

Compilers

Self Type Checking

Self Type Checking

- SELF_TYPE's meaning depends on the enclosing class

SELF_TYPE$_C$

$$O, M, C \vdash e : T$$

An expression e occurring in the body of C has static type T given a variable type environment O and method signatures M

Self Type Checking

- The next step is to design type rules using SELF_TYPE
- Most of the rules remain the same
  - But use the new $\leq$ and lub

$$\frac{O(Id) = T_0 \quad O,M,C \vdash e_1 : T_0 \quad T_1 \leq T_0}{O,M,C \vdash Id \leftarrow e_1 : T_1}$$

Self Type Checking

- Recall the old rule for dispatch

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} O,M,C \vdash e_0 : T_0 \\ \quad\vdots \\ O,M,C \vdash e_n : T_n \\ M(T_0, f) = (T_1', \ldots, T_n', T_{n+1}') \\ T_{n+1}' \neq \text{SELF\_TYPE} \\ T_i \leq T_i' \qquad 1 \leq i \leq n \end{array}}{O,M,C \vdash e_0.f(e_1,\ldots,e_n) : T_{n+1}'}$$

Self Type Checking

- If the return type of the method is SELF_TYPE then the type of the dispatch is the type of the dispatch expression:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} O,M,C \vdash e_0 : T_0 \\ \quad\vdots \\ O,M,C \vdash e_n : T_n \\ M(T_0, f) = (T_1', \ldots, T_n', \text{SELF\_TYPE}) \\ T_i \le T_i' \qquad 1 \le i \le n \end{array}}{O,M,C \vdash e_0.f(e_1,\ldots,e_n) : T_0}$$

Self Type Checking

- Formal parameters cannot be SELF_TYPE
- Actual arguments can be SELF_TYPE
  - The extended $\leq$ relation handles this case
- The type T_0 of the dispatch expression could be SELF_TYPE
  - Which class is used to find the declaration of f?
  - Answer: it is safe to use the class where the dispatch appears

$$\frac{M(C, f) = (\ldots, e_0 : \text{SELF\_TYPE}_C)}{O, M, C \vdash e_0.f(e_1)}$$

Self Type Checking

- Recall the original rule for static dispatch

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} O,M,C \vdash e_0 : T_0 \\ \quad\vdots \\ O,M,C \vdash e_n : T_n \\ T_0 \le T \\ M(T, f) = (T_1',\ldots,T_n',T_{n+1}') \\ T_{n+1}' \neq \text{SELF\_TYPE} \\ T_i \le T_i' \qquad 1 \le i \le n \end{array}}{O,M,C \vdash e_0@T.f(e_1,\ldots,e_n) : T_{n+1}'}$$

Self Type Checking

- If the return type of the method is SELF_TYPE we have:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} O,M,C \vdash e_0 : T_0 \\ \quad\vdots \\ O,M,C \vdash e_n : T_n \\ T_0 \le T \\ M(T, f) = (T_1',\ldots,T_n',\text{SELF\_TYPE}) \\ T_i \le T_i' \qquad 1 \le i \le n \end{array}}{O,M,C \vdash e_0@T.f(e_1,\ldots,e_n) : T_0}$$

Self Type Checking

- Why is this rule correct?

- If we dispatch a method returning SELF_TYPE in class T, don't we get back a T?

$T_0 \leq T$

- No. SELF_TYPE is the type of the self parameter, which may be a subtype of the class in which the method appears

Self Type Checking

- There are two new rules using SELF_TYPE

$$\frac{}{O,M,C \vdash \text{self} : \text{SELF\_TYPE}_C}$$

$$\frac{}{O,M,C \vdash \text{new SELF\_TYPE} : \text{SELF\_TYPE}_C}$$

Self Type Checking

Choose the static/dynamic type pairs that are correct. For dynamic type, assume execution has halted at line 15.

	Var	Static Type	Dynamic Type
☐	w	Animal	Pet
☐	x	Animal	Lion
☐	y	Pet	Pet
☐	z	Animal	Dog

```
1  class Animal {
2      clone():SELF_TYPE {new SELF_TYPE}
3  }
4  class Pet inherits Animal {
5     clone():SELF_TYPE {new SELF_TYPE}
6  }
7  class Cat inherits Pet { ... }
8  class Dog inherits Pet { ... }
9  class Lion inherits Animal { ... }
10 class Main {
11    w:Animal<-(new Animal).clone();
12    x:Animal<-(new Lion).clone();
13    y:Pet<-(new Cat).clone();
14    z:Animal<-(new Dog)@Animal.clone();
15    ...
16 }
```

Self Type Checking

- The extended $\leq$ and lub operations can do a lot of the work.
- SELF_TYPE can be used only in a few places. Be sure it isn't used anywhere else.
- A use of SELF_TYPE always refers to any subtype of the current class
  - The exception is the type checking of dispatch. The method return type of SELF_TYPE might have nothing to do with the current class

$M(C, f) = (\ldots, SELF\_TYPE_C)$

Self Type Checking

- SELF_TYPE is a research idea
  - It adds more expressiveness to the type system
- SELF_TYPE is itself not so important
  - except for the project
- Rather, SELF_TYPE is meant to illustrate that type checking can be quite subtle
- In practice, there should be a balance between the complexity of the type system and its expressiveness