion, N is a submodule of M.

8.

- 1. It is easy to see that  $0 \in \text{Tor}(M)$ . Therefore  $\text{Tor}(M) \neq \emptyset$ . Now let  $m, n \in \text{Tor}(M)$  and  $\alpha \in R$ . There exists nonzero elements r, s of R such that rm = sn = 0. Thus  $rs(m + \alpha n) = (rs)m + (rs\alpha)n = s(rm) + r\alpha(sn) = s0 + r\alpha 0 = 0$ . Since R is an integral domain, the product rs is nonzero. Therefore  $m + \alpha n \in \text{Tor}(M)$ . By the submodule criterion, Tor(M) is a submodule of M.
- 2. Notice that the torsion elements in the R-module R are simply the zero divisors of R plus the zero element. Now consider the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  as a module over itself. In this module, 2 and 3 are torsion elements. However 2+3=5 is not a torsion element because 5 is coprime with 6. Therefore  $\text{Tor}(\mathbb{Z}_6)$  is not a subgroup (and thus not a submodule) of  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ .
- 3. Take nonzero elements a, b in R such that ab = 0. Take some nonzero  $m \in M$ . If bm = 0, then m is a torsion element and we are done. Else, a(bm) = (ab)m = 0m = 0 and bm is a torsion element. In both cases, Tor(M) is not trivial so the statement is proven.
- **9.** Write  $I = \{r \in R \mid rn = 0 \ \forall n \in N\}$  and take  $a, b \in I$ . Then, for any  $n \in N$ , (a + b)n = an + bn = 0, i.e.  $a + b \in I$ . Now take any  $r \in R$ . Firstly, (ra)n = r(an) = r0 = 0. Secondly, (ar)n = a(rn). Since  $rn \in N$  because N is a submodule, and since  $a \in I$ , we have that a(rn) = 0. Thus I absorbs multiplication by elements of R on the left and on the right: it is a 2-sided ideal of R.
- **10.** Write  $A = \{m \in M \mid am = 0 \ \forall a \in I\}$ . Since it is clear that  $0 \in A$ , we know that  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Take  $m, n \in A$  and  $r \in R$ . For all  $a \in I$ , we have that a(m + bn) = am + a(bn) = (ab)n. Since I is a right ideal of R,  $ab \in I$ . Thus (ab)n = 0, meaning that  $m + bn \in A$ . By the submodule criterion, A is a submodule of M.

#### 10.2 Quotient Modules and Module Homomorphisms

- 1. Let M and N be R-modules and let  $\varphi: M \to N$  be a R-module homomorphism. It is clear that  $0 \in \ker \varphi$ , so it is not empty. Take any  $x,y \in \ker \varphi$  and any  $r \in R$ . Then  $\varphi(x+ry)=\varphi(x)+r\varphi(y)=0$  and so  $x+ry \in \ker \varphi$ . By the submodule criterion, we get that  $\ker \varphi$  is a submodule of M. Similarly, we see that  $\operatorname{im} \varphi$  is not empty because it contains 0. Take any  $x,y \in \operatorname{im} \varphi$  and any  $r \in R$ . Then there exists  $a,b \in M$  such that  $\varphi(a)=x$  and  $\varphi(b)=y$ . Thus  $\varphi(a)+r\varphi(b)=\varphi(a+rb)=x+ry$  and we get by the submodule criterion that  $\operatorname{im} \varphi$  is a submodule of N.
- 12. The notation in this question seems confusing at first, but realize that  $I(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $(I\mathbb{R})^n$  are actually exactly the same thing (and this thing is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -submodule of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ).

We have by Exercise 5 in Section 1 that IR is a R-submodule of R. Therefore, by Exercise 11 of this section, we obtain the result immediatly.

# 10.3 Generation of Modules, Direct Sums and Free Modules

1. Notice that a homomorphism  $\Phi$  from a free module F(A) to a free module F(B) is necessarily injective. Indeed, if  $\sum \alpha_i a_i$ ,  $\sum \beta_i a_i \in \ker \Phi$ , then  $\Phi(\sum \alpha_i a_i) = \sum \alpha_i \Phi(a_i) = 0$  and  $\Phi(\sum \beta_i a_i) = \sum \beta_i \Phi(a_i) = 0$ . Since F(B) is a free module,  $0 \in F(B)$  has a unique representation, meaning that  $\alpha_i = \beta_i$  for each i.

Since A and B are sets of the same cardinality, there exists a bijection  $\beta$  between them. Let i and j be inclusion of A in F(A) and of B in F(B) respectively. By Theorem 6, we obtain a unique homomorphism  $\Phi: F(A) \to F(B)$  such that  $\Phi \circ i = j \circ \beta$ . By the previous paragraph, it is a monomorphism. Now take any element  $y = \sum \alpha_i (j \circ \beta)(a_i) \in F(B)$ . By definition of  $\Phi$ , we have  $\Phi(\sum \alpha_i a_i) = \sum \alpha_i (j \circ \beta)(a_i) = y$  and so  $\Phi$  is surjective. Hence it is an isomorphism and  $F(A) \cong F(B)$ .

**2.** Let I be a maximal ideal of R (such a maximal ideal always exists by Zorn's Lemma). Then by Exercise 12 of Section 2,  $R^n/IR^n = R^n/I^n \cong (R/I) \times \cdots \times (R/I)$  (n times) and similarly  $R^m/IR^m \cong (R/I) \times \cdots \times (R/I)$  (m times). By maximality of I, R/I = K is a field. Thus we get  $R^n \cong R^m$  if and only if  $K^n \cong K^m$  if  $K^n$ 

3.

- 1. Consider the  $\mathbb{R}[x]$ -module M induced from the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^2$  over the field  $\mathbb{R}$  using the linear transformation T which sends a vector to its counter-clockwise rotation by  $\pi/2$  radians. Take  $(1,0) \in \mathbb{R}$ : this element is a generator for M. Indeed, take any  $(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then  $(a+bx)\cdot(1,0)=a\cdot(1,0)+b\cdot T(1,0)=a(1,0)+b(0,1)=(a,b)$ , hence  $\mathbb{R}[x]\cdot(1,0)=M$ . Therefore M is a cyclic module.
- 2. Consider a similar M again but this time induced using the linear transformation T' which is a projection on the y-axis. The element  $(1,1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  generates M: take any  $(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then  $(a+(b-a)x)\cdot(1,1)=a(1,1)+(b-a)T'(1,1)=a(1,1)+(b-a)(0,1)=(a,a)+(0,b-a)=(a,b)$ . Thus M is a cyclic module.
- **9.** Suppose that  $M \neq 0$  and M is a cyclic module with any nonzero element as generator. Take  $N \neq 0$  a submodule of M and pick some  $n \in N$ . Then  $Rn \subseteq N$  as N is closed under the action of R. Moreover Rn = M by our supposition. Hence M = N. Because N was aribtrary, we conclude that M is irreducible. On the other hand, suppose that M is irreducible (and so  $M \neq 0$ ). Take any nonzero  $m \in M$ . Then Rm is a submodule of M, hence by irreducibility Rm = M and m is a generator of M.

Because  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules are the same thing as abelian groups and  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodules are the same thing as subgroups of abelian groups, the irreducible  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules are exactly the simple abelian groups. By basic group theory, these are exactly the abelian groups having order a prime number.

11. Schur's Lemma. Take  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  irreducible R-modules and  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(M_1, M_2)$  with  $\varphi$  nonzero. Since  $\ker \varphi$  is a submodule of  $M_1$  and  $\ker \varphi \neq M_1$ , we must have  $\ker \varphi = 0$ . Similarly, since  $\operatorname{im} \varphi$  is a submodule of  $M_2$  and  $\operatorname{im} \varphi \neq 0$ , we must have  $\operatorname{im} \varphi = M_2$ . Thus  $M_1 \cong M_2$ . Now consider some  $\alpha \in \operatorname{End}_R(M)$  for M an irreducible R-module. By the previous result,  $\alpha$  must be an automorphism or the zero homomorphism; in the first case it always has an inverse. Therefore  $\operatorname{End}_R(M)$  is a divison ring.

## Chapter 11

# Vector Spaces

#### 11.1 Definitions and Basic Theory

**1.** Suppose  $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$  is not the zero vector. Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be given by  $\varphi(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \cdots + a_nx_n$ . This is a linear mapping because

$$\varphi((x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) + (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)) = a_1(x_1 + y_1) + a_2(x_2 + y_2) + \dots + a_n(x_n + y_n)$$

$$= (a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_nx_n) + (a_1y_1 + a_2y_2 + \dots + a_ny_n)$$

$$= \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) + \varphi(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$$

and

$$\varphi(\alpha(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)) = a_1(\alpha x_1) + a_2(\alpha x_2) + \dots + a_n(\alpha x_n)$$
$$= \alpha(a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \dots + a_n x_n)$$
$$= \alpha \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n).$$

It is clear that  $\varphi$  is surjective: pick any  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Without loss of generality,  $a_1 \neq 0$ , hence  $y = \varphi((y/a_1), 0, \dots, 0)$ . Thus dim im  $\varphi = 1$ . By Corollary 8, we get that dim ker  $\varphi = n - 1$ . Since the kernel of  $\varphi$  is the set of elements  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_nx_n = 0$  and ker  $\varphi$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , this answers the first part of the question.

To find a base, let

$$B = \left\{ b_2 = \left( \frac{-a_2}{a_1}, 1, 0, \dots, 0 \right), b_3 = \left( \frac{-a_3}{a_1}, 0, 1, \dots, 0 \right), \dots, b_n = \left( \frac{a_n}{a_1}, 0, 0, \dots, 1 \right) \right\}$$

(recall that  $a_1 \neq 0$ ). Pick any vector  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \ker \varphi$ . Then  $x_1 = (-1/a_1)(a_2x_2 + \dots + a_nx_n)$ , hence  $x = x_2b_2 + x_3b_3 + \dots + x_nb_n$ . Thus B spans  $\ker \varphi$ . Moreover it is easy to see that B is a linearly independent set. Therefore it is a basis (of n-1 elements) for the vector subspace  $\ker \varphi$ .

**3.** Let  $b_1 = (1,0,0,0)$ ,  $b_2 = (1,-1,0,0)$ ,  $b_3 = (1,-1,1,0)$  and  $b_4 = (1,-1,1,-1)$ . Then,  $(0,1,0,0) = b_2 - b_1$ ,  $(0,0,1,0) = b_3 - b_2$  and  $(0,0,0,1) = b_3 - b_4$ . Therefore

$$\varphi((a,b,c,d)) = \varphi(ab_1 + b(b_2 - b_1) + c(b_3 - b_2) + d(b_3 - b_4))$$

$$= (a - b)\varphi(b_1) + (b - c)\varphi(b_2) + (c + d)\varphi(b_3) + d\varphi(b_4)$$

$$= a - b + c + d.$$

This is a concrete realization of an "extension by linearity" of  $\varphi$ .

## 11.2 Dual Vector Spaces

**4.** Let  $v^*: V \to K$  a linear functionnal of  $V^*$  be given by

$$v^*(v) = \sum_{a^* \in A^*} a^*(v).$$

This sum always has a value (in K) because only finitely many values in the sum are 1 (the rest are zeroes). It is easy to see that  $v^*$  cannot be written as a finite linear combination of elements of  $A^*$ . Thus  $v^* \notin \operatorname{Span}(A^*)$ . This means that  $\dim V < \dim V^*$ .