

Math 5600

6/26/2014

- Major Theme: Use of special structure.
- If your problem has special structure it's probably worthwhile, or indeed necessary, to utilize it.

- Examples

$$Ax = b$$

- $A$  is
- Tridiagonal
  - symmetric
  - positive definite
  - banded
  - triangular
  - Hessenberg
  - sparse

(mostly zero, a huge area!)

- Mike Hohn recently, wrote a MS thesis on systems of the form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A & G^T \\ G & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (*)$$

where  $A$  is symmetric,

- $A$  is a "block matrix"

- I once spent a lot of time with a bunch of problems of the form (\*) where  $A$  was positive semi-definite and rank deficient, in addition to being sparse.
- Recall LU factorization requires  $\frac{n^3}{3}$  flops
- Let's now focus on positive definite systems

$$A = A^T \quad x^T A x > 0 \quad \text{if } x \neq 0$$

- what does this mean for  $1 \times 1$  matrices?
- $A$  is non-singular (why?)
- The Cholesky Decomposition

$$A = L L^T (= G G^T)$$

$L$  is lower triangular  
(but not necessarily or usually unit)

- if  $A = L L^T$ , and  $L$  is non-singular, then  $A$  is pos. def.

- It's symmetric and

$$x^T A x = x^T L^T L x = (Lx)^T Lx > 0 \quad \text{if } x \neq 0$$

- The Choleski decomposition exists!
- proof by induction (Wilkinson)
- suppose we have shown this for  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  matrices
- It's trivial for  $1 \times 1$  matrices.
- $A$   $n \times n$  positive definite

- write

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{n-1} & b \\ b^T & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $A_{n-1}$  is  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ ,  $a_{nn} \in \mathbb{R}$

- $A_{n-1}$  is pos. definite. why?
- $a_{nn}$  is positive. why?
- $A_{n-1} = L_{n-1} L_{n-1}^T$

- Let

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} L_{n-1} & 0 \\ c^T & x \end{bmatrix}$$

where we need to find  $c$  and  $x$  such that  $LL^T = A$ .



$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} L_{n-1} & 0 \\ c^T & x \end{bmatrix}}_L \begin{bmatrix} L_{n-1} L_{n-1}^T & L_{n-1} c \\ c^T L_{n-1}^T & c^T c + x^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (*)$$

- we need to have

$$L_{n-1} c = b$$

- such a  $c$  exists since  $L_{n-1}$  is non-singular

- it's non-singular since  $A_{n-1}$  is pos. def.

- Now consider  $x^2 = a_{nn} - c^T c$

- we have to show that  $x$  is real,  
i.e.,  $a_{nn} - c^T c > 0$

- Take determinants is (\*)

$$|L| = |L_{n-1}|^2 x^2 = \det A > 0$$

since  $A$  pos def.

- so  $x^2 = a_{nn} - c^T c > 0 \Rightarrow x$  positive and real.

- we now know that the Choleski decomposition exists.
- How do we compute it?
- we actually could build an algorithm based on our proof.
- But there is a better way

$$A = LL^T$$

$$a_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n l_{ik} l_{jk} = \sum_{k=1}^{\min\{i,j\}} l_{ik} l_{jk}$$

- $a_{ii} = l_{ii}^2 \Rightarrow l_{ii} = \sqrt{a_{ii}}$

- how do we know that  $a_{ii} > 0$ ?

$$a_{ii} = l_{ii} l_{ii} \quad l_{ii} = \frac{a_{ii}}{l_{ii}} \quad i=2, \dots, n$$

- we can continue in this fashion and compute  $L$  column by column

Here is the algorithm:

For  $k = 1, \dots, n$

$$L_{kk} = \sqrt{a_{kk} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} L_{ki}^2} \quad (\text{since } a_{kk} = \sum_{i=1}^k L_{ki}^2)$$

For  $i = k+1, \dots, n$

$$L_{ik} = \frac{a_{ik} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} L_{ij} L_{kj}}{L_{kk}} \quad i = k+1, \dots, n$$

- The effort in this procedure is  $\frac{n^3}{6} + O(n^2)$

- Notice that

$$a_{kk} = \sum_{i=1}^k L_{ki}^2$$

- This means the entries of  $L$  are bounded by  $\sqrt{a_{kk}}$

- As a consequence we don't need to pivot!



- Of course we would use symmetric pivoting, matching row and column interchanges, to preserve symmetry!
- major idea: if we don't have to pivot for stability, can we pivot for some other purpose?
- yes! reduce, or minimize, sparsity!

```

x x x x x
x x
x   x
x   x
x       x
x           x

```

complete fill-in

but exchange 1<sup>st</sup> and last rows and  
columns

```

x       x
  x     x
    x   x
      x x
x x x x x

```

zero fill-in

- Graph of a matrix



```

x . x x
  x   x
x   x x
x   x
x x x

```



```

x x
x x x
  x x x
    x x x
      x x

```