

James R. Munkres - Topology (2nd edition)
Answers to Selected Exercises

Marc-André Brochu

Summer 2019

Connectedness and Compactness

3.23 Connected Spaces

3.23.2 Suppose C, D are two open sets forming a separation of $A = \bigcup A_n$. By Lemma 23.2, we know that for each n , the subspace A_n is either completely contained in C or completely contained in D .

Let $J = \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid A_i \subseteq D\}$. Because the natural numbers are well-ordered, there exists a least element of J , say k . Without loss of generality, $A_0 \subseteq C$; therefore $k > 0$. By minimality of k , we have $A_k \subseteq D$ while $A_{k-1} \subseteq C$. However, $A_{k-1} \cap A_k \neq \emptyset$ by hypothesis! This contradicts the fact that $C \cap D = \emptyset$. From this we conclude that A is in fact connected.

3.23.6 Let $S = C \cap A$ and $T = C \cap (X - A)$. From the exercise statement we know that S and T are nonempty; moreover $C = S \cup T$. If neither S nor T contains a limit point of the other, then C is not connected (according to Lemma 23.1). Therefore, since C is actually connected, either (1) T contains a limit point of S , or (2) S contains a limit point of T .

In the first case, the set $\overline{S} \cap T$ is nonempty. Because

$$\overline{S} \cap T = \overline{C \cap A} \cap C \cap (X - A) \subseteq C \cap \overline{A} \cap \overline{X - A} = C \cap \text{Bd}(A),$$

we have that C intersects $\text{Bd}(A)$.

In the second case, the set $S \cap \overline{T}$ is nonempty. Since

$$S \cap \overline{T} = C \cap A \cap \overline{C \cap (X - A)} \subseteq C \cap \overline{A} \cap \overline{X - A} = C \cap \text{Bd}(A),$$

we also have that C intersects $\text{Bd}(A)$.

3.23.11 Suppose C, D are two open sets forming a separation of X . Take some $y \in Y$ such that $p^{-1}(\{y\})$ intersects C . By hypothesis, $p^{-1}(\{y\})$ is a connected subspace of X . In this case, Lemma 23.2 tells us that $p^{-1}(\{y\})$ is completely contained in C . Thus C is a saturated open set of X (i.e. it is the preimage of a subset of Y). A similar argument shows that D is also saturated.

Since p is a quotient map, it maps saturated open sets of X to open sets of Y . Hence $p(C)$ and $p(D)$ are (disjoint*, nonempty) open sets of Y . Because p is surjective and X is the union of C and D , we have $Y = p(C) \cup p(D)$. This is in contradiction with the hypothesis that Y is connected! Therefore X must be connected.

*Maybe it is not clear why $p(C)$ and $p(D)$ are disjoint. The reason is this: suppose they are not and take some y in their intersection. Then there exists $c \in C$ and $d \in D$ such that $p(c) = p(d) = y$. As a consequence $p^{-1}(\{y\})$ contains both c and d , that is, it intersects both C and D . But this is in contradiction with C and D being saturated sets! Hence there cannot be anything in common between $p(C)$ and $p(D)$.