

Math 5600

7/9/14

- Recall the QR algorithm

For  $k = 1, 2, \dots$

$$A = QR$$

$$A = RQ$$

equivalent to a  
simultaneous orthogonal  
iterations.

- If the eigenvalues are strictly ordered

$$|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| > \dots > |\lambda_n| \quad (*)$$

this process converges to a triangular matrix that is similar to  $A$  and has the eigenvalues of  $A$  along the diagonal.

- However, we need to make the algorithm more efficient and we need to get it to work without the assumption (\*).
- We will start with transforming  $A$  to upper Hessenberg form.

$A$  is u.H  $\Leftrightarrow i-j > 1 \Rightarrow a_{ij} = 0$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & & & \\ x & & & \\ & x & & \\ 0 & & x & x \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H_0 = U_0^T A U_0$$

$$U_0^T = U_0^{-1}$$

- How do we do this?

- 1st column

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \underbrace{I - 2vv^T}_{\text{Householder Reflector}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Householder Reflector

$$HA = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} x \\ x \end{matrix} & \\ 0 & X \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & H \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} x & x & \dots & x \\ a & b \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} x & x & \dots & x \\ H a & H b \end{matrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & H \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & y^T \\ z & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}_{n-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & y^T \\ H z & H A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

- pick  $H$  such that  $H z$  is a multiple of  $e_1$

- multiplying with  $H^T$  from the right (to get a similarity transform) does not undo this effect.

(13)

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & y^T \\ H_2 & HA_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & H^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & y^T H^T \\ H_2 & HA_{22} H^T \end{bmatrix}$$

- Then repeat the process on  $HA_{22}H^T$  as usual.
- Note that creating zeros below the diagonal gets undone by multiplication from the right.

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x & x \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & x \\ x & & & \\ x & & & \\ x & & & \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x \end{bmatrix}$$

- upper Hessenberg is the best we can do.
- of course if we could get triangular form we'd solve in a finite # of steps

- Does the QR iteration preserve the upper Hessenberg structure?
- yes

$$H = QR$$

Q is upper Hessenberg

$$\begin{bmatrix}
 x & x & x & x \\
 x & x & x & x \\
 0 & x & x & x \\
 0 & 0 & x & x
 \end{bmatrix}
 \begin{bmatrix}
 x & x & x & x \\
 x & x & x & x \\
 0 & x & x & x \\
 0 & 0 & x & x
 \end{bmatrix}
 \begin{matrix}
 R \\
 \\
 H
 \end{matrix}$$

Q

use induction on the columns

RQ:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
 x & x & x & x \\
 x & x & x & x \\
 0 & x & x & x \\
 0 & 0 & x & x
 \end{bmatrix}
 \begin{bmatrix}
 x & x & x & x \\
 x & x & x & x \\
 0 & x & x & x \\
 0 & 0 & x & x
 \end{bmatrix}$$

- so now we have the algorithm

$$H_0 = U_0^T A U_0 \quad \text{upper Hessenberg}$$

For  $k = 1, 2, \dots$

$$H_{k-1} = U_k R_k \quad \text{QR, } U_k \text{ u.H.}$$

$$H_k = R_k U_k$$

- We would like this to converge to upper quasi-triangular form

- quasi-triangular = block triangular with  $1 \times 1$  and  $2 \times 2$  triangular blocks

$$Q^T A Q = \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & & R_{1m} \\ & R_{22} & \\ & & \vdots \\ O & & R_{mm} \end{bmatrix}$$

$R_{ii}$   $1 \times 1$  or  $2 \times 2$  blocks

- For this to be useful, the QR factorization of an u.H. matrix should take only  $O(n^2)$  operations.

- Use Givens Rotations.

- explain for  $2 \times 2$

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

$$c = \cos \alpha$$

$$s = \sin \alpha$$

- rotates by  $\alpha$

$$G^{-1} = G^T \quad \text{since} \quad \begin{bmatrix} c & s \\ -s & c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c^2 + s^2 & 0 \\ 0 & c^2 + s^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{- pick } \alpha \text{ such that } \begin{bmatrix} c & s \\ -s & c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$-sx + cy = 0$$

$$\frac{s}{c} = \frac{y}{x}$$

$$\tan \alpha = \frac{y}{x}$$

$$\alpha = \tan^{-1} \frac{y}{x}$$

So we embed Givens Rotations into the diagonal as usual

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x & x \\ 0 & 0 & x & x \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & x & & \\ x & x & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x & x \\ 0 & 0 & x & x \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & x & x & \\ & x & x & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x & x \\ 0 & 0 & x & x \\ 0 & 0 & x & x \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & x & x \\ & & x & x \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x & x \\ 0 & 0 & x & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x \end{bmatrix}$$

QR factorization

$Q$ : product of Givens Rotations.

general G.R.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & c_1 & s_1 & \\ & -s_1 & c_1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (5)
- clearly, one QR Factorization requires only  $O(n^2)$  operations.
  - critical aspect of QR algorithm.  
Decoupling.

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} H_{11} & H_{12} \\ 0 & H_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

$H_{11}$ ,  $H_{22}$  upper Hessenberg

- An  $uH$  matrix is unreduced if all its subdiagonal entries are non-zero



- Decoupling can be accomplished by shifts of origin

$$H - \mu I = UR \quad \text{QR fact.}$$

$$H = RU + \mu I$$

- This generates a sequence of similar matrices since

$$RU + \mu I = U^T (UR + \mu I) U = U^T H U$$

- How do we pick  $\mu$
- decoupling occurs when  $\mu$  is an eigenvalue. Suppose  $H$  is unreduced.

$$H - \mu I = UR \quad \text{is singular}$$

$$U \text{ is orthogonal} \Rightarrow R \text{ is singular}$$

- In fact,  $r_{nn} = 0$

- why?

- For an unreduced upper Hessenberg matrix  $H$ , the first  $n-1$  columns of  $H - \mu I$  are linearly independent
- So the  $n$ -th column of  $H - \mu I$  must be a linear combination of the first  $n-1$ , since  $H - \mu I$  is singular

$$H - \mu I = UR$$

- the first  $n-1$  columns of  $H - \mu I$  are linear combinations of the first  $n-1$  columns of  $U$ , so is the  $n$ -th column
- $r_{nn}$  is zero