

Compilers

Self Type Checking

SELF_TYPE's meaning depends on the enclosing class

An expression e occurring in the body of C has static type T given a variable type environment O and method signatures M

- The next step is to design type rules using SELF_TYPE
- Most of the rules remain the same
 - But use the new ≤ and lub

$$O(Id) = T_0$$

$$O,M,C \vdash e_1 : T_0$$

$$T_1 \leq T_0$$

$$O,M,C \vdash Id \leftarrow e_1 : T_1$$

Recall the old rule for dispatch

```
O,M,C \vdash e_0 : T_0
\vdots
O,M,C \vdash e_n : T_n
M(T_0, f) = (T_1',...,T_n',T_{n+1}')
T_{n+1}' \neq SELF\_TYPE
T_i \leq T_i'
1 \leq i \leq n
O,M,C \vdash e_0.f(e_1,...,e_n) : T_{n+1}'
```

 If the return type of the method is SELF_TYPE then the type of the dispatch is the type of the dispatch expression:

```
O,M,C \vdash e_0 : T_0
\vdots
O,M,C \vdash e_n : T_n
M(T_0, f) = (T_1',...,T_n', SELF_TYPE)
T_i \leq T_i'
1 \leq i \leq n
O,M,C \vdash e_0.f(e_1,...,e_n) : T_0
```

Formal parameters cannot be SELF_TYPE

- Actual arguments can be SELF_TYPE
 - The extended ≤ relation handles this case
- The type T₀ of the dispatch expression could be SELF_TYPE
 - Which class is used to find the declaration of f?
 - Answer: it is safe to use the class where the dispatch appears

Recall the original rule for static dispatch

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{O,M,C} \vdash e_0 : T_0 \\ & \vdots \\ \\ \text{O,M,C} \vdash e_n : T_n \\ T_0 \leq T \\ \text{M(T, f)} = (T_1',...,T_n',T_{n+1}') \\ T_{n+1}' \neq \text{SELF\_TYPE} \\ \hline T_i \leq T_i' \qquad 1 \leq i \leq n \\ \hline \text{O,M,C} \vdash e_0 @T.f(e_1,...,e_n) : T_{n+1}' \end{array}
```

If the return type of the method is SELF_TYPE we have:

$$O,M,C \vdash e_0 : T_0$$

 \vdots
 $O,M,C \vdash e_n : T_n$
 $T_0 \le T$
 $M(T, f) = (T_1',...,T_n',SELF_TYPE)$
 $T_i \le T_i'$ $1 \le i \le n$
 $O,M,C \vdash e_0@T.f(e_1,...,e_n) : T_0$

• Why is this rule correct?

If we dispatch a method returning SELF_TYPE in class
 T, don't we get back a T?

 No. SELF_TYPE is the type of the self parameter, which may be a subtype of the class in which the method appears

There are two new rules using SELF_TYPE

O,M,C ⊢ self : SELF_TYPE_C

O,M,C ⊢ new SELF_TYPE : SELF_TYPE_C

Choose the static/dynamic type pairs that are correct. For dynamic type, assume execution has halted at line 15.

Static Type

Pet

Lion

Pet

Dog

Animal

Animal

Animal

Pet

Var

W

Х

٧

Z

Self Type Checking

```
clone():SELF_TYPE {new SELF TYPE}
                3
                   class Pet inherits Animal {
                     clone():SELF TYPE {new SELF TYPE}
                6
                   class Cat inherits Pet { ... }
                   class Dog inherits Pet { ... }
Dynamic Type
                   class Lion inherits Animal { ... }
                10 class Main {
                     w:Animal<-(new Animal).clone();
                11
                12
                     x:Animal<-(new Lion).clone();
                     y:Pet<-(new Cat).clone();
                13
                14
                     z:Animal<-(new Dog)@Animal.clone();
             → 15
                16 }
```

class Animal {

The extended ≤ and lub operations can do a lot of the work.

 SELF_TYPE can be used only in a few places. Be sure it isn't used anywhere else.

- A use of SELF_TYPE always refers to any subtype of the current class
 - The exception is the type checking of dispatch. The method return type of SELF_TYPE might have nothing to do with the current class

- SELF_TYPE is a research idea
 - It adds more expressiveness to the type system
- SELF_TYPE is itself not so important
 - except for the project
- Rather, SELF_TYPE is meant to illustrate that type checking can be quite subtle
- In practice, there should be a balance between the complexity of the type system and its expressiveness