

Dummit and Foote - Abstract Algebra  
Answers to Selected Exercises

Marc-André Brochu

Winter 2019

## Chapter 9

# Polynomial Rings

### 9.1 Polynomial Rings over Fields II

For the remaining exercises let  $F$  be a field, let  $F^n$  be the set of all  $n$ -tuples of elements of  $F$  (called *affine  $n$ -space over  $F$* ) and let  $R$  be the polynomial ring  $F[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ . The elements of  $R$  form a ring of  $F$ -valued functions on  $F^n$ , where the value of the polynomial  $p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  on the  $n$ -tuple  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is obtained by substituting  $a_i$  for  $x_i$  for all  $i$ .

**12.**

1. Let  $X$  be any given subset of  $F^n$ . We always have  $0_R \in I(X)$  and thus  $I(X)$  is never empty. Take any  $f, g \in I(X)$ . Then for all  $a \in X$ ,  $(f + g)(a) = f(a) + g(a) = 0$ . Thus  $I(X)$  is closed under addition. Take some  $h \in R$ . For all  $a \in X$ ,  $(h \cdot f)(a) = h(a)f(a) = 0$  which means that  $I(X)$  absorbs left multiplication. Because  $R$  is commutative, we get that  $I(X)$  is an ideal in this ring.

Let  $J \subseteq R$  be arbitrarily given. If  $a \in V(\langle J \rangle)$ , then for all  $f \in J \subseteq \langle J \rangle$ , we have that  $f(a) = 0$ . Thus  $V(\langle J \rangle) \subseteq V(J)$ . Now let  $a \in V(J)$ . Take any  $f \in \langle J \rangle$ . Then  $f$  is a finite combination of  $R$ -multiples of elements of  $J$ , i.e.  $f = f_1 j_1 + \dots + f_n j_n$  with  $f_i \in R$ ,  $j_i \in J$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So  $f(a) = f_1(a)j_1(a) + \dots + f_n(a)j_n(a)$ . Since for all  $j \in J$ ,  $j(a) = 0$ , we get that  $f(a) = 0$  and thus  $a \in V(\langle J \rangle)$ . Therefore  $V(J) = V(\langle J \rangle)$  for any subset  $J$  of  $R$ .

2. Let  $f \in I(Y)$ . Then for all  $a \in X$ ,  $a$  is also an element of  $Y$  and therefore  $f(a) = 0$ . Thus  $f \in I(X)$ .

Let  $a \in V(J)$ . Then for all  $f \in I \subseteq J$ ,  $f(a) = 0$ . Thus  $a \in V(I)$ .

# Chapter 10

## Introduction to Module Theory

### 10.1 Basic Definitions and Examples

In these exercises  $R$  is a ring with 1 and  $M$  is a left  $R$ -module.

1. These statements are all equivalent to the module being unital. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} 1m = m &\iff (0+1)m = m \iff 0m + 1m = m \iff 0m + m = m \iff 0m = 0 \\ &\iff (-1+1)m = 0 \iff (-1)m + m = 0 \iff (-1)m = -m. \end{aligned}$$

2. Take  $r, s \in R^\times$  and some  $m \in M$ . Then  $r(sm) = (rs)m$  because  $r$  and  $s$  are also in  $R$ . This shows that the first axiom of a group action is satisfied. Now since  $R$  has a 1, take  $1 \in R^\times$ . Again, it is easy to see that  $1m = m$  and thus the second axiom of a group action is satisfied.

3. Suppose there exists some  $s \in R$  such that  $sr = 1$ . Then  $(sr)m = 1m = m$ . But we also have  $(sr)m = s(rm) = s0 = 0$ . Thus  $m = 0$ , which is contrary to the assumption that  $m$  is nonzero. Thus  $r$  cannot have an inverse.

Note: for any  $r \in R$ ,  $r0 = r(0+0) = r0 + r0 \iff r0 = 0$ .

4.

1. Let  $N = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i \in I_i\}$ . An ideal of  $R$  is also a subgroup of  $R$ : thus it contains 0. This means that  $(0, \dots, 0) \in N$ ; hence  $N$  is not empty. Take any  $x, y \in N$  and any  $\alpha \in R$ . Then  $x + \alpha y = (x_1 + \alpha y_1, x_2 + \alpha y_2, \dots, x_n + \alpha y_n) \in N$  because each  $I_i$  is closed under addition and left multiplication by an element of  $R$ . By the Submodule Criterion,  $N$  is a submodule of  $M$ .

2. Let  $N = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i \in I_i \text{ and } x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n = 0\}$ . The proof goes exactly as the last one, except we need to check the sum. We have that  $(x_1 + \alpha y_1) + (x_2 + \alpha y_2) + \dots + (x_n + \alpha y_n) = (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) + \alpha(y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n) = 0 + \alpha 0 = 0$ .

5. It is clear that  $0 \in IM$ , hence  $IM$  is not empty. Without loss of generality, we can take  $a_1m_1 + a_2m_2 + \dots + a_nm_n$  and  $b_1m_1 + b_2m_2 + \dots + b_nm_n$  two elements of  $IM$ . Take also  $\alpha \in R$ . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i m_i + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n b_i m_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{(a_i + \alpha b_i)}_{\in I} m_i \in IM.$$

Therefore by the Submodule Criterion  $IM$  is a submodule of  $M$ .

6. Let  $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a nonempty collection of submodules of an  $R$ -module. From a result of group theory, we know  $M = \bigcap_{i \in I} M_i$  is a subgroup: what's left to check is that  $M$  is closed under

the action of  $R$ . Take some  $m \in M$ . Then  $m \in M_i$  for all  $i \in I$ . Take some  $\alpha \in R$ . Because each  $M_i$  is a module,  $\alpha m \in M_i \forall i \in I$ . Hence  $\alpha m \in M$ , proving that  $M$  is a submodule of an  $R$ -module.

**7.** Let  $N = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i$ . It is evident that  $N$  is nonempty. Pick  $x, y \in N$  and  $\alpha \in R$ . There exists some integers  $k, l$  such that  $x \in N_k$  and  $y \in N_l$ . Without loss of generality, suppose  $k \leq l$ . Then  $N_k \subseteq N_l$ , which means that  $x \in N_l$ . Because  $N_l$  is a module,  $x + \alpha y \in N_l \subseteq N$ . By the Submodule Criterion,  $N$  is a submodule of  $M$ .

**8.**

1. It is easy to see that  $0 \in \text{Tor}(M)$ . Therefore  $\text{Tor}(M) \neq \emptyset$ . Now let  $m, n \in \text{Tor}(M)$  and  $\alpha \in R$ . There exists nonzero elements  $r, s$  of  $R$  such that  $rm = sn = 0$ . Thus  $rs(m + \alpha n) = (rs)m + (rs\alpha)n = s(rm) + r\alpha(sn) = s0 + r\alpha 0 = 0$ . Since  $R$  is an integral domain, the product  $rs$  is nonzero. Therefore  $m + \alpha n \in \text{Tor}(M)$ . By the submodule criterion,  $\text{Tor}(M)$  is a submodule of  $M$ .
2. Notice that the torsion elements in the  $R$ -module  $R$  are simply the zero divisors of  $R$  plus the zero element. Now consider the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  as a module over itself. In this module, 2 and 3 are torsion elements. However  $2 + 3 = 5$  is not a torsion element because 5 is coprime with 6. Therefore  $\text{Tor}(\mathbb{Z}_6)$  is not a subgroup (and thus not a submodule) of  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ .
3. Take nonzero elements  $a, b$  in  $R$  such that  $ab = 0$ . Take some nonzero  $m \in M$ . If  $bm = 0$ , then  $m$  is a torsion element and we are done. Else,  $a(bm) = (ab)m = 0m = 0$  and  $bm$  is a torsion element. In both cases,  $\text{Tor}(M)$  is not trivial so the statement is proven.

**9.** Write  $I = \{r \in R \mid rn = 0 \forall n \in N\}$  and take  $a, b \in I$ . Then, for any  $n \in N$ ,  $(a + b)n = an + bn = 0$ , i.e.  $a + b \in I$ . Now take any  $r \in R$ . Firstly,  $(ra)n = r(an) = r0 = 0$ . Secondly,  $(ar)n = a(rn)$ . Since  $rn \in N$  because  $N$  is a submodule, and since  $a \in I$ , we have that  $a(rn) = 0$ . Thus  $I$  absorbs multiplication by elements of  $R$  on the left and on the right: it is a 2-sided ideal of  $R$ .

**10.** Write  $A = \{m \in M \mid am = 0 \forall a \in I\}$ . Since it is clear that  $0 \in A$ , we know that  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Take  $m, n \in A$  and  $r \in R$ . For all  $a \in I$ , we have that  $a(m + bn) = am + a(bn) = (ab)n$ . Since  $I$  is a right ideal of  $R$ ,  $ab \in I$ . Thus  $(ab)n = 0$ , meaning that  $m + bn \in A$ . By the submodule criterion,  $A$  is a submodule of  $M$ .

## 10.2 Quotient Modules and Module Homomorphisms

**1.** Let  $M$  and  $N$  be  $R$ -modules and let  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  be a  $R$ -module homomorphism. It is clear that  $0 \in \ker \varphi$ , so it is not empty. Take any  $x, y \in \ker \varphi$  and any  $r \in R$ . Then  $\varphi(x + ry) = \varphi(x) + r\varphi(y) = 0$  and so  $x + ry \in \ker \varphi$ . By the submodule criterion, we get that  $\ker \varphi$  is a submodule of  $M$ . Similarly, we see that  $\text{im } \varphi$  is not empty because it contains 0. Take any  $x, y \in \text{im } \varphi$  and any  $r \in R$ . Then there exists  $a, b \in M$  such that  $\varphi(a) = x$  and  $\varphi(b) = y$ . Thus  $\varphi(a) + r\varphi(b) = \varphi(a + rb) = x + ry$  and we get by the submodule criterion that  $\text{im } \varphi$  is a submodule of  $N$ .

**12.** The notation in this question seems confusing at first, but realize that  $I(R^n)$  and  $(IR)^n$  are actually exactly the same thing (and this thing is an  $R$ -submodule of  $R^n$ ).

We have by Exercise 5 in Section 1 that  $IR$  is a  $R$ -submodule of  $R$ . Therefore, by Exercise 11 of this section, we obtain the result immediately.

## 10.3 Generation of Modules, Direct Sums and Free Modules

**1.** Notice that a homomorphism  $\Phi$  from a free module  $F(A)$  to a free module  $F(B)$  is necessarily injective. Indeed, if  $\sum \alpha_i a_i, \sum \beta_i a_i \in \ker \Phi$ , then  $\Phi(\sum \alpha_i a_i) = \sum \alpha_i \Phi(a_i) = 0$  and  $\Phi(\sum \beta_i a_i) = \sum \beta_i \Phi(a_i) = 0$ . Since  $F(B)$  is a free module,  $0 \in F(B)$  has a unique representation, meaning that  $\alpha_i = \beta_i$  for each  $i$ .

Since  $A$  and  $B$  are sets of the same cardinality, there exists a bijection  $\beta$  between them. Let  $i$  and  $j$  be inclusion of  $A$  in  $F(A)$  and of  $B$  in  $F(B)$  respectively. By Theorem 6, we obtain a unique homomorphism  $\Phi : F(A) \rightarrow F(B)$  such that  $\Phi \circ i = j \circ \beta$ . By the previous paragraph, it is a monomorphism. Now take any element  $y = \sum \alpha_i (j \circ \beta)(a_i) \in F(B)$ . By definition of  $\Phi$ , we have  $\Phi(\sum \alpha_i a_i) = \sum \alpha_i (j \circ \beta)(a_i) = y$  and so  $\Phi$  is surjective. Hence it is an isomorphism and  $F(A) \cong F(B)$ .

**2.** Let  $I$  be a maximal ideal of  $R$  (such a maximal ideal always exists by Zorn's Lemma). Then by Exercise 12 of Section 2,  $R^n/IR^n = R^n/I^n \cong (R/I) \times \cdots \times (R/I)$  ( $n$  times) and similarly  $R^m/IR^m \cong (R/I) \times \cdots \times (R/I)$  ( $m$  times). By maximality of  $I$ ,  $R/I = K$  is a field. Thus we get  $R^n \cong R^m$  if and only if  $K^n \cong K^m$  if and only if  $n = m$ .

**3.**

1. Consider the  $\mathbb{R}[x]$ -module  $M$  induced from the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^2$  over the field  $\mathbb{R}$  using the linear transformation  $T$  which sends a vector to its counter-clockwise rotation by  $\pi/2$  radians. Take  $(1, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ : this element is a generator for  $M$ . Indeed, take any  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then  $(a + bx) \cdot (1, 0) = a \cdot (1, 0) + b \cdot T(1, 0) = a(1, 0) + b(0, 1) = (a, b)$ , hence  $\mathbb{R}[x] \cdot (1, 0) = M$ . Therefore  $M$  is a cyclic module.

2. Consider a similar  $M$  again but this time induced using the linear transformation  $T'$  which is a projection on the  $y$ -axis. The element  $(1, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  generates  $M$ : take any  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then  $(a + (b-a)x) \cdot (1, 1) = a(1, 1) + (b-a)T'(1, 1) = a(1, 1) + (b-a)(0, 1) = (a, a) + (0, b-a) = (a, b)$ . Thus  $M$  is a cyclic module.

**9.** Suppose that  $M \neq 0$  and  $M$  is a cyclic module with any nonzero element as generator. Take  $N \neq 0$  a submodule of  $M$  and pick some  $n \in N$ . Then  $Rn \subseteq N$  as  $N$  is closed under the action of  $R$ . Moreover  $Rn = M$  by our supposition. Hence  $M = N$ . Because  $N$  was arbitrary, we conclude that  $M$  is irreducible. On the other hand, suppose that  $M$  is irreducible (and so  $M \neq 0$ ). Take any nonzero  $m \in M$ . Then  $Rm$  is a submodule of  $M$ , hence by irreducibility  $Rm = M$  and  $m$  is a generator of  $M$ .

Because  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules are the same thing as abelian groups and  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodules are the same thing as subgroups of abelian groups, the irreducible  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules are exactly the simple abelian groups. By basic group theory, these are exactly the abelian groups having order a prime number.

**11. Schur's Lemma.** Take  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  irreducible  $R$ -modules and  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(M_1, M_2)$  with  $\varphi$  nonzero. Since  $\ker \varphi$  is a submodule of  $M_1$  and  $\ker \varphi \neq M_1$ , we must have  $\ker \varphi = 0$ . Similarly, since  $\text{im } \varphi$  is a submodule of  $M_2$  and  $\text{im } \varphi \neq 0$ , we must have  $\text{im } \varphi = M_2$ . Thus  $M_1 \cong M_2$ . Now consider some  $\alpha \in \text{End}_R(M)$  for  $M$  an irreducible  $R$ -module. By the previous result,  $\alpha$  must be an automorphism or the zero homomorphism; in the first case it always has an inverse. Therefore  $\text{End}_R(M)$  is a division ring.